Resources of Aged Insurance Beneficiaries: 1951 National Survey

This article is the initial report in a series based on the national survey of resources of old-age and survivors insurance beneficiaries, conducted by the Bureau of Old-Age and Survivors Insurance in late 1951. The survey was the first to be made by the Bureau on a Nation-wide basis; earlier beneficiary surveys were

Bureau on a Nation-wide basis; earlier beneficiary surveys were restricted to a few cities. Information was obtained only from old-age and aged-widow beneficiaries.

A LMOST two-thirds of the old persons who regularly receive old-age and survivors insurance benefits have little or no other independent money retirement income. This conclusion is based on a Nation-wide survey conducted by the Bureau of Old-Age and Survivors Insurance at the end of 1951.

The schedule for the survey was planned to obtain information on the amount and sources of income that the beneficiaries received during the year preceding the interview; their assets and liabilities, including the amount of assets used or debts incurred for living during the year; noncash income and public assistance received; and family composition, living arrangements, and number of persons dependent on the beneficiary. Facts were also obtained as to the size of the city in which the beneficiaries lived; life, accident, and sickness insurance carried; number of weeks in bed at home or in the hospital during the survey year; the reasons for the retirement of the old-age beneficiaries; and their postentitlement employment and earnings. About 18,000 aged beneficiaries, representing nearly 1 percent of the 21/4 million old-age and agedwidow beneficiaries on the rolls at the end of 1950, were interviewed.

The beneficiaries from whom information was obtained represented entitlements in every year from 1940 through 1950, including some entitlements based on the 1950 amendments. For the first time, country-wide coverage—in cities, towns, villages, and rural areas—was obtained. The earlier surveys made by the Bureau between 1941 and 1949 covered only a few cities. 1

by Edna C. Wentworth*

The preliminary findings 2 that are presented here are based on the reports of only the beneficiaries who received benefits for all 12 months of the year. This group represented 90 percent of the beneficiaries included in the study. They are of special interest because they met the retirement test of the Social Security Act throughout the year; that is, they had earned no more than \$50 in any month in covered employment.

Although some beneficiaries of the old-age and survivors insurance program are prosperous, many more have almost no resources of their own beside their benefits. Only a small proportion of the men and women aged 65 and over who were interviewed could have lived on the independent money retirement in-

² The tabulations are preliminary because they are subject to some revision, mostly of a minor nature, as a result of further editing of schedules. The final tables will include, in addition, approximately 500 schedules that arrived too late to be represented in the preliminary tabulations.

Table 1.—Percent of beneficiary groups 1 with specified amounts of annual independent money retirement income other than benefits, 2 national beneficiary survey, 1951

	Total number of benefi- ciary groups 1	Percent with annual independent money retirement income, other than benefits, of —				
Type of beneficiary group		Nothing or less than \$75 per person	Nothing or less than \$600 per person	\$900 or more per person	\$1,200 or more per person	
All groups combined	15,553	64	87	7	5	
1-person groups: Old-age beneficiary: Nonmarried men. Nonmarried women. Aged-widow beneficiary	4, 248 2, 031 2, 433	70 69 69	85 89 88	10 5 8	6 3 5	
2-person old-age beneficiary groups: Married men: Wife entitled Wife not entitled Married women ³	3,974 2,403 464	56 54 68	88 85 92	6 6 3	4 4 2	

Received benefits all 12 months of the year.
 Includes employer and union pensions, veterans' pensions, private annuities, and income from trust

funds, rents, interest, and dividends.

3 Husband not entitled on wife's record.

^{*}Division of Program Analysis, Bureau of Old-Age and Survivors Insurance.

¹ For reports on some of the findings of the earlier surveys, see the Bulletin for July and September 1943; March 1944; January, April, May, September, and November 1945; January 1946; August and October 1947; February and September 1948; November 1949; April and May 1950; and January, June, October, and November 1951. See also the Bulletin for June 1946 for a comparison of aged insurance beneficiaries with aged assistance recipients and the aged in the general population, and the October 1949 issue for a study of public assistance supplementation of income of insurance beneficiaries.

come they had in addition to their insurance benefits (table 1). Retirement income was defined to include employer and union pensions, veterans' pensions, private annuities. and income from trust funds, rents,

Table 2.—Percent of beneficiary groups 1 receiving employer and union pensions, 2 national beneficiary survey, 1951

Type of beneficiary group	Percent with employer or union pensions	Percent of beneficiary groups with employer or union pensions having annual pension income of — Less \$900 than or \$600 more		
		per person	per person	
All groups com- bined	17	65	15	
1-person groups:				
Old-age beneficiary: Nonmarried men Nonmarried wo-	17	45	33	
men	12	55	13	
Aged-widow benefi- ciary	2	46	27	
ficiary groups: Married men: Wife entitled Wife not entitled Married women 3	25 27 15	76 73 73	7 7 7	

Received benefits all 12 months of the year; percents based on numbers given in table 1.

interest, and dividends. If they had not received the insurance benefits under the Social Security Act, almost two-thirds of the beneficiary groups (that is, single old-age or aged-widow beneficiaries, and couples comprised of the old-age beneficiary and spouse) would have had nothing or less than \$75 per person 3 for the entire year-less than \$75 for a single person and less than \$150 for a couple. Only 1 in every 8 beneficiary groups would have had monthly retirement incomes of \$50 or more per person.

