through congressional appropriations, these "premiums" are the sum of the benefit payments and the cost of the administrative agency); and (3) almost \$130 million as the costs of selfinsurance (benefits paid by selfinsurers, increased 5-10 percent to allow for their administrative costs).

The benefit payments of \$920 million represented 60 percent of the aggregate of \$1.5 billion in premiums. The preceding year's ratio had been 59 percent. This slight change followed closely the change in the loss ratio for private carriers, from 51 percent in 1954 to 52 percent in 1955.

Estimates of workmen's compensation payments, by State and type of insurance, 1955 and 19541 [In thousands]

State Insur- ance carriers 2 State fund burse- ments 3 Self- insur- ance carriers 2 Insur- ments 4 State fund is- pay- ments 4 Insur- ance pay- ments 4 Self- insur- ance carriers 2 Insur- ance ments 4 Self- insur- ance carriers 2 Insur- ments 4 Self- insur- ments 4 Self- insur- insur- ments 4 Self- insur- ments 4 Self- insur- insur- ments 4 Self- insure- insur- insur- insure- insur- insur- insur- insur					\$1	thousands	ш			
State Insur- ance paid by ments i ance carriers i State fund isses ance carriers i State fund dis- ments i ments i Self- ance pay- ments i Total State insur- ance carriers i Self- ments i Insur- ance pay- ments i Total \$919,567 \$562,155 \$238,005 \$118,807 \$878,187 \$540,558 \$222,701 \$114,928 Alabama. 4,268 3,418	Per-		54	19			55	19		
Alabama 4,268 3,418 810 850 3,009 3,129 780 Arizona 7,214 164 6,870 180 6,462 152 6,120 190 Arizona 7,214 164 6,870 180 6,462 152 6,120 190 Arkansas 5,156 4,226 930 4,777 3,897 3,897 56,045 19,355 6,957 Colorado 6,377 1,839 4,073 465 6,218 2,051 3,717 450 Delaware 1,299 969 240 117 892 225 1,450 District of Columbla 2,550 2,380 100 2,605 3,443 1,502 1,230 Georgia 8,007 6,507 1,500 7,190 5,845 1,345 Haho 3,567 2,401 736 430 3,140 2,070 700 370 Indiana 13,064 11,129 1,935 14,024 11,944 2,980 1,280 Iowa 8,176 6,341 </td <td>entage hange n total pay- nents, 1955 from 1954</td> <td>insur- ance pay-</td> <td>fund dis- burse-</td> <td>ance losses paid by private insur- ance</td> <td>Total</td> <td>insur- ance pay-</td> <td>fund dis- burse-</td> <td>ance losses paid by private insur- ance</td> <td>Total</td> <td>State</td>	entage hange n total pay- nents, 1955 from 1954	insur- ance pay-	fund dis- burse-	ance losses paid by private insur- ance	Total	insur- ance pay-	fund dis- burse-	ance losses paid by private insur- ance	Total	State
All Bollish 7, 214 $3, 13$ $1, 120$ $5, 150$ $6, 870$ 180 $6, 462$ 152 $6, 120$ 190 Arkzonss $5, 156$ $4, 226$ 930 $4, 777$ $3, 897$ $-1, 52$ $6, 657$ Colorado $6, 377$ $1, 339$ $4, 073$ 465 $6, 257$ $5, 0.45$ $19, 355$ $6, 057$ Colorado $6, 377$ $1, 339$ $4, 073$ 465 $6, 218$ $2, 051$ $3, 717$ 450 Connecticut. $15, 938$ $14, 343$ $1, 500$ $14, 117$ 892 2255 $2, 260$ 1117 892 225 $1, 230$ District of Columbia. $2, 550$ $2, 360$ 190 $2, 603$ $3, 440$ $2, 070$ 700 370 Georgia $8, 007$ $6, 507$ $1, 500$ $7, 190$ $5, 845$ $-1, 230$ Indiana $13, 064$ $11, 129$ $1, 933$ $14, 024$ $11, 944$ $-1, 280$ $1, 480$ $1, 480$ $1, 480$ $1, 480$ $1, 480$ $1, 280$ $1, 480$ 1	+4.7	\$114,928	\$222,701	\$540,558	\$878,187	\$118,807	\$238,605	\$562,155	\$919,567	Total
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{r} +9.2 \\ +11.6 \\ +8.4 \\ +5.4 \\ +2.6 \\ +9.8 \\ +8.2 \\ -2.0 \\ +14.1 \\ +11.4 \end{array}$	$190\\860\\6,957\\450\\1,450\\225\\175\\1,230$	19,355 3,717	$ \begin{array}{r} 152\\ 3,897\\ 56,045\\ 2,051\\ 13,069\\ 892\\ 2,428\\ 14,552\\ \end{array} $	6,462 4,757 82,357 6,218 14,519 1,117 2,603 15,782	$ \begin{array}{r} 180 \\ 930 \\ 7,310 \\ 465 \\ 1,595 \\ 240 \\ 190 \\ 1,500 \\ \end{array} $	20, 373 4, 073	$\begin{array}{c} 164 \\ 4,226 \\ 59,111 \\ 1,839 \\ 14,343 \\ 969 \\ 2,360 \\ 16,511 \end{array}$	7,214 5,156 86,794 6,377 15,938 1,209 2,550 18,011	Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida
$ \begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$	+13.6 +.1 -6.8 +4.5 +10.4 +5.3 +4.9 +12.3 +5.0 +.6	8,940 2,080 1,280 1,480 3,200 2,850 280 1,560	1,350	$\begin{array}{c} 2,070\\ 36,725\\ 11,944\\ 5,139\\ 5,923\\ 6,057\\ 15,814\\ 1,845\\ 8,614\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 3,140\\ 45,665\\ 14,024\\ 6,419\\ 7,403\\ 9,257\\ 18,664\\ 2,125\\ 11,524\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 430\\ 8,628\\ 1,935\\ 1,340\\ 1,635\\ 3,370\\ 2,985\\ 310\\ 1,600\\ \end{array}$	736	$\begin{array}{c} 2,401\\ 37,060\\ 11,129\\ 5,367\\ 6,541\\ 6,379\\ 16,588\\ 2,077\\ 9,048 \end{array}$	3,567	Idaho
	+9.7 +7.0 +17.5 +4.7 +10.0 +9.0 +10.4 +6.2 +4.3 +2.2	2,400 340 3,250 681 135 155 40 5,480	1,976 3,117	$\begin{array}{c c} 11,569\\ 3,692\\ 13,532\\ 1,196\\ 3,168\\ & 2\\ 2,206\\ 36,506 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{c c} 2,550\\ 505\\ 3,400\\ 656\\ 140\\ 170\\ 45\\ 5,710 \end{array}$	2,223	$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	30,974 14,949 4,739 17,573 4,238 3,600 3,616 2,386 43,795	Michigan Minsesota Missisippi. Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire New Hampshire New Jersey
North Dakota	+1.4 +7.7 +24.0 +9.3 +11.9 +6.4 +1.5 +2.7 -1.2	$ \begin{array}{r} 1,400 \\$	1,526 55,000 1,416 12,992 2,914	7,676 2 115 9,358 1,787 22,552 5,545 4,103	9,076 1,528 63,365 12,024 14,779 37,316 5,840 5,103	$ \begin{array}{c} 1,500 \\ -9,020 \\ 1,390 \\ 11,040 \\ -300 \\ 1,020 \\ \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r} 1,890\\ 60,111\\ 1,697\\ 14,278\\ 3,162\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c c} 8,276\\ 5\\ 117\\ 10,369\\ 1,443\\ 23,262\\ 5,626\\ 4,219\end{array}$	9,776 1,895 69,248 13,456 15,721 37,464 5,926 5,239	North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island South Carolina
$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	+6.9 +5.8 +.9 -2.9 +6.0 -6.6 +2.9 +6.5 +2.9 +6.5	400 115 1,540 450 815 2,840	1,106 19,500 11,461 1,314	$\begin{array}{ c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c$. 47,271 2,448 1,236 7,703 20,294 12,305 16,219 1,323	410 110 1,500 450 813 3,010	1,153 18,116 11,502 1,400	50,027 907 1,090 6,669 388 57 13,687 9	50,027 2,470 1,200 8,169 18,954 12,372 16,697 1,409	Texas. Utah. Vermont. Virginia Washington. West Virginia. Wisconsin. Wyoming

