June 8, 2017
The Honorable Al Lawson
United States House of Representatives
Washington, D.C. 20515
Dear Representative Lawson:
I am writing in response to your request for estimates of the financial effects on Social Security of H.R. 2855, the Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017, which you introduced today. The estimates provided here reflect the intermediate assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report. This Bill (hereafter referred to as the proposal) includes six provisions with direct effects on the Social Security Trust Funds. We have enjoyed working closely with Adam LaRose of your staff in developing this proposal to meet your goals. The estimates and analysis provided here reflect the combined effort of many in the Office of the Chief Actuary, but most particularly Karen Glenn, Christopher Chaplain, Daniel Nickerson, Kyle Burkhalter, Michael Clingman, Anna Kirjusina, and Tiffany Bosley.

The enclosed tables provide estimates of the effects of the six provisions on the cost, income, and combined trust fund reserves for the Old Age, Survivors, and Disability Insurance (OASDI) program, as well as estimated effects on retired worker benefit levels for selected hypothetical workers and effects on payroll tax levels. In addition, tables 1 b and 1b.n provide estimates of the federal budget implications of these six provisions with direct effects on the OASDI program.

Assuming enactment of the proposal, the projected trust fund reserve depletion year for the theoretical combined OASI and DI Trust Funds would be extended to 2049. Under current law, the projected trust fund reserve depletion year for the combined trust funds is 2034.

The proposal includes six provisions (Sections 2 through 7of the Bill) with direct effects on the OASDI program. The following list briefly describes these provisions:

Section 2 and Section 3. Apply the combined OASDI payroll tax rate on covered earnings above $\$ 250,000$ paid in 2018 and later. Tax all covered earnings once the current-law taxable maximum exceeds $\$ 250,000$. Credit the additional earnings that are taxed for benefit purposes by: (a) calculating a second average indexed monthly earnings ("AIME+") reflecting only additional earnings taxed above the current-law taxable maximum, (b) applying a 2-percent factor on this newly computed "AIME+" to develop a second component of the PIA, and (c) adding this second PIA component to the current-law PIA.

Section 4. Use the Consumer Price Index for the Elderly (CPI-E) increase rather than the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W) increase to calculate the cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), effective for December 2019 and later COLAs. We assume this change would increase the COLA by an average of 0.2 percentage point per year.

Section 5. Beginning in 2018, extend student benefits up to attainment of age 23 for children of disabled, retired or deceased workers, if the child is a full-time student in high school or below, college, or vocational school.

Section 6. Increase the special minimum PIA, beginning for workers who become newly eligible for retirement or disability benefits or die in 2018 or later. For workers becoming newly eligible or dying in 2018, the minimum initial PIA for workers with 30 or more years of coverage (YOCs) is 125 percent of the annual poverty guideline for a single individual published by the Department of Health and Human Services for 2017, divided by 12. For workers becoming newly eligible or dying after 2018, the minimum initial PIA is increased by the growth in the national average wage index (AWI).

Section 7. Establish an alternative benefit for surviving spouses. The alternative benefit would equal 75 percent of the sum of the survivor's own worker benefit and the deceased worker's PIA (including any actuarial reductions or delayed retirement credits (DRC)). If the deceased worker died before becoming entitled, use the age 62 actuarial reduction if deceased before age 62, or the applicable actuarial reduction/DRC for entitlement at the age of death if deceased after 62. An upper limit is applied for the alternative benefit amount.

The balance of this letter provides a summary of the effects of the six provisions on the actuarial status of the OASDI program, our understanding of the specifications and intent of each of the six provisions, and descriptions of our detailed financial estimates for trust fund operations, benefit levels, and implications for the federal budget. See the "Specification for Provisions of the Proposal" section of this letter for a more detailed description of these six provisions.

## Summary of Effects of the Proposal on OASDI Actuarial Status

Figure 1 illustrates the projected OASDI Trust Fund ratio through 2090 under current law and assuming enactment of the proposal. The trust fund ratio is defined as the combined Social Security Trust Fund reserves at the beginning of a year expressed as a percent of annual program cost for that year. Assuming enactment of the proposal, the combined Social Security Trust Fund reserves would deplete in 2049, 15 years later than the projected reserve depletion date under current law.

Figure 1. Current Law and Proposal OASDI Trust Fund Reserves as Percent of Annual Cost: 2016 TR Intermediate Assumptions


Note: Trust Fund Ratio for a given year is the ratio of reserves in the combined Social Security Trust Fund at the beginning of the year to the cost of the program for the year.

Under current law, 79 percent of scheduled benefits are projected to be payable on a timely basis in 2034 after depletion of the combined trust fund reserves, with the percentage payable declining to 74 percent for 2090. Under the proposal, the OASDI program would be able to pay 100 percent of scheduled benefits through 2048, 90 percent in 2049 after combined trust fund reserve depletion, with the percentage declining to 83 percent for 2090.

Enactment of the six provisions of this proposal would change the long-range OASDI actuarial deficit from 2.66 percent of taxable payroll under current law to 1.25 percent of payroll under the proposal.

Figure 2 illustrates annual projected levels of cost, expenditures, and non-interest income as a percent of the current-law taxable payroll. The projected level of cost reflects the full cost of scheduled benefits under both current law and the proposal. Under the proposal, projected expenditures equal the full cost of scheduled benefits until combined trust fund reserve depletion in 2049.

Figure 2. Proposal and Current-Law Cost, Expenditures, and Non-Interest Income as Percent of Taxable Payroll: 2016 TR Intermediate Assumptions


OASDI program annual cost under the proposal is higher than under current law, starting in 2018. This difference between proposal and current-law cost increases from 0.2 percent of current-law taxable payroll for 2018 to 0.8 percent of current-law payroll for 2040, and thereafter increases, reaching 1.0 percent of current-law payroll for 2090. Beginning in 2018, non-interest income under the proposal is projected to be higher than under current law. This difference between proposal and current-law income increases from 1.4 percent of current-law taxable payroll for 2018 to 2.3 percent of current-law payroll for 2034, and thereafter increases more gradually, reaching 2.4 percent of current-law payroll for 2090. For 2018 and later, the proposal improves the annual balance (non-interest income minus program cost).

It is also useful to consider the projected cost, expenditures, and income for the OASDI program expressed as a percentage of Gross Domestic Product (GDP). Figure 3 illustrates these levels under both current law and the proposal.

Figure 3. Proposal and Current-Law Cost, Expenditures, and Non-Interest Income as Percent of GDP: 2016 TR Intermediate Assumptions


## Specification for Provisions of the Proposal

Section 2 and Section 3. Apply the combined OASDI payroll tax rate on covered earnings above $\$ 250,000$ paid in 2018 and later.

These provisions apply the OASDI payroll tax rate to covered earnings above $\$ 250,000$ paid in 2018 and later. The $\$ 250,000$ level is a fixed amount after 2018 and is not indexed to price inflation or average wage increase. All covered earnings would be taxed once the current-law taxable maximum exceeds $\$ 250,000$, which is projected to occur in 2035. Any covered earnings above the higher of $\$ 250,000$ or the current-law taxable maximum in a given year would be counted as "excess wages" and would be credited for benefit purposes by:
a. Calculating a second average indexed monthly earnings ("AIME+") reflecting only additional earnings taxed above the current-law maximum,
b. Applying a 2-percent PIA factor to this newly computed "AIME+" to develop a second component of the PIA, and
c. Adding this second PIA component to the current-law PIA.

We estimate that enactment of these two provisions alone would reduce the long-range OASDI actuarial deficit by 2.11 percent of taxable payroll and would reduce the annual deficit for the $75^{\text {th }}$ projection year (2090) by 2.33 percent of payroll.

Section 4. Use the CPI-E increase rather than the CPI-W increase to calculate the COLA, effective for December 2019 and later COLAs.

Under current law, the annual cost-of-living adjustment (COLA) applied to Social Security benefits is calculated using the Consumer Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W). We estimate that using the Consumer Price Index for the Elderly (CPI-E) increase rather than the CPI-W increase in each year beginning with the December 2019 COLA would increase the effective COLA by 0.2 percentage points per year on average.

We estimate that enactment of this provision alone would increase the long-range OASDI actuarial deficit by 0.37 percent of taxable payroll and would increase the annual deficit for the $75^{\text {th }}$ projection year (2090) by 0.52 percent of payroll.

Section 5. Beginning in 2018, extend student benefits up to attainment of age 23 for children of disabled, retired or deceased workers, if the child is a full-time student in high school or below, college, or vocational school.

Under current law, benefits are available to children of retired, disabled, and deceased workers who are full-time students at a secondary school or elementary schools, up to attainment of age 19. This proposed provision would extend eligibility of full-time student benefits (including post-secondary students) up to attainment of age 23, starting in 2018.

We estimate that this provision alone would increase (worsen) the long-range OASDI actuarial deficit by 0.07 percent of taxable payroll and would increase the annual deficit for the 75th projection year (2090) by 0.07 percent of payroll.

Section 6. Increase the special minimum PIA for workers who become newly eligible for retirement or disability benefits or die in 2018 or later.

Under this provision, the minimum initial PIA for workers becoming newly eligible or dying in 2018 with 30 or more years of coverage (YOCs) would be 125 percent of the annual poverty guideline for a single individual published by the Department of Health and Human Services for 2017, divided by 12. For those with less than 30 YOCs, the minimum PIA per YOC in excess of 10 YOCs is the minimum PIA for workers with 30 or more YOCs, divided by 20. Any year in which a worker earns 4 quarters of coverage is determined to be a YOC. For workers becoming newly eligible or dying after 2018, the initial PIA per YOC in excess of 10 YOCs is indexed by growth in the national average wage index (AWI) to determine the minimum PIA applicable for the year of initial eligibility. After the year of initial eligibility, the minimum benefit is increased by the COLA for each cohort. The 30 and 10 YOC thresholds apply for all workers, including those who die or become disabled under age 62.

We estimate that enactment of this provision alone would increase the long-range OASDI actuarial deficit by 0.13 percent of taxable payroll and would increase the annual deficit for the $75^{\text {th }}$ projection year (2090) by 0.19 percent of payroll.

## Section 7. Establish an alternative benefit for surviving spouses.

Under current law, surviving spouses aged 60 or older are eligible to receive the higher of their own worker benefit (as a retiree or a disabled worker) or the benefit amount their deceased spouse was eligible to receive, subject to potential reductions for age at benefit entitlement. This proposed provision is intended to allow surviving spouses to receive an alternative benefit when it is higher than the benefit available under current law.

The alternative benefit would be computed as 75 percent of the sum of (a) the worker benefit (as a retired worker or as a disabled worker) the survivor is eligible to receive, including any reductions for age or delayed retirement credits (DRC) and (b) the worker benefit the deceased spouse would be eligible to receive if still alive, reflecting any reduction for age at entitlement or DRC (or if not entitled at death, then the reduction for age or DRC available for entitlement at the date of death or the earliest time thereafter). However, the size of the alternative benefit so computed would be limited so as not to exceed the PIA (unreduced for early retirement or increased by DRC) for a theoretical retired worker becoming entitled to benefits at age 62 in the same year the deceased first became entitled to a benefit (or the year of death if not yet entitled), with earnings for each year equal to the SSA national average wage index (AWI). Benefits under this provision would be payable for all surviving spouses on the rolls at the beginning of 2018 and those becoming eligible in 2018 and later.

We estimate that this provision alone would increase the long-range OASDI actuarial deficit by 0.12 percent of taxable payroll and would increase the annual deficit for the 75th projection year (2090) by 0.12 percent of payroll.

## Detailed Financial Results for the Provisions of the Proposal

## Summary Results by Provision

Table A provides estimates of the effects on the OASDI long-range actuarial balance of the six provisions of the proposal separately and on a combined basis. The table also includes estimates of the effect of the provisions on the annual balance (the difference between income rate and the cost rate, expressed as a percent of current-law taxable payroll) for the $75^{\text {th }}$ projection year, 2090. Interaction among individual provisions is reflected only in the total estimates for the combined provisions.

## Benefit Illustrations

Tables B1 and B2 provide illustrative examples of the projected change in benefit levels under the provisions of the proposal for beneficiaries retiring and starting benefit receipt at age 65 in future years at six selected earnings levels, with selected numbers of years of work. The "Maximum-AIME Steady Earner" is assumed to have earnings at ages 22 through 64 that equal
the current-law taxable maximum level (equivalent to $\$ 118,500$ for 2016) and the "Twice Maximum-AIME Steady Earner" is assumed to have earnings at ages 22 through 64 that equal twice the current-law taxable maximum level (equivalent to $\$ 237,000$ for 2016). As a result, the provision to tax and credit earnings above the current-law taxable maximum affects only the "Twice Maximum-AIME Steady Earner" benefit level. Table B3 provides additional important information on characteristics of retired workers represented by these illustrations for the year 2007.

The first several columns of Table B1 compare the initial scheduled benefit levels, assuming retirement at age 65 under the provisions of the proposal, to scheduled current-law benefit levels. All scheduled benefit amounts under the proposal are higher than those scheduled in current law, especially for the very low and low hypothetical earners with at least 30 years of earnings (due largely to the minimum benefit provision). In addition, the twice maximum steady-AIME earner receives additional benefits from earnings taxed above the current law taxable maximum under the proposal. The final three columns of this table show the level of scheduled benefits under the proposal as a percentage of current-law scheduled benefits, the level of scheduled benefits under the proposal as a percentage of current-law payable benefits, and the level of payable benefits under the proposal as a percentage of current-law payable benefits, respectively.

Table B2 compares the change in scheduled benefit levels at ages $65,75,85$, and 95 under the proposal to scheduled benefits under current law, assuming retirement and start of benefit receipt at age 65 . Table B2 shows that projected scheduled benefits under the provisions of the proposal increase in relation to current-law scheduled benefits between ages 65 and 95, because of the change in computing the COLA.

The hypothetical workers represented in these tables reflect average career-earnings patterns of workers who started receiving retirement benefits under the Social Security program in recent years. The tables subdivide workers with very low, low, and medium career-average earnings levels by their numbers of years of non-zero earnings.

Table B3 provides information helpful in interpreting the benefit illustrations in Tables B1 and B2. Percentages in Table B3 are based on tabulations from a 10-percent sample of newly-entitled retired workers in 2007. Table B3 displays the percentages of these newly-entitled retired workers in 2007 that are closest to each of the illustrative examples and are:

1) "Dually Entitled", meaning they received a higher spouse or widow(er) benefit based on the career earnings of their husband or wife,
2) "WEP" (Windfall Elimination Provision), meaning that they received a reduced benefit due to having a pension based on earnings that were not covered under the OASDI program (primarily certain government workers), and they had less than 30 years of substantial earnings that were taxable under the OASDI program,
3) "Foreign Born", meaning that they entered the Social Security coverage area after birth (and generally after entering working ages), and
4) "All Others", meaning they had none of the three characteristics listed above.

The extent to which retired-worker beneficiaries represented by each of the illustrative examples have any of the characteristics listed above (dually entitled, WEP, foreign born) is important
because such individuals are less dependent on the OASDI benefit that relates to their own career-average earnings level. It should be noted that the distributions shown in Table B3 for retirees in 2007 will be changing somewhat for beneficiaries becoming entitled as retired-worker beneficiaries in the future.

## Payroll Tax Effects

Table T compares the scheduled payroll tax levels under the provisions of the proposal to scheduled current-law payroll tax levels. Under the proposal, the 12.4 percent payroll tax would apply to annual earnings in excess of $\$ 250,000$ starting in 2018. As a result, Table T shows that the worker with earnings at twice the current-law taxable maximum in 2030 would have payroll tax liability increased by 82.3 percent. By 2050, workers with earnings at twice the current-law taxable maximum would have payroll tax liability increased by 100 percent.