Table 3.—Percent of beneficiary groups 1 with specified amounts of annual independent money retirement income, 2 national beneficiary survey, 1951

	Percent with annual independent money retirement income of —				
Type of beneficiary group	Less than \$600 per person	\$900 or more per person	\$1, 200 or more per person		
All groups combined	63	18	10		
I-person groups: Old-age beneficiary: Nonmarried men Nonmarried women Aged-widow beneficiary 2-person old-age beneficiary groups:	53 68 71	22 16 16	16 9 10		
Married men: Wife entitled Wife not entitled Married women 3	61 68 75	19 15 7	8 6 4		

¹ Received benefits all 12 months of the year; per-

Although one-sixth of all old-age and aged-widow beneficiaries received employer or union pensions, this pension income in most instances amounted to less than \$50 a month per person (table 2). Only 6 percent of all beneficiary groups received as much as \$50 a month per person from this source.

Even when old-age and survivors insurance benefits are added to their other independent money retirement income, as many as 6 out of every 10 of the single beneficiaries and couples had less than \$50 a month per person (table 3). There is no intention here to suggest that \$50 a month or \$600 a year per person is enough income to live on; the figures are presented merely to give some idea of the amount of independent retirement income the beneficiaries had.

Because their retirement incomes were inadequate even though they received benefits each month of the year, beneficiaries often had to find other means of support. When they were able, beneficiaries usually went back to work. Even among the beneficiaries who had no benefit payments suspended during the year, about a fourth of the men and a fifth of the women who were receiving old-age benefits and an eighth of the aged widows had some earnings during the year (table 4).

For most of these persons, work was probably only an occasional source of income. Almost 3 in every 10 old-age or aged-widow beneficiaries who had income from employment earned less than \$150 during the entire year; two-thirds earned less than \$600. The earnings of only 7 percent of all old-age and aged-widow beneficiaries amounted to \$600 or more during the year. As a rule, these employed beneficiaries with the larger earnings worked in jobs not covered by the social security program, or they were aged 75 and over and not subject to benefit suspensions; a few were in covered self-employment and would have later benefit suspensions. Most beneficiaries, however, were disabled or too old to work. At the time of the interview, two thirds of the men and seven-tenths of the women old-age beneficiaries, and

Table 4.—Percent of old-age and aged-widow beneficiaries with specified amounts of earnings during survey year, national beneficiary survey, 1951

Type of beneficiary	number of benefi-	Percent	Percent with some employment who during survey year had earnings of —			
		with earnings	Less than \$150	Less than \$600	\$1,200 or more	\$2,400 or more
Male old-age beneficiaries Nonmarried	10,625 4,248 3,974 2,403 2,495	24 21 24 32 20	27 32 25 24 33	65 71 62 62 78	22 18 24 26 10	9 7 10 11 2
Nonmarried	2,031 464 2,433	20 18 13	33 32 25	78 77 81	10 10 10 8	1 4 2

¹ Received benefits all 12 months of the year.

Represents money income received as pensions from private employers, Federal, State, and local government pensions, railroad retirement pay, and union pensions.

Husband not entitled on wife's record.

³ The data are presented on a per capita basis because an over-all figure for all beneficiary groups combined can thus be obtained, although it is recognized that for a given level of living a single person requires more than half the income required by a couple.

ents based on numbers given in table 1.

Represents 12 months' old-age and survivors insurance benefits, employer and union pensions, yeterans' pensions, private annuities, and income from trust funds, rents, interest, and dividends.

3 Husband not entitled on wife's record.

² Husband not entitled on wife's record.

eight-tenths of the aged widows, reported that they were unable to work (table 5).

Beneficiaries often had to find additional means of support. The wives and husbands of some old-age beneficiaries worked. A number of beneficiaries were helped by their children and other relatives. A sixth of the single beneficiaries or couples received public assistance at some time during the year; in any particular month, or course, there was a smaller proportion on the public assistance rolls. Some who did not receive assistance payments were provided medical care by the local public assistance agency. A few had unemployment insurance or special windfalls, such as back pay or prize money.

An indication of the significance of the old-age and survivors insurance benefits to those who receive them

is the fact that the benefits were the only cash income of any consequence for 3 in every 10 single beneficiaries and couples (table 6). Seven in every 10 had nothing or less than \$600 per person for the year in addition to their benefits.

Counting their money income from all sources-including old-age and survivors insurance benefits other independent permanent income and also their earnings, unemployment insurance payments, money contributions from relatives. public assistance payments, and other money income-almost 2 in every 5 of the beneficiary groups had less than \$600 per person for the year, or less than \$50 a month per person (table 7). Not more than 2 in every 5 had \$900 or more per person for the year.

