APPENDIX D

METHODOLOGICAL APPROACH TO ESTIMATING THE IMPACT OF TICKET TO WORK

A. OVERVIEW

This appendix provides additional details on the estimates of the impacts of TTW in the program's first two years. The program was rolled out to Phase 1 states in 2002, and to Phase 2 states in 2003.¹ Our impact estimates represent outcomes from the early stages of implementation.

Our preferred approach is based on a model that captures the impacts of TTW over its first two years of implementation. We include longitudinal data for a single cohort of 4.7 million beneficiaries covering the period from the year before the Phase 1 Ticket mailing in 2001 and continuing through the end of 2003. Our model uses temporal variation in the availability of Ticket in two ways. First, it uses pre-post rollout variation within the Phase 1 and 2 states (that is, states in which the rollout occurred in these years). Second, it compares pre-post variation within Phase 1 and 2 states to contemporaneous pre-post variation within states where the rollout had not yet occurred. In both years, the comparison states include the Phase 3 states, and the TTW states include the Phase 1 states. The role of the Phase 2 states in generating impacts changes from 2002 to 2003 because the program was rolled out in these states in 2003. Hence, in 2002, the Phase 2 states are comparison states, but in 2003 they are TTW states.

¹ Phase 1 states include Arizona, Colorado, Delaware, Florida, Illinois, Massachusetts, New York, Oklahoma, Oregon, South Carolina, Vermont, Wisconsin, and Iowa. Phase 2 states include Alaska, Connecticut, New Hampshire, New Jersey, Washington DC, Virginia, Georgia, Kentucky, Mississippi, Montana, Tennessee, Indiana, Michigan, Arkansas, Louisiana, New Mexico, Kansas, Missouri, North Dakota, South Dakota, and Nevada. Phase 3 states include Maine, Rhode Island, Maryland, Pennsylvania, West Virginia, Alabama, North Carolina, Minnesota, Ohio, Texas, Nebraska, Utah, Wyoming, California, Hawaii, Idaho, and Washington.

D-2-

In Chapter XIII and Appendix D of Thornton et al. (2007), we presented the impact estimates for all of the outcome variables in the year in which Tickets were mailed ("mailing year"), including: SVRA-only service enrollment, total (SVRA and EN) service enrollment, earnings, benefit amount, any annual employment, any annual benefit receipt, and left cash benefits due to work. We also presented estimates for impacts on all of these variables except the two service enrollment variables for the year after Ticket mailing. The latter two variables were omitted from the analysis for that report because complete data on service enrollment at SVRAs were not available, reflecting the fact that the SVRAs report enrollment only after each case is closed, rather than when it is opened.

For this report, we produced second-year impact estimates for the two service enrollment variables. We also replicated the first-year estimates for these variables, to verify that updates to the data did not appreciably affect the first-year enrollment efforts. Finally, we produced estimates of impacts on total service enrollment, mean benefits and mean earnings for 10 individual Phase 1 states that SSA had paired with 10 Phase 3 states on the basis of factors that were expected to predict TTW participation rates (Chapter XII).

All of the estimates are based on the econometric model that is briefly described in Section C of Chapter XII and described in more detail in Appendix D of Thornton et al. (2007). The data sources and files used for this report are updated versions of those described in the Appendix D of the earlier report, based on data available from both SSA and RSA through 2005.

Detailed estimates for total service enrollment appear in Table D.1 and detailed estimates for SVRA service enrollment appear in Table D.2. These estimates are based on updated data for the 2001 beneficiary cohort (i.e., those on the rolls when the TTW rollout began). As in the earlier report, we also present estimates produced by the same method applied to the 1998 and 1999 beneficiary cohorts. These estimates support the Heckman-Hotz test. The purpose of this test is to determine whether the estimates for the 2001 cohort can be interpreted as impacts of TTW, rather than the effects of differential changes in other, unobserved, state factors. If such factors influence the estimates substantially, we should also see evidence of their influence in the estimates based on the earlier cohorts, in the form of significant coefficients; such coefficients must be attributed to such factors, because TTW had not yet been implemented.

We also present detailed results for each of the 10 state pairs, (Tables D.3 and D.4), and compare the estimates for the 2001 cohort to those for the 1998 and 1999 cohorts to illustrate the extent to which the 2001 cohort estimates deviate from estimates for the earlier cohorts.