## Detailed Tables Containing Annual and Summary Projections

Enclosed with this letter are tables 1, 1a, 1b, 1b.n, 1c, and 1d, which provide annual and summary projections for the proposal.

## Trust Fund Operations

Table 1 provides projections of the financial operations of the OASDI program under the proposal and shows that the combined Social Security Trust Fund would deplete in 2049 assuming enactment of this proposal. The table shows the annual cost and income rates, annual balances, and trust fund ratios (reserves as percent of annual program cost) for OASDI, as well as the change from current law in these cost rates, income rates, and annual balances. Included at the bottom of this table are summarized rates for the 75 -year (long-range) period.

For 2018 and later, the proposal improves the annual balance (non-interest income minus program cost). The improvement in the annual balance increases from 1.2 percent of current-law payroll for 2018 to 1.7 percent for 2035, and thereafter declines, reaching 1.4 percent for 2090. Under the proposal, the annual balance becomes positive in 2018, then declines and becomes negative in 2022. After 2022, the annual deficit increases to 1.8 percent of payroll for 2039, declines to 1.6 percent of payroll for 2051, and generally increases thereafter, reaching 2.9 percent of payroll for 2090. Under current law, the projected annual deficit for 2090 is 4.3 percent of payroll.

The actuarial balance for the OASDI program over the 75-year projection period is improved by 1.40 percent of taxable payroll, from an actuarial deficit of 2.66 percent of taxable payroll under current law to a deficit of 1.25 percent of taxable payroll under the proposal.

## Program Transfers and Trust Fund Reserves

Column 4 of Table 1a provides a projection of the level of reserves for the combined Social Security Trust Fund, assuming enactment of the eight Social Security provisions of the proposal. These trust fund reserve amounts are expressed in present value dollars discounted to January 1, 2016. The table indicates that the provisions include no new specified transfers of general
revenue to the combined Social Security Trust Fund. For purpose of comparison, the OASDI Trust Fund reserves, expressed in present value dollars, are also shown for the current-law Social Security program both without and with the added proposal general fund transfers (zero in this case) in columns 6 and 7.

Note that negative values in columns 6 and 7 represent the "unfunded obligation" for the program through the year. The unfunded obligation is the present value of the shortfall of revenue needed to pay full scheduled benefits on a timely basis from the date of trust fund reserve depletion through the end of the indicated year. Gross Domestic Product (GDP), expressed in present value dollars, is shown in column 5 for comparison with other values in the table.

## Effect of the Social Security Provisions on the Federal Budget

Table 1b shows the projected effect, in present value discounted dollars, on the federal budget (unified-budget and on-budget) annual cash flows and balances, assuming enactment of the six Social Security provisions of the proposal. We note that section 8 of the Bill provides for "holding SSI, Medicaid, and CHIP beneficiaries harmless" from potential implications of the other sections in the Bill. Our analysis provided in these tables does not reflect the effects on these programs under the on-budget operations of the federal government. Table 1b.n provides the estimated nominal dollar effect of enactment of the proposal on annual budget balances for years 2016 through 2026. All values in these tables represent the amount of change from the level projected under current law. In addition, changes reflect the budget scoring convention that presumes benefits, not payable under the law after depletion of trust fund reserves, would still be paid using revenue provided from the General Fund of the Treasury. The reader should be cautioned that this presumption of payment of benefits beyond the resources of the trust funds is prohibited under current law and is also inconsistent with all past experience under the Social Security program.

Column 1 of Table 1b shows the added proposal general fund transfers (zero for this proposal). Column 2 shows the net changes in OASDI cash flow from the six provisions of the proposal.

We project the net effect of the proposal on unified budget cash flow (column 3) to be positive in years 2018 and later. The payroll tax newly applied to earnings above \$250,000 in Sections 2 and 3 more than offsets benefit increases for the other provisions of the proposal.

Column 4 of Table 1b indicates that the effect of implementing the proposal is a reduction of the theoretical federal debt held by the public, reaching about $\$ 6.4$ trillion in present value at the end of the 75 -year projection period. Column 5 provides the projected effect of the proposal on the annual unified budget balances, including both the cash flow effect in column 3 and the additional interest on the accumulated debt in column 4. Columns 6 and 7 indicate that the provisions of this proposal would have no expected direct effects on the on-budget cash flow, or on the total federal debt, in the future.

It is important to note that we base these estimates on the intermediate assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report, so these estimates are not consistent with estimates made by the Office of Management and Budget or the Congressional Budget Office based on their assumptions. In
particular, all present values are discounted using trust fund yield assumptions under the intermediate assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report.

## Annual Trust Fund Operations as a Percent of GDP

Table 1c provides annual cost, annual expenditures (amount that would be payable), and annual tax income for the OASDI program expressed as a percentage of GDP for both current law and assuming enactment of the six Social Security provisions of the proposal. Showing the annual trust fund cash flows as a percent of GDP provides an additional perspective on these trust fund operations in relation to the total value of goods and services produced in the United States. The relationship between income and cost is similar when expressed as a percent of GDP to that when expressed as a percent of taxable payroll (Table 1).

## Effects on Trust Fund Reserves and Unfunded Obligations

Table 1d provides estimates of the changes in trust fund reserves and unfunded obligations on an annual basis. Values in this table are expressed in present value dollars discounted to January 1, 2016.

For the 75-year (long-range) period as a whole, the current-law unfunded obligation of $\$ 11.4$ trillion is reduced to $\$ 4.9$ trillion in present value assuming enactment of the proposal. This change of $\$ 6.4$ trillion results from:

- A $\$ 9.9$ trillion net increase in revenue (column 2), primarily from additional payroll tax, minus
- A $\$ 3.4$ trillion net increase in cost (column 3), from additional benefits from earnings taxed above the current-law taxable maximum, and from the other provisions of the proposal (Sections 4 through 7).

We hope these estimates are helpful. Please let me know if we may provide further assistance.
Sincerely,


Stephen C. Goss, ASA, MAAA
Chief Actuary
Enclosures

# Table A—Estimated Long-Range OASDI Financial Effects of H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017" (115 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Congress), Introduced by Rep. Lawson 

|  | Estimated Change in <br> Long-Range OASDI <br> Actuarial Balance <br>  <br> (as a percent of payroll) | Estimated Change <br> in Annual Balance <br> for $75^{\mathrm{th}}$ year ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (as a percent of payroll) |  |  |

Section 2 and Section 3) Apply the OASDI payroll tax rate on covered earnings above $\$ 250,000$ paid in 2018 and later, and tax all covered earnings once the current-law taxable maximum exceeds $\$ 250,000$. Credit the additional earnings taxed for benefit purposes by: (a) calculating a second average indexed monthly earnings ("AIME+") reflecting only earnings taxed above the current-law taxable maximum, (b) applying a 2 percent factor on this newly computed "AIME+" to develop a second component of the PIA, and (c) adding this second PIA component to the current-law PIA .................................... 2.11 2.33

Section 4) Use the increase in the Consumer Price Index for the Elderly (CPI-E) rather than the increase in the Consumer
Price Index for Urban Wage Earners and Clerical Workers (CPI-W) to calculate the cost-of-living adjustment (COLA), effective for December 2019 and later COLAs. We estimate this new computation would increase the annual COLA by about 0.2 percentage point, on average .................................... $-0.37$ $-0.52$

Section 5) Beginning in 2018, extend student benefits up to attainment of age 23 for children of disabled, retired or deceased workers, if the child is a full-time student in high school or below, college, or vocational school. $-0.07$

Section 6) Beginning in 2018, reconfigure the special minimum PIA for workers who become newly eligible for retirement or disability benefits or die, in 2018 or later: (a) A year of coverage (YOC) is defined as a year in which 4 quarters of coverage are earned, (b) For those becoming newly eligible or dying in 2018 with 30 or more YOCs, set the initial minimum PIA equal to 125 percent of the 2017 Department of Health and Human Services (HHS) monthly poverty level. For those with under 30 YOCs, the initial PIA per YOC in excess of 10 YOCs is 125 percent of the HHS monthly poverty level for 2017, divided by 20 , (c) For workers becoming newly eligible or dying after 2018, index the initial PIA per YOC by growth in the national average wage index (AWI), (d)
Minimum benefit levels are increased by the COLA after the year of initial eligibility, and (e) The 30 and 10 YOC thresholds apply for all workers, including those who die or become disabled under age 62 .