Figures on money income do not, of course, tell the whole story. Many

Table 5.—Percent of old-age and aged-widow beneficiaries with specified labor-force status at time of interview, national beneficiary survey, 1951

]	Percent reporti	ng they were -	-
Type of beneficiary	Total number of benefi- ciaries ²	Employed at time of interview	Unable	Not working but able to work	
			to work	Not wanting work	Wanting work
Male old-age beneficiaries Female old-age beneficiaries Aged widows	11,059 2,547 2,544	12 9 6	65 71 82	8 9 9	15 11 3

Table 6.—Percent of beneficiary groups with specified amounts of annual money income other than benefits, national beneficiary survey, 1951

	Total number of benefi- ciary groups	Percent with annual money income other than OASI benefits of —				
Type of beneficiary group		Nothing or less than \$75 per person	Nothing or less than \$600 per person	\$900 or more per person	\$1,200 or more per person	
All groups combined	15,553	30	72	15	10	
1-person groups: Old-age beneficiary: Nonmarried men Nonmarried women Aged-widow beneficiary 2-person old-age beneficiary groups:	4, 248 2, 031 2, 433	33 33 44	72 74 79	17 11 12	11 7 8	
Married men: Wife entitled Wife not entitled Married women ²	3,974 2,403 464	27 14 14	78 61 48	11 22 38	7 13 26	

¹ Received benefits all 12 months of the year.

Table 7.—Percent of beneficiary groups 1 with specified amounts of annual money income, national beneficiary survey, 1951

	Percent with total annual money income of —				
Type of beneficiary group	Less than \$600 per person	\$900 or more per person	\$1,200 or more per person		
All groups combined	37	36	20		
1-person groups: Old-age beneficiary: Nonmarried men Nonmarried women Aged-widow beneficiary 2-person old-age beneficiary groups:	27 39 50	41 33 29	25 17 15		
Married men: Wife entitled Wife not entitled Married women 2	39 40 24	32 37 50	15 22 36		

¹ Received benefits all 12 months of the year; per cents based on numbers given in tables 1 and 6

² Husband not entitled on wife's record.

beneficiaries own their homes and have other assets that help to provide security. Some beneficiaries homes with relatives or friends, and some have various kinds of noncash income. About 46 percent of all single beneficiaries and couples owned their homes (table 8), but some homes were mortgaged. A few beneficiaries had other real estate, a farm, or investments in an owned business. A majority, however, had little or nothing in liquid assets such as cash, bank deposits, and stocks and bonds. About 3 out of 5 had either nothing or less than \$500 per person in liquid assets. Some beneficiaries in the study were among the wealthier members of their communities; 6 percent owned their homes and in addition had \$5,000 or more per person in liquid assets; 250 single beneficiaries or couples had assets of \$50,000 or more; and two had assets of more than \$1 million.

The survey findings make it clear beyond reasonable doubt that for a large majority of beneficiaries the monthly benefit check, even though it may be a small amount, is a critical item of income. These payments make it possible for many beneficiaries to remain economically They enable some independent. beneficiaries to be self-supporting

¹ Received benefits all 12 months of the year.
² Includes schedules received too late to be included in preliminary tabulations of data on income and

² Husband not entitled on wife's record.

Table 8.—Percent of beneficiary groups 1 with specified kind and amount of assets at end of survey year, national beneficiary survey, 1951

	Percent with specified kind and amount of assets					
Type of beneficiary group	No assets of any kind	Owned home	No liquid assets or less than \$500 per person	Liquid assets of \$5,000 or more per person	Owned home or other nonliquid assets and liquid assets of \$5,000 or more per person	
All groups combined.	28	46	62	9	6	
1-person groups: Old-age beneficiary: Noumarried men Nonmarried women. Aged-widow beneficiary 2-person old-age beneficiary groups: Married men:	43 35 26	26 27 43	68 64 56	9 10 13	5 4 8	
Wife entitled Wife not entitled Married women ²	17 16 19	64 68 56	58 65 04	8 8 3	6 2	

¹ Received benefits all 12 months of the year; percents based on numbers given in tables 1 and 6.

2 Husband not entitled on wife's record.

in their own homes and others to pay their share of expenses in a joint household with relatives or friends. They slow down the rate at which savings and other assets must be used up. When the adult children contribute to the support of their parents, the benefits decrease the economic burden that would otherwise have to be assumed by

the children. For other beneficiaries who might have to apply for public assistance, the benefits put off that day or lessen the amount of the assistance payment that is needed.

PROGRAM OPERATIONS

(Continued from page 2)

resent continuing unemployment) declined to 4.7 million, or about 8 percent above the May 1951 total. The month's reduction in initial claims largely represented a return to more normal levels following administrative increases in April; sea-

sonal factors were important, however, in both types of claims.

In May, for the fourth consecutive month, the average weekly number of beneficiaries and the total amount of benefits declined. Benefit checks went to 918,300 unemployed workers in an average week in May—7.5 percent fewer than in April but still 18.8 percent

above the May 1951 average. The amount of benefits paid during May dropped to \$87 million—a total 22.8 percent higher than that a year earlier. One reason for the rise in total benefits paid was the increase in the average check for total unemployment; for May 1952 it was \$22.40, or 8.6 percent above the average in May a year earlier.