¹ Data for 1955 preliminary. Calendar-year figures except that for Montana and West Virginia, for Federcept that for Montana and West Virginia, for Fed-eral employees, and for State fund disbursements in Idaho, Maryland, Nevada, North Dakota, Oregon, and Utah, data for fiscal years ended in 1954 and 1955 were used. Includes benefit payments under the Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' Compensa-tion Act and the Delense Bases Compensation Act for the States in which such payments are made. ¹ Net cash and medical benefits paid by private insurance carriers under standard workmen's com-pensation policies. Data primarily from the Specta-tor: Insurance by States of Fire, Marine, Casualty, Surety and Miscellaneous Lines, 83d and 84th annual issues.

³ Net cash and medical benefits paid by State funds; compiled from State reports (published and unpublished) and from the Spectator; estimated for ⁴ Cash and medical benefits paid by self-insurers,

plus the value of medical benefits paid by employers carrying workmen's compensation policies that do not include the standard medical coverage. Estima-ted from available State data.

⁵ Includes compensation payments made to indi-viduals under the War Claims Act, to dependents of reservists who died while on active duty with the Armed Forces, and to war-risk and enemy-action

Of the total payments of \$920 million, private carriers were responsible for 61 percent. State funds for 26 percent, and self-insurers for 13 percent. Again this year, total State fund disbursements increased at a faster rate than private carrier payments-7.1 percent and 4.0 percent, respectively. This is in part a reflection of the 11-percent rise in payments under the program for Federal Government employees, classified with the State funds; the increase for other State funds was nevertheless as much as 6.3 percent. The unevenness in the rate of change from State to State may be seen in the accompanying table.

Medical and hospital benefits probably account for as much as \$330 million of the total of \$920 million. This component is estimated to be increasing at a faster rate than cash compensation. The estimated distributions by type of payment are shown below: data for 1955 are preliminary, and those for 1954 have been revised.

[In millions]		
Type of payment	1955	1954
Total	\$920	\$878
Medical and hospitalization	330	310
Compensation, total	590	568
Disability Survivor	520 70	498

Aged Beneficiaries of OASI

Data on the number and monthly amount of benefits payable under the old-age and survivors insurance program, by type of benefit, are released each month; similar data, by beneficiary's State of residence, are released semiannually. These data are obtained as a byproduct of the regular benefit-payment operations, and their makeup depends upon operational procedures.

The number of persons aged 65 or over receiving old-age and survivors insurance monthly benefits can be closely approximated by combining

the numbers of old-age, wife's, husband's, widow's, widower's, and parent's benefits in current-payment status. This method results in a slight overstatement in the number of aged beneficiaries, arising from (1) the inclusion of wife beneficiaries under age 65 with child beneficiaries in their care and (2) counting twice some persons receiving both old-age benefits and secondary life or survivor (wife's, husband's, widow's, widower's, or parent's) benefits.

Monthly benefits for wives (under age 65) of old-age beneficiaries with child beneficiaries in their care were first payable for September 1950, and for benefit-payment purposes such wife beneficiaries are combined with wife or husband beneficiaries aged 65 or over. The number of these younger wife beneficiaries has grown from less than 9,000 at the end of 1950 to more than 60,000 on June 30, 1956.

Persons receiving old-age benefits may also be eligible to receive secondary life or survivor benefits. If the secondary benefit is larger, both types of benefit are payable, but the secondary benefit is reduced by the amount of the concurrent old-age benefit. Because of changes in benefit-payment procedures, these dualentitlement cases have been reported in published data on monthly benefits in current-payment status in varying ways.

From 1940 through 1948, a person receiving both old-age and secondary monthly benefits received two checks each month and was represented twice-under old-age benefits and under the appropriate secondary benefit for the reduced benefit. Beginning in 1949, a person whose secondary benefit was reduced to 99 cents or less received only one check each month for the combined amount and was represented only once-under old-age benefits. A person whose secondary benefit was reduced to \$1.00 or more continued, until the end of 1954, to receive two checks each month and to be represented twice.

Beginning January 1955, a person with a benefit currently awarded, reinstated, or adjusted, who thereafter was receiving both an old-age and a widow's, widower's, or parent's bene-

Table 1.—Number of persons aged 65 or over receiving monthly OASI benefits at the end of June and December, 1940-56

[In thousands; partly estimated]

		Total n	Beneficiaries living in the continental United States and Territories ¹				
Year	June	30	Decem	ber 31	June 30.	Dec. 31,	
	Unadjusted ²	Adjusted ⁸	Unadjusted ²	Adjusted •	adjusted .	adjusted *	
1940	$\begin{array}{r} 66\\ 216\\ 330\\ 410\\ 505\\ 650\\ 942\\ 1,198\\ 1,465\end{array}$	66 216 330 410 504 649 940 1,196 1,462	147 274 368 448 567 777 1,051 1,051 1,318 1,591	147 274 368 448 566 776 1,049 1,316 1,588	68 216 330 410 504 649 940 1,193 1,457	147 274 368 448 566 776 1,048 1,312 1,582	
1949 1950 1951 1952 1953 1954 1954 1955 1955	2,108 3,054 3,483 4,326 5,089	1,7872,1043,0073,4214,2434,9825,9226,646	1,9512,6083,3293,8584,6745,4546,392	1,9472,5843,2733,7894,5795,3286,286	1,779 2,095 2,994 3,403 4,218 4,950	1,939 2,573 3,257 3,768 4,552 5,294 6,246	

¹Alaska, Hawaii, Puerto Rico, and the Virgin Islands.

² Represents the combined number of old-age, wife's, husband's, widow's, widower's, and parent's benefits.

fit, regardless of the amount of the reduced secondary benefit, received only one check each month for the combined amount and was represented only once-under old-age benefits. By December 1955 the benefits for all such cases existing at the end of 1954 had been combined, and all persons receiving both an old-age benefit and a reduced secondary survivor benefit were represented only once-under old-age benefits for the combined amount. A person receiving both an old-age benefit and a reduced secondary life (wife's or husband's) benefit of \$1.00 or more continued to be represented twice-under old-age benefits and under the appropriate secondary life benefit.

The extent of overstatement caused by dual entitlement was minor—less than 5,000—before the 1950 amendments were enacted. The liberalized insured-status provision in those amendments made it possible, however, for many persons eligible for wife's, widow's, or parent's benefits to gualify for old-age benefits on the basis of covered employment of relatively short duration.

Duplication increased steadily thereafter to nearly 85,000 by mid-1955 but dropped to about 48,000 by the end of 1955 because of the change

⁴ Adjusted to exclude (1) wives under age 65 with child beneficiaries in their care and (2) duplication stemming from dual entitlement to old-age and secondary benefits.

in procedure for paying survivor benefits in cases of dual entitlement. In June 1956 the duplication was about 54,000.

The total overstatement in the unadjusted number of beneficiaries aged 65 or over, determined by combining the numbers of old-age, wife's, husband's, widow's, widower's, and parent's benefits in current-payment status, was less than 5,000 before 1950 but had reached a high of nearly 140,000 in June 1955. In June 1956 the overstatement was about 114,000.

Table 1 shows (1) the unadjusted numbers of persons aged 65 or over receiving old-age and survivors insurance monthly benefits at the end of each 6-month period, 1940-56, and (2) adjusted figures—in which the overstatement has been eliminated for both the total number of beneficiaries and the number excluding those living abroad.

Beginning September 14, 1956, benefit-payment procedures were further changed. A person with a benefit currently awarded, reinstated, or adjusted, who thereafter is to receive both an old-age and a wife's or husband's benefit, regardless of the amount of the reduced secondary

(Continued on page 31)

Table 15.—Aid to dependent children: Recipients and payments to recipients, by State, September 1956 1

[Includes vendor payments for medical care and cases receiving only such payments]

		Number of recipients		Payments to recipients			Percentage change from—			
State	Number of families	Total ²	Children	Total amount	Average per-		August 1956 in—		September 1955 in-	
					Family	Recipient	Number of families	Amount	Number of families	Amount
Total	606,717	2,233,348	1,695,590	\$54,852,985	\$90.41	\$24.56	(3)	+0.3	+0.4	+3.8
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Connecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida	$19,893 \\ 1,336 \\ 4,904 \\ 7,503 \\ 49,660 \\ 5,759 \\ 5,329 \\ 1,135 \\ 2,109 \\ 21,633$	$\begin{array}{c} 77,243\\ 4,683\\ 19,068\\ 28,302\\ 172,855\\ 22,075\\ 17,236\\ 4,363\\ 9,054\\ 77,174\\ \end{array}$	$59,486\\3,464\\14,475\\22,000\\133,255\\17,056\\12,843\\3,380\\7,080\\59,144$	$\begin{array}{c} 824,831\\ 116,062\\ 502,309\\ 419,225\\ 6,227,541\\ 640,646\\ 769,538\\ 95,403\\ 223,841\\ 1,198,642\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 41.46\\ 86.87\\ 102.43\\ 55.87\\ 125.40\\ 111.24\\ 144.41\\ 84.06\\ 106.14\\ 55.41\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 10.68\\ 24.78\\ 26.34\\ 14.81\\ 36.03\\ 29.02\\ 44.65\\ 21.87\\ 24.72\\ 15.53\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} +0.5 \\2 \\ +1.5 \\ +1.8 \\ -1.6 \\ +.2 \\4 \\ -1.1 \\8 \\ +.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} +.9 \\ +.4 \\ +1.7 \\ +1.8 \\ -1.7 \\ +1.6 \\ +.7 \\1 \\5 \\ +.4 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} +6.4 \\ +8.1 \\ +4.4 \\ +.1 \\ -6.0 \\ +.8 \\ +.3 \\ +7.4 \\ +2.3 \\ +2.0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -1.3 \\ +3.9 \\ +12.9 \\ +1.3 \\ -7.2 \\ +3.6 \\ +3.8 \\ +4.7 \\ +.1 \\ +2.8 \end{array}$
Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine	$\begin{array}{c} 13,857\\ 2,827\\ 1,705\\ 24,969\\ 8,801\\ 6,865\\ 4,606\\ 18,650\\ 19,576\\ 4,302\end{array}$	$50,357 \\ 10,783 \\ 6,189 \\ 99,792 \\ 31,236 \\ 24,848 \\ 16,900 \\ 67,823 \\ 76,996 \\ 14,961 \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} 38,474\\ 8,621\\ 4,554\\ 74,879\\ 23,249\\ 18,529\\ 13,058\\ 51,005\\ 58,889\\ 10,834 \end{array}$	$1,038,361\\282,211\\219,483\\3,532,904\\807,559\\776,886\\522,270\\1,103,996\\1,422,292\\363,822$	$\begin{array}{c} 74.93\\ 99.83\\ 128.73\\ 141.49\\ 91.76\\ 113.17\\ 113.39\\ 64.02\\ 72.65\\ 84.57\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 