# Table A—Estimated Long-Range OASDI Financial Effects of H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017" ( $115^{\text {th }}$ Congress), Introduced by Rep. Lawson 

Estimated Change in Estimated Change Long-Range OASDI in Annual Balance Actuarial Balance ${ }^{1} \quad$ for $75^{\text {th }}$ year ${ }^{2}$
Provision (as a percent of payroll) (as a percent of payroll)

Section 7) Establish an alternative benefit for a surviving spouse. For the surviving spouse, the alternative benefit would equal 75 percent of the sum of the survivor's own worker benefit and the deceased worker's PIA (including any actuarial reductions or delayed retirement credits). If the deceased worker died before becoming entitled, use the age 62 actuarial reduction if deceased before age 62 , or the applicable actuarial reduction/DRC for entitlement at the age of death if deceased after 62. The alternative benefit would be limited so as not to exceed the PIA for a hypothetical earner who earned the SSA average wage index (AWI) every year, and who becomes eligible at age 62 for retired-worker benefits in the same year in which the deceased worker became entitled to worker benefits or died (if before entitlement). The alternative benefit would be paid only if more than the current-law benefit. This benefit would be available to surviving spouses on the rolls at the beginning of 2018 and those becoming eligible during and after 2018................................................. $-0.12-0.12$

Total for all provisions, including interaction 1.40
${ }^{1}$ Under current law, the estimated long-range OASDI actuarial balance is -2.66 percent of taxable payroll.
${ }^{2}$ Under current law, the estimated $75^{\text {th }}$ year annual balance is -4.35 percent of taxable payroll.
Notes: All estimates are based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2016 OASDI Trustees Report.
Estimates of individual provisions appear on a stand-alone basis relative to current law, unless otherwise stated.

Table B1. Changes in Benefits for Hypothetical Workers Beginning Benefit Receipt at age 65
H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017" (115th Congress), Introduced by Rep. Lawson

Benefit Ratios

| Year | Current Law Scheduled |  | Scheduled Benefit Level Percent Change at age 65 |  |  |  | Benefit Ratios |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Proposal | Proposal | Proposal |
|  |  |  | Scheduled to | Scheduled | Payable to |
| Attain | Monthly Benefits ${ }^{4}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Benefit | Minimum |  | Current Law | Current Law | Current Law |
| Age 65 | (Wage-Indexed | (CPI-Indexed |  |  |  |  | COLA ${ }^{5}$ | Formula ${ }^{6}$ | Benefit ${ }^{7}$ | $\underline{\text { Total }}{ }^{8}$ | Scheduled | Payable | Payable |
|  | 2015 Dollars) | 2015 Dollars) |  |  |  |  |  | (Percents) |  |
| Very-Low-AIME (\$12,280 for 2016 ${ }^{1}$ ) 30-Year Scaled Earner (8.9\% of Retirees ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 718 | 718 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 660 | 812 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 46.3 | 47.2 | 147 | 147 | 147 |
| 2050 | 661 | 1,036 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 46.3 | 47.2 | 147 | 184 | 166 |
| 2080 | 665 | 1,469 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 46.3 | 47.2 | 147 | 196 | 166 |
| Very-Low-AIME (\$12,280 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 20-Year Scaled Earner (5.2\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 718 | 718 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 660 | 812 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| 2050 | 661 | 1,036 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 126 | 113 |
| 2080 | 665 | 1,469 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 134 | 113 |
| Very-Low-AIME (\$12,280 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 14 -Year Scaled Earner (4.2\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 718 | 718 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 660 | 812 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| 2050 | 661 | 1,036 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 126 | 113 |
| 2080 | 665 | 1,469 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 134 | 113 |
| Low-AIME (\$22,105 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 44-Year Scaled Earner (16.9\% of Retirees ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 940 | 940 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 863 | 1,062 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 112 | 112 | 112 |
| 2050 | 865 | 1,356 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 112 | 141 | 127 |
| 2080 | 869 | 1,921 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 112 | 150 | 127 |
| Low-AIME (\$22,105 for 2016 ${ }^{1}$ ) 30-Year Scaled Earner (4.4\% of Retirees ${ }^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 940 | 940 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 863 | 1,062 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 112 | 112 | 112 |
| 2050 | 865 | 1,356 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 112 | 141 | 127 |
| 2080 | 869 | 1,921 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 11.8 | 12.5 | 112 | 150 | 127 |
| Low-AIME (\$22,105 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 20-Year Scaled Earner ( $\mathbf{2} .0 \%$ of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 940 | 940 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 863 | 1,062 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| 2050 | 865 | 1,356 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 126 | 113 |
| 2080 | 869 | 1,921 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 134 | 113 |
| Medium-AIME (\$49,121 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 44-Year Scaled Earner (29.2\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 1,548 | 1,548 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 1,423 | 1,750 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| 2050 | 1,425 | 2,234 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 126 | 113 |
| 2080 | 1,433 | 3,166 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 134 | 113 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 1,548 | 1,548 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 1,423 | 1,750 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| 2050 | 1,425 | 2,234 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 126 | 113 |
| 2080 | 1,433 | 3,166 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 134 | 113 |
| High-AIME (\$78,594 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 44-Year Scaled Earner (19.8\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 2,053 | 2,053 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 1,885 | 2,319 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| 2050 | 1,888 | 2,960 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 126 | 113 |
| 2080 | 1,899 | 4,195 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 134 | 113 |
| Maximum-Current-Law-AIME (\$118,500 for 2016 ${ }^{1}$ ) 43-Year Steady Earner (6.3\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 2,492 | 2,492 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 2,308 | 2,839 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 101 | 101 |
| 2050 | 2,309 | 3,622 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 126 | 113 |
| 2080 | 2,317 | 5,119 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 101 | 134 | 113 |
| Twice Maximum-Current-Law-AIME (\$237,000 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 43-Year Steady Earner ${ }^{\mathbf{3}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 2,492 | 2,492 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 100 |
| 2030 | 2,308 | 2,839 | 0.6 | 1.1 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 102 | 102 | 102 |
| 2050 | 2,309 | 3,622 | 0.6 | 4.9 | 0.0 | 5.5 | 106 | 132 | 119 |
| 2080 | 2,317 | 5,119 | 0.6 | 6.8 | 0.0 | 7.5 | 107 | 143 | 121 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Average of highest 35 years of taxable earnings wage indexed to 2016. For the Maximum and Twice Maximum-Current-Law-AIME workers, we show one times and two times the 2016 taxable maximum, respectively. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{2}$ Projected percent of new retired worker awards in 2050 with current-law AIME levels and years of covered earnings closest to AIME levels and years of covered earnings shown. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| If all earnings were considered, unlimited by annual taxable maximums, then about 1.5 percent of all retirees would have an AIME closer to Twice Maximum-Current-Law than Maximum-Current-Law. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{4}$ After the trust fund reserves deplete under current law continuing taxes are expected to be enough to pay about three fourths of scheduled benefits. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ Starting Dec 2019, compute the COLA using increases in the CPI-E, producing $0.2 \%$ higher annual COLAs on average. <br> ${ }^{6}$ Starting in 2018, apply the OASDI payroll tax rate on earnings above $\$ 250,000$, and tax all earnings once the current-law taxable maximum exceeds $\$ 250,000$. Credit the additional earnings for benefit purposes by: (a) calculating a second average indexed monthly earnings ("AIME+") reflecting only earnings taxed above the current law taxable maximum, (b) applying a 2 percent factor on this newly computed "AIME+" to develop a second component of the PIA, and (c) adding this second PIA component to the first PIA component. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| For beneficiaries newly eligible in 2018, establish a minimum PIA level such that a worker with 30/10 years of coverage would receive an initial PIA of at least $125 \% / 0 \%$ of the monthly poverty level for 2017. For beneficiaries newly eligible after 2018, the initial minimum PIA level would be adjusted for average wage growth. The Minimum Benefit Percent change is calculated after all other provisions, so that the Proposed Benefit Amount is at least the Minimum Benefit, where applicable. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8}$ Reflects only the provisions of the proposal shown in these illustrations. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All estimates based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration } & \text { June 8, } 2017\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Table B2. Changes in Benefits for Hypothetical Workers Beginning Benefit Receipt at age 65 H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017" (115th Congress), Introduced by Rep. Lawson

