**ATTORNEY SCREENING WORKSHEET FOR NEW YORK REDETERMINATIONS**

Claimant:  SSN: Title(s):

**Please document the following when screening cases:**

1. Obtain claimant’s current address information through running a FACT query for Title II claims and SSID query for Title XVI claims. Include current address here:
2. Name of representative(s) at all levels of review:
3. Indicate whether an appeal was filed or if the AC reopened jurisdiction. If there is an appeal, please state resolution of the appeal.
4. Indicate whether the hearing level decision was a bench decision or a non-bench decision.

[ ]  Bench decision (Hearing held with oral decision and written notice of oral decision

 issued to the claimant).

[ ]  Non-bench written decision (Hearing held with written ALJ decision)

[ ]  On-the-record decision (No hearing held with written ALJ or SAA decision)

1. Indicate at which step of the sequential evaluation the claimant was found disabled.

[ ]  Step 3 meets a listing

[ ]  Step 3 equals a listing

[ ]  Step 5 decision

1. Evidence is considered “tainted” if there is reason to believe that fraud was involved in providing that evidence to us. In these cases, tainted evidence includes evidence from or submitted by indicted individuals or discredited sources, statements from a claimant or beneficiary about or alleging a mental impairment, or medical evidence from other sources that is based on the claimant or beneficiary’s allegations of a mental impairment. Such evidence must be disregarded in all redeterminations. Please indicate whether the case record contains tainted evidence from any of the following sources:

[ ]  Raymond Lavallee

[ ]  Thomas Hale

[ ]  Joseph Esposito

[ ]  John Minerva

[ ]  Dr. Edward Sodaro

[ ]  Dr. Raymond Pierre-Paul

[ ]  A statement from a claimant or beneficiary about or alleging a mental impairment

[ ]  Medical evidence from other sources that is based on the claimant or beneficiary’s allegations of a mental impairment

1. List exhibit numbers and sources of all tainted evidence.
2. List exhibit numbers and sources of all non-tainted evidence that supports the AC’s decision.
3. After documenting the above information, select one of the following. Please consider the claim only through the date of the final and binding decision (allowance date).

[ ]  AC favorable decision is supported by non-tainted evidence even after disregarding all tainted evidence described in #7. No further redetermination procedures required. Please specify which evidence remaining supports a favorable decision. *Add the following instructions an ARPS Remark: “NY1: AC decision supported by credible evidence. Further redetermination is not recommended.”*

[ ]  AC favorable decision is **not** supported by remaining non-tainted evidence. Further redetermination procedures are required. Please specify which non-tainted exhibits remain in the record. *Add the following instructions in ARPS Remark: “NY1: AC decision not supported by remaining credible evidence. Further redetermination recommended.”*

[ ]  Unable to assess whether a redetermination is required.

Other recommendation(s) and/or information that may be helpful to the AC when considering redetermination of the claimant’s case:

Reviewer: Date: