Notes and Brief Reports

Employment Covered by Social Insurance

Almost 61 million persons were in the labor force in an average week of the 12-month period ending June 1948, an increase of $1\frac{1}{2}$ million persons over the preceding 12-month interval. This increase was concentrated in the employed segment of the labor force; unemployment remained

Estimated employment covered by old-age and survivors insurance and by unem. ployment insurance, and employment in selected noncovered industries, years ended June 30, 1947, and June 30, 1948

[In millions; data corrected to Dec. 1, 1948]

Type of employment	Year ended June 30—	
	1947	1948
Employment in an average week: Civilian labor force. Unemployed. Employed, total. Covered by old-age and sur- vivors insurance. Covered by old-age and sur- vivors insurance. Not covered by old-age and survivors insurance. Railroad. Government. Federal. State and local. Agriculture. Wage and salary workers. Selfemployed. Unpaid family workers. Nonagricultural selfem- ployed. Domestic service. Other. 2. Employment in an average pay period: Covered by State unemployment Insurance Railroad. Federal Government. State and local government. Sta	3.3 8.2	60.8 2.1 58.7 34.8 31.3 23.9 1.6 5 1.6 1.7 3.5 8.0 1.7 4.8 1.5 6.1 1.4 1.4 32.6 1.6 1.6 1.6 3.7
(average for 4 quarters) covered by old-age and survivors insur- ance	39.8	40.7

Source: Data on employment in an average week (based on population count): civilian labor force, memployment, and total employed, from Monthly Report on the Labor Force, Bureau of the Census; employment covered and not covered by old-age and survivors insurance, from Bureau of the Census, adjusted by the Analysis Division, Bureau of Old-Age and Survivors Insurance; employment covered by unemployment insurance; from the Bureau of Employment for folderal, State, and local governments, from the Bureau of Labor Statistics. Employment during a quarter covered by old-age and survivors insurance, from the Bureau of Old-Age and survivors insurance, Data on employment covered under State unemploy-ment insurance in sec. 2 and employment covered by old-age and survivors insurance in sec. 3 include Territories; other data are for continental U. S. Totals are sums of unrounded figures.

Totals are sums of unrounded figures.

at approximately the same level in both periods.

About 35 million workers were covered by the old-age and survivors insurance program, 1.7 million more than in the preceding period. Total noncovered employment decreased slightly, although State and local government employment and the number of nonagricultural self-employed workers were above the levels of a year earlier.

Workers covered by the State unemployment insurance systems in an average week numbered 31.3 million in the fiscal year 1948, 1.5 million more than in 1947. In an average pay period, some 32.6 million workers were covered by the State systems, a gain of 4 percent over the comparable 1947 period.

Benefit Rights Under Unemployment Insurance, April–June 1948

Of the 1.5 million claimants who established benefit rights during April-June 1948, 55 percent were eligible for the maximum weekly benefit amount and 44 percent were eligible to receive the maximum weekly benefit amount for the maximum duration. The proportion of new insured claimants eligible for the maximum weekly benefit amount ranged from 19 percent in Maine, where the maximum was \$22.50, to 86 percent in Nevada, which paid a maximum of \$20. In eight other States, this proportion was more than 65 percent. In the nine States with the highest proportion of payments at the maximum, the statutory maximums ranged from \$15 in Florida to \$25 in Alaska and Utah.

The average weekly payment for total unemployment rose during this quarter from \$18.54 in April to \$18.99 in June. The trend in individual States was mixed, however. In only 14 States did the average weekly payment increase in both May and June. These 14 States, however, included three of the largest-California. New Jersey, and New York. In New York the average payment increased \$1.48 during the quarter, mainly as a result of the increase from \$21 to \$26 in the

statutory maximum weekly benefit amount effective June 7.

In June 1948 the average weekly payment was more than \$20 in eight States but less than \$15 in 13 States. In North Carolina, where only 5 percent of the new insured claimants were eligible for the maximum weekly benefit amount of \$20, the average weekly payment for total unemployment was less than \$11.

On the average, new insured claimants were entitled to 21.5 weeks of benefits. This average potential duration of benefits varied widely, however, from 12 weeks in Arizona to 26 weeks in New York. In these two States and 13 others, the duration of benefits was uniform for all eligible claimants. In the remaining States the duration varied with the claimant's previous earnings or employment record. In seven of these States. the average potential duration differed from the maximum potential duration by more than 5 weeks.

During the quarter, 210,000 claimants exhausted benefit rights, after drawing about 18 weeks of benefits on the average. Except in the States that provide a uniform duration of benefits for all claimants, the claimants who exhausted benefits were generally entitled to several weeks less, on the average, than the claimant group as a whole. The number of claimants who exhaust benefits during April-June is considerably influenced by the fact that three large States-Illinois, Massachusetts, and New York-begin uniform benefit years during that quarter. Very few of the claimants in these new benefit years-none in New York, in factcan possibly exhaust benefits in the quarter.

Workmen's Compensation Payments, 1947

An acceleration in the rate of increase in workmen's compensation. payments brought the estimated total to \$485 million in 1947, about 11 percent more than in 1946.1 Relatively greater increases, however, had oc-

¹For method of estimating see the *Bulletin*, January 1942, pp. 6-14. Revised estimates of payments for previous years. are available in the Division of Research and Statistics, Office of the Commissioner.