Proposal Scheduled Benefit as Percent of Current Law Scheduled

| Proposal Scheduled Benefit as Percent of Current Law Scheduled |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year <br> Attain |  |  |  |  |
| Age 65 | Age 65 | Age 75 | Age 85 | Age 95 |
| (Percent) |  |  |  |  |
| Very-Low-AIME (\$12,280 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 30-Year Scaled Earner (8.9\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 147.2 | 150.1 | 153.0 | 156.0 |
| 2050 | 147.2 | 150.1 | 153.0 | 156.0 |
| 2080 | 147.2 | 150.1 | 153.0 | 156.0 |
| Very-Low-AIME (\$12,280 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 20-Year Scaled Earner (5.2\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2050 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2080 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| Very-Low-AIME (\$12,280 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) $\mathbf{1 4 - Y e a r ~ S c a l e d ~ E a r n e r ~ ( 4 . 2 \% ~ o f ~ R e t i r e e s ~}{ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2050 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2080 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| Low-AIME (\$22,105 for 2016 ${ }^{\text {1 }}$ ) 44-Year Scaled Earner (16.9\% of Retirees ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 112.5 | 114.7 | 117.0 | 119.3 |
| 2050 | 112.5 | 114.7 | 116.9 | 119.2 |
| 2080 | 112.5 | 114.7 | 117.0 | 119.3 |
| Low-AIME (\$22,105 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 30-Year Scaled Earner (4.4\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 112.5 | 114.7 | 117.0 | 119.3 |
| 2050 | 112.5 | 114.7 | 116.9 | 119.2 |
| 2080 | 112.5 | 114.7 | 117.0 | 119.3 |
| Low-AIME (\$22,105 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 20-Year Scaled Earner ( $\mathbf{2} \mathbf{0} \%$ of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2050 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2080 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| Medium-AIME (\$49,121 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 44-Year Scaled Earner (29.2\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2050 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2080 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| Medium-AIME (\$49,121 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 30-Year Scaled Earner (3.2\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2050 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2080 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| High-AIME (\$78,594 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 44-Year Scaled Earner ( $\mathbf{1 9 . 8 \%}$ of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2050 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2080 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| Maximum-Current-Law-AIME (\$118,500 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 43-Year Steady Earner (6.3\% of Retirees ${ }^{\mathbf{2}}$ ) |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2050 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| 2080 | 100.6 | 102.6 | 104.6 | 106.6 |
| Twice Maximum-Current-Law-AIME (\$237,000 for 2016 ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ ) 43-Year Steady Earner ${ }^{3}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 2016 | 100.0 | 101.4 | 103.4 | 105.4 |
| 2030 | 101.7 | 103.7 | 105.7 | 107.8 |
| 2050 | 105.5 | 107.6 | 109.7 | 111.9 |
| 2080 | 107.5 | 109.6 | 111.7 | 113.9 |
| ${ }^{1}$ Average of highest 35 years of taxable earnings wage indexed to 2016. For the Maximum and Twice Maximum-Current-Law-AIME workers, we show one times and two times the 2016 taxable maximum, respectively. <br> ${ }^{2}$ Projected percent of new retired worker awards in 2050 with current-law AIME levels and years of covered earnings closest to AIME levels and years of covered earnings shown. 3 If all earnings were considered, unlimited by annual taxable maximums, then about 1.5 percent of all retirees would have an AIME closer to Twice Maximum-Current-Law than Maximum-Current-Law. |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| - Starting Dec 2019, compute the COLA using the increase in CPI-E, producing 0.2\% higher annual COLAs on average. |  |  |  |  |
| Starting in 2018, apply the OASDI payroll tax rate on earnings above $\$ 250,000$, and tax all earnings once the current-law taxable maximum exceeds $\$ 250,000$. Credit the additional earnings for benefit purposes by: (a) calculating a second average indexed monthly earnings ("AIME+") reflecting only earnings taxed above the current law taxable maximum, (b) applying a 2 percent factor on this newly computed "AIME+" to develop a second component of the PIA, and (c) adding this second PIA component to the first PIA component. |  |  |  |  |
| For beneficiaries newly eligible in 2018, establish a minimum PIA level such that a worker with $30 / 10$ years of coverage would receive an initial PIA of at least $125 \% / 0 \%$ of the monthly poverty level for 2017. For beneficiaries newly eligible after 2018, the initial minimum PIA level would be adjusted for average wage growth. <br> Reflects only the provisions of the proposal shown in these illustrations. |  |  |  |  |
| All estimates based on the intermediate assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report. |  |  |  |  |
| Office of the Chief Actuary, Social Security Administration ${ }^{\text {a }}$ June 8, 2017 |  |  |  |  |

## Table B3. Important Characteristics of Hypothetical Workers in 2007




Table 1 - OASDI Cost Rate, Income Rate, Annual Balance, and Trust Fund Ratio
H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017," Introduced by Representative AI Lawson