20.62\\ 26.17\\ 35.46\\ 35.40\\ 25.85\\ 31.27\\ 30.90\\ 17.60\\ 18.47\\ 24.32 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -1.0 \\ +.2 \\ -1.6 \\ +.1 \\ +.7 \\ +.8 \\ +.7 \\4 \\ -1.0 \\9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -1.1 \\ -1.7 \\ -1.1 \\ +.5 \\ +.2 \\ +1.3 \\ +.7 \\3 \\ -2.3 \\5 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -1.2 \\ -12.4 \\ -2.6 \\ +16.2 \\ +1.6 \\ +5.9 \\ +3.4 \\7 \\ +6.0 \\9 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} -1.3 \\ -10.9 \\ -3.0 \\ +23.3 \\ +3.7 \\ +9.4 \\ +5.4 \\3 \\ +18.0 \\ -1.0 \end{array}$
Maryland. Massachusetts. Michigan Minnesota. Mississispi Missouri. Montana. Nebraska. Nevada. Newada. New Hampshire.	$\begin{array}{c} 6,245\\ 12,426\\ 19,138\\ 8,005\\ 11,750\\ 20,047\\ 2,005\\ 2,725\\ 503\\ 932\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 25,631\\ 41,691\\ 67,310\\ 27,117\\ 43,494\\ 72,110\\ 7,200\\ 9,966\\ 1,758\\ 3,492 \end{array}$	$19,037 \\ 30,927 \\ 49,406 \\ 20,867 \\ 33,906 \\ 53,925 \\ 5,532 \\ 7,478 \\ 1,347 \\ 2,635 \\ \end{cases}$	$\begin{array}{c} 608,508\\ 1,688,910\\ 2,246,954\\ 992,861\\ 326,101\\ 1,437,156\\ 216,555\\ 269,128\\ 45,402\\ 125,639\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 97.44\\ 135.92\\ 117.41\\ 124.03\\ 27.75\\ 71.69\\ 108.01\\ 98.76\\ 90.26\\ 134.81\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 23.74\\ 40.51\\ 33.38\\ 36.61\\ 7.50\\ 19.93\\ 30.08\\ 27.00\\ 25.83\\ 35.98\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}9 \\2 \\ +.9 \\ +.3 \\ +.8 \\ +.5 \\ +1.6 \\5 \\ +.8 \\ +1.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r}9 \\ -2.9 \\ +1.3 \\ +.4 \\ +.9 \\ +.7 \\ +2.1 \\3 \\ +.6 \\ +2.1 \end{array}$	$^{+1.2}_{-3.6}_{-1.7}_{+1.9}_{4}_{-7.0}_{-1.7}_{+7.3}_{+128.6}_{-6.1}$	$^{+2.9}_{+3.3}_{+2.1}_{+6.3}_{5}_{-2.0}_{+1.1}_{+11.8}_{+141.7}$
New Jersey	$\begin{array}{c} 6,622\\ 6,079\\ 54,128\\ 18,785\\ 1,630\\ 17,448\\ 15,676\\ 3,296\\ 28,851\\ 42,200\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 21,963\\ 22,764\\ 200,001\\ 72,287\\ 5,938\\ 66,883\\ 52,820\\ 11,873\\ 111,012\\ 150,500 \end{array}$	$16,579 \\ 17,406 \\ 148,644 \\ 55,393 \\ 4,554 \\ 50,898 \\ 40,241 \\ 8,957 \\ 84,285 \\ 115,600 \\$	$799, 693 \\ 559, 672 \\ 7, 743, 899 \\ 1, 173, 525 \\ 199, 105 \\ 51, 565, 418 \\ 1, 309, 807 \\ 444, 285 \\ 3, 148, 209 \\ 447, 500 \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 120.76\\ 92.07\\ 143.07\\ 62.47\\ 122.15\\ 89.72\\ 83.55\\ 134.80\\ 109.12\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 36.41\\ 24.59\\ 38.72\\ 16.23\\ 33.53\\ 23.41\\ 24.80\\ 37.42\\ 28.36\end{array}$	$^{+1.6}_{1}$ $^{(4)}_{+.7}$ $^{+.8}_{+1.1}$ $^{3}_{+9.7}$ $^{-1.4}_{1.4}$	$ \begin{array}{r} +.9 \\ +7.5 \\ +1.9 \\ +.3 \\ +1.7 \\ +.9 \\ (4) \\ +17.4 \\ -1.0 \end{array} $	$+9.1 \\5 \\ +.6 \\ +.8 \\ +9.2 \\ +9.4 \\ +.2 \\ -1.2 \\1$	$^{+11.1}_{+33.7}_{+4.4}_{+1.3}_{+13.3}_{+2.5}_{+7.9}_{+9.7}_{+3.7}$