|  | Proposal |  |  |  | Change from Current Law |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Expressed as a percentage of current-law taxable payroll |  |  | Trust Fund Ratio 1-1-year | Expressed as a percentage of current-law taxable payroll |  |  |
|  |  | Income | Annual |  |  | Income | Annual |
| Year | Cost Rate | Rate | Balance |  | Cost Rate | Rate | Balance |
| 2016 | 14.05 | 12.94 | -1.10 | 303 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2017 | 13.72 | 12.92 | -0.80 | 293 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 2018 | 14.06 | 14.35 | 0.30 | 273 | 0.20 | 1.40 | 1.20 |
| 2019 | 14.19 | 14.48 | 0.29 | 266 | 0.20 | 1.51 | 1.31 |
| 2020 | 14.37 | 14.53 | 0.16 | 259 | 0.24 | 1.55 | 1.31 |
| 2021 | 14.54 | 14.59 | 0.05 | 252 | 0.27 | 1.59 | 1.32 |
| 2022 | 14.80 | 14.66 | -0.14 | 244 | 0.30 | 1.63 | 1.32 |
| 2023 | 15.10 | 14.72 | -0.38 | 235 | 0.34 | 1.66 | 1.32 |
| 2024 | 15.40 | 14.79 | -0.62 | 226 | 0.38 | 1.69 | 1.32 |
| 2025 | 15.70 | 14.84 | -0.86 | 215 | 0.42 | 1.73 | 1.31 |
| 2026 | 15.92 | 14.91 | -1.01 | 206 | 0.45 | 1.79 | 1.33 |
| 2027 | 16.13 | 14.99 | -1.15 | 196 | 0.49 | 1.84 | 1.36 |
| 2028 | 16.33 | 15.06 | -1.27 | 187 | 0.52 | 1.90 | 1.39 |
| 2029 | 16.52 | 15.14 | -1.38 | 179 | 0.55 | 1.97 | 1.42 |
| 2030 | 16.68 | 15.21 | -1.47 | 170 | 0.58 | 2.03 | 1.46 |
| 2031 | 16.83 | 15.29 | -1.53 | 162 | 0.60 | 2.10 | 1.50 |
| 2032 | 16.96 | 15.37 | -1.58 | 154 | 0.63 | 2.17 | 1.54 |
| 2033 | 17.06 | 15.46 | -1.61 | 145 | 0.65 | 2.25 | 1.59 |
| 2034 | 17.14 | 15.54 | -1.60 | 137 | 0.68 | 2.33 | 1.65 |
| 2035 | 17.20 | 15.57 | -1.63 | 129 | 0.70 | 2.35 | 1.65 |
| 2036 | 17.28 | 15.58 | -1.70 | 121 | 0.72 | 2.36 | 1.63 |
| 2037 | 17.34 | 15.59 | -1.76 | 112 | 0.74 | 2.36 | 1.62 |
| 2038 | 17.38 | 15.59 | -1.79 | 103 | 0.76 | 2.36 | 1.60 |
| 2039 | 17.39 | 15.59 | -1.80 | 94 | 0.78 | 2.36 | 1.58 |
| 2040 | 17.39 | 15.60 | -1.79 | 85 | 0.80 | 2.37 | 1.57 |
| 2041 | 17.37 | 15.60 | -1.78 | 75 | 0.81 | 2.37 | 1.55 |
| 2042 | 17.36 | 15.60 | -1.76 | 66 | 0.82 | 2.37 | 1.54 |
| 2043 | 17.34 | 15.60 | -1.73 | 57 | 0.84 | 2.37 | 1.53 |
| 2044 | 17.31 | 15.60 | -1.71 | 48 | 0.85 | 2.37 | 1.53 |
| 2045 | 17.30 | 15.60 | -1.70 | 39 | 0.85 | 2.37 | 1.52 |
| 2046 | 17.29 | 15.60 | -1.68 | 30 | 0.86 | 2.37 | 1.51 |
| 2047 | 17.27 | 15.61 | -1.66 | 20 | 0.87 | 2.37 | 1.51 |
| 2048 | 17.26 | 15.61 | -1.65 | 11 | 0.87 | 2.38 | 1.50 |
| 2049 | 17.25 | 15.61 | -1.64 | 2 | 0.88 | 2.38 | 1.50 |
| 2050 | 17.24 | 15.61 | -1.63 | ---- | 0.88 | 2.38 | 1.50 |
| 2051 | 17.24 | 15.61 | -1.63 | ---- | 0.89 | 2.38 | 1.49 |
| 2052 | 17.26 | 15.61 | -1.65 | ---- | 0.89 | 2.38 | 1.49 |
| 2053 | 17.29 | 15.62 | -1.67 | ---- | 0.89 | 2.38 | 1.49 |
| 2054 | 17.32 | 15.62 | -1.70 | ---- | 0.90 | 2.38 | 1.48 |
| 2055 | 17.37 | 15.63 | -1.74 | ---- | 0.90 | 2.38 | 1.48 |
| 2056 | 17.41 | 15.63 | -1.78 | ---- | 0.91 | 2.38 | 1.48 |
| 2057 | 17.47 | 15.64 | -1.83 | ---- | 0.91 | 2.39 | 1.47 |
| 2058 | 17.52 | 15.64 | -1.88 | ---- | 0.92 | 2.39 | 1.47 |
| 2059 | 17.58 | 15.65 | -1.93 | ---- | 0.92 | 2.39 | 1.47 |
| 2060 | 17.63 | 15.65 | -1.98 | ---- | 0.92 | 2.39 | 1.46 |
| 2061 | 17.69 | 15.66 | -2.03 | ---- | 0.93 | 2.39 | 1.46 |
| 2062 | 17.74 | 15.66 | -2.08 | ---- | 0.93 | 2.39 | 1.46 |
| 2063 | 17.80 | 15.67 | -2.13 | ---- | 0.94 | 2.39 | 1.45 |
| 2064 | 17.85 | 15.67 | -2.18 | ---- | 0.94 | 2.39 | 1.45 |
| 2065 | 17.90 | 15.67 | -2.23 | ---- | 0.95 | 2.40 | 1.45 |
| 2066 | 17.96 | 15.68 | -2.28 | ---- | 0.95 | 2.40 | 1.44 |
| 2067 | 18.02 | 15.69 | -2.33 | ---- | 0.96 | 2.40 | 1.44 |
| 2068 | 18.08 | 15.69 | -2.39 | ---- | 0.96 | 2.40 | 1.44 |
| 2069 | 18.14 | 15.69 | -2.44 | ---- | 0.97 | 2.40 | 1.43 |
| 2070 | 18.19 | 15.70 | -2.49 | ---- | 0.97 | 2.40 | 1.43 |
| 2071 | 18.24 | 15.70 | -2.54 | ---- | 0.98 | 2.40 | 1.42 |
| 2072 | 18.29 | 15.71 | -2.58 | ---- | 0.98 | 2.41 | 1.42 |
| 2073 | 18.33 | 15.71 | -2.62 | ---- | 0.99 | 2.41 | 1.42 |
| 2074 | 18.36 | 15.72 | -2.65 | ---- | 0.99 | 2.41 | 1.42 |
| 2075 | 18.39 | 15.72 | -2.67 | ---- | 1.00 | 2.41 | 1.41 |
| 2076 | 18.40 | 15.72 | -2.68 | ---- | 1.00 | 2.41 | 1.41 |
| 2077 | 18.41 | 15.72 | -2.69 | ---- | 1.00 | 2.41 | 1.41 |
| 2078 | 18.41 | 15.73 | -2.69 | ---- | 1.00 | 2.41 | 1.41 |
| 2079 | 18.41 | 15.73 | -2.68 | ---- | 1.01 | 2.42 | 1.41 |
| 2080 | 18.41 | 15.73 | -2.68 | ---- | 1.01 | 2.42 | 1.41 |
| 2081 | 18.41 | 15.73 | -2.68 | ---- | 1.01 | 2.42 | 1.41 |
| 2082 | 18.42 | 15.73 | -2.69 | ---- | 1.01 | 2.42 | 1.41 |
| 2083 | 18.43 | 15.73 | -2.70 | ---- | 1.01 | 2.42 | 1.41 |
| 2084 | 18.45 | 15.74 | -2.72 | ---- | 1.01 | 2.42 | 1.41 |
| 2085 | 18.48 | 15.74 | -2.75 | ---- | 1.01 | 2.42 | 1.41 |
| 2086 | 18.52 | 15.74 | -2.78 | ---- | 1.02 | 2.42 | 1.41 |
| 2087 | 18.56 | 15.75 | -2.82 | ---- | 1.02 | 2.43 | 1.41 |
| 2088 | 18.61 | 15.75 | -2.86 | ---- | 1.02 | 2.43 | 1.41 |
| 2089 | 18.65 | 15.75 | -2.90 | ---- | 1.02 | 2.43 | 1.40 |
| 2090 | 18.70 | 15.76 | -2.94 | ---- | 1.03 | 2.43 | 1.40 |
| 2091 | 18.75 | 15.76 | -2.99 | ---- | 1.03 | 2.43 | 1.40 |
| Summarized Rates: OASDI |  |  |  |  | Summarized Rates: OASDI |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Change in |
|  |  |  | Actuarial | Year of reserve | Change in | Change in | Actuarial |
|  | Cost Rate | Income Rate | Balance | depletion ${ }^{1}$ | Cost rate | Income Rate | Balance |
| 2016-2090 | 17.26\% | 16.01\% | -1.25\% | 2049 |  |  | 1.40\% |

Table 1a - General Fund Transfers, OASDI Trust Fund Reserves, and Theoretical OASDI Reserves H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017," Introduced by Representative AI Lawson


Total 2016-2090
Based on the Intermediate Assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report. Ultimate Real Trust Fund Yield of 2.7\%.

Table 1b - OASDI Changes \& Implications for Federal Budget and Debt of Specified Plan Provision Effects on OASDI ${ }^{1}$ (Present Value Dollars) H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017," Introduced by Representative AI Lawson

|  | Billions of Present Value Dollars as of 1-1-2016 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Specified General Fund Transfers | Basic Changes in OASDI Cash Flow | Change in Annual Unified Budget Cash Flow | Change in Debt Held by Public at End of Year | Change in Annual Unified Budget Balance | Change in Total Federal Debt End Of Year | Change in Annual On Budget Balance |
|  | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) |
| 2016 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2017 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2018 | 0.0 | 82.6 | 82.6 | -82.6 | 82.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2019 | 0.0 | 92.4 | 92.4 | -175.0 | 94.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2020 | 0.0 | 95.0 | 95.0 | -269.9 | 100.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2021 | 0.0 | 97.3 | 97.3 | -367.2 | 105.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2022 | 0.0 | 98.9 | 98.9 | -466.1 | 110.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2023 | 0.0 | 99.9 | 99.9 | -566.0 | 115.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2024 | 0.0 | 101.0 | 101.0 | -667.0 | 120.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2025 | 0.0 | 101.9 | 101.9 | -768.9 | 125.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2026 | 0.0 | 104.1 | 104.1 | -873.0 | 133.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2027 | 0.0 | 106.2 | 106.2 | -979.2 | 142.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2028 | 0.0 | 108.3 | 108.3 | -1,087.5 | 151.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2029 | 0.0 | 110.2 | 110.2 | -1,197.6 | 161.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2030 | 0.0 | 112.2 | 112.2 | -1,309.8 | 173.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2031 | 0.0 | 114.1 | 114.1 | -1,423.9 | 180.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2032 | 0.0 | 116.4 | 116.4 | -1,540.3 | 189.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2033 | 0.0 | 119.0 | 119.0 | -1,659.3 | 197.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2034 | 0.0 | 121.9 | 121.9 | -1,781.2 | 206.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2035 | 0.0 | 120.9 | 120.9 | -1,902.0 | 211.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2036 | 0.0 | 118.2 | 118.2 | -2,020.2 | 215.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2037 | 0.0 | 115.6 | 115.6 | -2,135.8 | 218.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2038 | 0.0 | 113.2 | 113.2 | -2,249.1 | 222.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2039 | 0.0 | 111.0 | 111.0 | -2,360.1 | 225.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2040 | 0.0 | 109.0 | 109.0 | -2,469.1 | 229.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2041 | 0.0 | 107.2 | 107.2 | -2,576.3 | 233.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2042 | 0.0 | 105.4 | 105.4 | -2,681.7 | 236.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2043 | 0.0 | 103.8 | 103.8 | -2,785.5 | 240.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2044 | 0.0 | 102.4 | 102.4 | -2,887.9 | 244.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2045 | 0.0 | 100.9 | 100.9 | -2,988.8 | 248.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2046 | 0.0 | 99.6 | 99.6 | -3,088.4 | 251.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2047 | 0.0 | 98.4 | 98.4 | -3,186.7 | 255.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2048 | 0.0 | 97.1 | 97.1 | -3,283.9 | 259.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2049 | 0.0 | 96.0 | 96.0 | -3,379.8 | 263.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2050 | 0.0 | 94.8 | 94.8 | -3,474.7 | 267.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2051 | 0.0 | 93.7 | 93.7 | -3,568.4 | 270.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2052 | 0.0 | 92.6 | 92.6 | -3,661.0 | 274.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2053 | 0.0 | 91.5 | 91.5 | -3,752.5 | 278.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2054 | 0.0 | 90.4 | 90.4 | -3,842.9 | 281.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2055 | 0.0 | 89.3 | 89.3 | -3,932.2 | 285.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2056 | 0.0 | 88.2 | 88.2 | -4,020.3 | 288.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2057 | 0.0 | 87.1 | 87.1 | -4,107.4 | 292.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2058 | 0.0 | 86.0 | 86.0 | -4,193.4 | 295.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2059 | 0.0 | 84.9 | 84.9 | -4,278.3 | 298.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2060 | 0.0 | 83.8 | 83.8 | -4,362.1 | 301.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2061 | 0.0 | 82.7 | 82.7 | -4,444.7 | 305.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2062 | 0.0 | 81.6 | 81.6 | -4,526.3 | 308.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2063 | 0.0 | 80.5 | 80.5 | -4,606.8 | 311.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2064 | 0.0 | 79.5 | 79.5 | -4,686.3 | 314.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2065 | 0.0 | 78.4 | 78.4 | -4,764.7 | 317.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2066 | 0.0 | 77.3 | 77.3 | -4,842.1 | 320.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2067 | 0.0 | 76.3 | 76.3 | -4,918.4 | 323.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2068 | 0.0 | 75.3 | 75.3 | -4,993.7 | 326.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2069 | 0.0 | 74.3 | 74.3 | -5,068.0 | 328.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2070 | 0.0 | 73.3 | 73.3 | -5,141.3 | 331.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2071 | 0.0 | 72.4 | 72.4 | -5,213.6 | 334.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2072 | 0.0 | 71.5 | 71.5 | -5,285.1 | 337.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2073 | 0.0 | 70.6 | 70.6 | -5,355.7 | 339.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2074 | 0.0 | 69.7 | 69.7 | -5,425.4 | 342.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2075 | 0.0 | 68.8 | 68.8 | -5,494.2 | 345.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2076 | 0.0 | 68.0 | 68.0 | -5,562.3 | 348.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2077 | 0.0 | 67.2 | 67.2 | -5,629.5 | 350.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2078 | 0.0 | 66.5 | 66.5 | -5,696.0 | 353.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2079 | 0.0 | 65.8 | 65.8 | -5,761.8 | 356.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2080 | 0.0 | 65.1 | 65.1 | -5,826.8 | 358.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2081 | 0.0 | 64.4 | 64.4 | -5,891.2 | 361.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2082 | 0.0 | 63.7 | 63.7 | -5,954.8 | 363.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2083 | 0.0 | 63.0 | 63.0 | -6,017.8 | 366.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2084 | 0.0 | 62.3 | 62.3 | -6,080.1 | 369.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2085 | 0.0 | 61.6 | 61.6 | -6,141.7 | 371.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2086 | 0.0 | 60.9 | 60.9 | -6,202.6 | 373.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2087 | 0.0 | 60.2 | 60.2 | -6,262.8 | 376.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2088 | 0.0 | 59.5 | 59.5 | -6,322.3 | 378.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2089 | 0.0 | 58.8 | 58.8 | -6,381.1 | 381.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2090 | 0.0 | 58.1 | 58.1 | -6,439.2 | 383.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2016-2090 | 0.0 | 6,439.2 | 6,439.2 |  |  |  |  |

Based on Intermediate Assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report.
Ultimate Real Trust Fund Yield of 2.7\%.
Note: Changes reflect the budget scoring convention that presumes benefits not payable after reserve depletion would
nonetheless be paid, based on transfers from the General Fund of the Treasury resulting in additional borrowing from the public.
Office of the Chief Actuary
${ }^{1}$ Effects of tax provisions on the On-Budget are not reflected in this table.
Social Security Administration June 8, 2017

Table 1b.n - OASDI Changes \& Implications for Federal Budget and Debt of Specified Plan Provision Effects on OASDI ${ }^{1}$ (Nominal Dollars)
H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017," Introduced by Representative AI Lawson


Based on Intermediate Assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report.
Note: Changes reflect the budget scoring convention that presumes benefits not payable after reserve depletion would
nonetheless be paid, based on transfers from the General Fund of the Treasury resulting in additional borrowing from the public.
${ }^{1}$ Effects of tax provisions on the On-Budget are not reflected in this table.