Rhode Island	$\begin{array}{c} 3,478\\7,900\\2,781\\19,452\\21,339\\2,823\\1,046\\251\\8,755\\8,735\end{array}$	$12,198\\30,474\\9,310\\70,353\\86,852\\9,890\\3,596\\947\\34,429\\30,385$	$\begin{array}{c} 9,052\\ 23,789\\ 7,147\\ 52,619\\ 65,783\\ 7,337\\ 2,688\\ 783\\ 26,754\\ 22,463\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 400,024\\ 374,460\\ 234,200\\ 1,217,353\\ 1,381,411\\ 321,117\\ 82,375\\ 8,570\\ 592,505\\ 1,074,724 \end{array}$	$115.02 \\ 47.40 \\ 84.21 \\ 62.58 \\ 64.74 \\ 113.75 \\ 78.75 \\ 34.14 \\ 67.68 \\ 123.04$	$\begin{array}{c} 32.79\\ 12.29\\ 25.16\\ 17.30\\ 15.91\\ 32.47\\ 22.91\\ 9.05\\ 17.21\\ 35.37 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} +.6 \\6 \\ +.4 \\2 \\ +.4 \\1 \\ -1.5 \\ +4.6 \\7 \end{array}$	$ \begin{array}{r} +.7 \\5 \\ +.5 \\2 \\ +.3 \\ +1.5 \\ -1.3 \\ +3.3 \\5 \\3 \end{array} $	$\begin{array}{r}4 \\ -3.4 \\ +1.7 \\ -5.4 \\ -4.5 \\ -3.5 \\ -3.9 \\ +20.7 \\ +.4 \\ +.1 \end{array}$	+3.5-3.5+3.6-1.6+9.4-2.3-5.2+18.1+3.5+4.3
West Virginia Wisconsin Wyoming	$17,808 \\ 7,871 \\ 568$	67,405 27,731 2,030	$52,258 \\ 20,578 \\ 1,547$	$^{1,428,821}_{1,147,860}_{63,416}$	$\begin{array}{r} 80.23 \\ 145.83 \\ 111.65 \end{array}$	$21.20 \\ 41.39 \\ 31.24$	$^{+.3}_{1}_{4}$	+.3 +2.4 3	$-1.2 \\ -1.2 \\ +2.2$	$^{+8.4}_{+4.3}_{+4.5}$

¹ For definition of terms see the Bulletin, January 1953, p. 16. All data subject

³ Decrease of less than 0.05 percent.

4 Increase of less than 0.05 percent.

⁵ In addition, supplemental payments of \$183,318 were made from general assistance funds to 4,831 families. ⁶ Estimated.

² Includes as recipients the children and 1 parent or other adult relative in families in which the requirements of at least 1 such adult were considered in determining the amount of assistance.

(Continued from page 19) AGED BENEFICIARIES OF OASI

benefit, will get only one check each month for the combined amount and is represented only once-under oldage benefits. When the benefits for all such cases existing on September 13, 1956, are combined - probably some time in 1957-all overstatement caused by dual entitlement will have been eliminated from the regularly published data on monthly benefits in current-payment status.

Under the 1956 amendments to the Social Security Act, the age at which women may qualify for benefits, beginning in November 1956, was lowered from 65 to 62. For benefit-payment purposes, women aged 62-64 receiving old-age, wife's, widow's, or parent's benefits will be combined with those aged 65 or over. Plans are being made to obtain supplementary data that will make it possible to determine, at a later date, the number of beneficiaries aged 65 or over.