Office of the Chief Actuary Social Security Administration June 8, 2017

Table 1c - Current Law and Proposal Cost, Expenditures, and Income: As Percent of Gross Domestic Product H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017," Introduced by Representative AI Lawson

| Calendar Year | Current Law OASDI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Cost <br> (1) | Expenditures (Payable) (2) | Non-Interest Income (3) |
| 2016 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.59 |
| 2017 | 4.91 | 4.91 | 4.62 |
| 2018 | 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.65 |
| 2019 | 5.05 | 5.05 | 4.68 |
| 2020 | 5.12 | 5.12 | 4.70 |
| 2021 | 5.18 | 5.18 | 4.72 |
| 2022 | 5.28 | 5.28 | 4.75 |
| 2023 | 5.39 | 5.39 | 4.77 |
| 2024 | 5.50 | 5.50 | 4.79 |
| 2025 | 5.60 | 5.60 | 4.81 |
| 2026 | 5.67 | 5.67 | 4.81 |
| 2027 | 5.73 | 5.73 | 4.81 |
| 2028 | 5.78 | 5.78 | 4.81 |
| 2029 | 5.83 | 5.83 | 4.81 |
| 2030 | 5.87 | 5.87 | 4.81 |
| 2031 | 5.91 | 5.91 | 4.80 |
| 2032 | 5.94 | 5.94 | 4.80 |
| 2033 | 5.96 | 5.96 | 4.80 |
| 2034 | 5.98 | 5.29 | 4.80 |
| 2035 | 5.98 | 4.79 | 4.79 |
| 2036 | 6.00 | 4.79 | 4.79 |
| 2037 | 6.01 | 4.79 | 4.79 |
| 2038 | 6.01 | 4.78 | 4.78 |
| 2039 | 6.00 | 4.78 | 4.78 |
| 2040 | 5.99 | 4.78 | 4.78 |
| 2041 | 5.97 | 4.77 | 4.77 |
| 2042 | 5.96 | 4.77 | 4.77 |
| 2043 | 5.94 | 4.77 | 4.77 |
| 2044 | 5.93 | 4.76 | 4.76 |
| 2045 | 5.92 | 4.76 | 4.76 |
| 2046 | 5.91 | 4.76 | 4.76 |
| 2047 | 5.90 | 4.76 | 4.76 |
| 2048 | 5.89 | 4.76 | 4.76 |
| 2049 | 5.88 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| 2050 | 5.87 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| 2051 | 5.87 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| 2052 | 5.87 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| 2053 | 5.88 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| 2054 | 5.89 | 4.75 | 4.75 |
| 2055 | 5.90 | 4.74 | 4.74 |
| 2056 | 5.91 | 4.74 | 4.74 |
| 2057 | 5.92 | 4.74 | 4.74 |
| 2058 | 5.94 | 4.74 | 4.74 |
| 2059 | 5.95 | 4.74 | 4.74 |
| 2060 | 5.97 | 4.74 | 4.74 |
| 2061 | 5.98 | 4.73 | 4.73 |
| 2062 | 5.99 | 4.73 | 4.73 |
| 2063 | 6.01 | 4.73 | 4.73 |
| 2064 | 6.02 | 4.73 | 4.73 |
| 2065 | 6.03 | 4.72 | 4.72 |
| 2066 | 6.04 | 4.72 | 4.72 |
| 2067 | 6.06 | 4.72 | 4.72 |
| 2068 | 6.07 | 4.71 | 4.71 |
| 2069 | 6.08 | 4.71 | 4.71 |
| 2070 | 6.09 | 4.71 | 4.71 |
| 2071 | 6.10 | 4.70 | 4.70 |
| 2072 | 6.11 | 4.70 | 4.70 |
| 2073 | 6.12 | 4.70 | 4.70 |
| 2074 | 6.12 | 4.69 | 4.69 |
| 2075 | 6.13 | 4.69 | 4.69 |
| 2076 | 6.12 | 4.68 | 4.68 |
| 2077 | 6.12 | 4.68 | 4.68 |
| 2078 | 6.11 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| 2079 | 6.11 | 4.67 | 4.67 |
| 2080 | 6.10 | 4.66 | 4.66 |
| 2081 | 6.09 | 4.66 | 4.66 |
| 2082 | 6.09 | 4.66 | 4.66 |
| 2083 | 6.09 | 4.65 | 4.65 |
| 2084 | 6.09 | 4.65 | 4.65 |
| 2085 | 6.09 | 4.64 | 4.64 |
| 2086 | 6.10 | 4.64 | 4.64 |
| 2087 | 6.11 | 4.64 | 4.64 |
| 2088 | 6.12 | 4.64 | 4.64 |
| 2089 | 6.13 | 4.63 | 4.63 |
| 2090 | 6.14 | 4.63 | 4.63 |

Based on Intermediate Assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report.

| Proposal OASDI |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost <br> (4) | Expenditures (Payable) <br> (5) | Non-Interest Income <br> (6) |
| 4.98 | 4.98 | 4.59 |
| 4.91 | 4.91 | 4.62 |
| 5.05 | 5.05 | 5.16 |
| 5.12 | 5.12 | 5.22 |
| 5.20 | 5.20 | 5.26 |
| 5.28 | 5.28 | 5.30 |
| 5.39 | 5.39 | 5.34 |
| 5.51 | 5.51 | 5.37 |
| 5.64 | 5.64 | 5.41 |
| 5.76 | 5.76 | 5.44 |
| 5.83 | 5.83 | 5.46 |
| 5.90 | 5.90 | 5.48 |
| 5.97 | 5.97 | 5.50 |
| 6.03 | 6.03 | 5.53 |
| 6.08 | 6.08 | 5.55 |
| 6.13 | 6.13 | 5.57 |
| 6.17 | 6.17 | 5.59 |
| 6.20 | 6.20 | 5.62 |
| 6.22 | 6.22 | 5.64 |
| 6.23 | 6.23 | 5.65 |
| 6.26 | 6.26 | 5.64 |
| 6.28 | 6.28 | 5.64 |
| 6.28 | 6.28 | 5.64 |
| 6.28 | 6.28 | 5.63 |
| 6.28 | 6.28 | 5.63 |
| 6.27 | 6.27 | 5.63 |
| 6.26 | 6.26 | 5.62 |
| 6.25 | 6.25 | 5.62 |
| 6.23 | 6.23 | 5.62 |
| 6.23 | 6.23 | 5.62 |
| 6.22 | 6.22 | 5.61 |
| 6.21 | 6.21 | 5.61 |
| 6.20 | 6.20 | 5.61 |
| 6.20 | 5.73 | 5.61 |
| 6.19 | 5.61 | 5.61 |
| 6.19 | 5.60 | 5.60 |
| 6.19 | 5.60 | 5.60 |
| 6.20 | 5.60 | 5.60 |
| 6.21 | 5.60 | 5.60 |
| 6.22 | 5.60 | 5.60 |
| 6.23 | 5.60 | 5.60 |
| 6.25 | 5.59 | 5.59 |
| 6.27 | 5.59 | 5.59 |
| 6.28 | 5.59 | 5.59 |
| 6.30 | 5.59 | 5.59 |
| 6.31 | 5.59 | 5.59 |
| 6.33 | 5.58 | 5.58 |
| 6.34 | 5.58 | 5.58 |
| 6.35 | 5.58 | 5.58 |
| 6.37 | 5.57 | 5.57 |
| 6.38 | 5.57 | 5.57 |
| 6.40 | 5.57 | 5.57 |
| 6.41 | 5.56 | 5.56 |
| 6.42 | 5.56 | 5.56 |
| 6.44 | 5.56 | 5.56 |
| 6.45 | 5.55 | 5.55 |
| 6.46 | 5.55 | 5.55 |
| 6.47 | 5.55 | 5.55 |
| 6.47 | 5.54 | 5.54 |
| 6.48 | 5.54 | 5.54 |
| 6.48 | 5.53 | 5.53 |
| 6.47 | 5.53 | 5.53 |
| 6.47 | 5.52 | 5.52 |
| 6.46 | 5.52 | 5.52 |
| 6.45 | 5.51 | 5.51 |
| 6.44 | 5.51 | 5.51 |
| 6.44 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
| 6.44 | 5.50 | 5.50 |
| 6.44 | 5.49 | 5.49 |
| 6.45 | 5.49 | 5.49 |
| 6.46 | 5.49 | 5.49 |
| 6.47 | 5.48 | 5.48 |
| 6.48 | 5.48 | 5.48 |
| 6.49 | 5.48 | 5.48 |
| 6.50 | 5.48 | 5.48 |

Table 1d - Change in Long-Range Trust Fund Reserves / Unfunded Obligation
H.R. 2855, the "Social Security for Future Generations Act of 2017," Introduced by Representative AI Lawson


Based on Intermediate Assumptions of the 2016 Trustees Report.