B. ESTIMATED ENROLLMENT IMPACTS BASED ON DATA FOR ALL STATES

Table D.1	Impact Estimates and	J Sensitivity	Tests f	or Total	(SVRA	and	EN)	Service	Enrollment	Outcomes	For	Ticket-Eligible
	Beneficiaries Age 19 to	o 58, by Age-	Program	Group (L	Jpper Bo	ound)						

	SSDI-only Beneficiaries			Concurrent Beneficiaries			SSI-only Beneficiaries			Tatal
Variables	19-39	40-49	50-58	19-39	40-49	50-58	19-39	40-49	50-58	TOLAI
		Ir	npact Estin	nates (perce	ntage point	s)				
2001 Cohort										
Ticket mailing year (λ1)	0.64**	0.47**	0.27**	0.55**	0.47**	0.23**	0.58**	0.51**	0.28**	0.42**
Ticket one year after mailing ($\lambda 2$)	1.43**	0.77**	0.39	1.12**	0.71**	0.32	1.27**	0.67**	0.31**	0.73**
Observations (x 1,000)	1,240	2,730	3,936	1,797	1,475	1,363	945	868	673	15,027
	s	ensitivity T	ests Using	the Same N	lodel for Ea	rlier Cohorts	5			
1999 Cohort										
λ1´	0.09	0.04	0.01	-0.06	0.01	-0.03	-0.05	0.05	0.06	0,01
λ2´	-0.09	-0.21**	-0.14**	-0.19*	-0.15*	-0.07	-0.39**	0.07	-0.13	-0.15**
Observations (x 1,000)	1,172	2,447	3,344	1,762	1,299	1,160	965	788	599	13,536
1998 Cohort										
λ1´	-0.01	0.03	0.02	-0.19**	-0.06	0.00	-0.22	-0.07	0.11	-0.04
λ2´	-0.18	-0.07	-0.07*	-0.53**	-0.08	-0.05	-0.39**	-0.27**	0.18*	-0.17**
Observations (x 1,000)	1,173	2,333	3,073	1,779	1,215	1,057	969	745	565	12,909

Source: Tabulations of econometric estimates are based on linked TRF and RSA-911 longitudinal data files.

Notes: The dependent variable equaled one if the beneficiary was an open SVRA case in at least one month of the year or had an actively assigned Ticket at some time during the year; otherwise, it equaled zero. All models include an intercept and controls for the county unemployment rate, individual fixed effects, state fixed effects, and year fixed effects. The impact estimates are regression coefficients (x 100) from separate econometric analyses for each age-program group. $\lambda 1$ represents the impact estimate in the year of Ticket mailing. The sensitivity test results include estimates from earlier cohorts using the same econometric model from the impact analysis. $\lambda 1'$ represents the estimate of $\lambda 1$ applied to an earlier cohort. The sample size for each group is the number of persons in the group times the number of observation years (three). Age and Title categories reflect age and program status at time of Ticket mailing.

*Significant at the 5% level

**Significant at the 1% level

	SSDI-only Beneficiaries			Concurrent Beneficiaries			SSI-only Beneficiaries			Total
Variables	19-39	40-49	50-58	19-39	40-49	50-58	19-39	40-49	50-58	TOLA
		Ir	npact Estim	nates (perce	ntage point	s)				
2001 Cohort										
Ticket mailing year(λ1)	0.17**	0.18**	0.15**	0.17**	0.27**	0.15**	0.10	0.25**	0.15**	0.17**
Ticket one year after mailing ($\lambda 2$)	0.29**	0.07	0.11**	0.19*	0.21**	0.10**	-0.05	0.01	0.00	0.12**
Observations (x 1,000)	1,240	2,730	3,936	1,797	1,475	1,363	945	868	673	15,027
	s	ensitivity T	ests Using	the Same N	lodel for Ea	rlier Cohorts	;			
1999 Cohort										
λ1΄	0.09	0.04	0.01	-0.06	0.01	-0.03	-0.05	0.05	0.06	0.01
λ2΄	-0.09	-0.21**	-0.14**	-0.19*	-0.15*	-0.07	-0.39**	0.07	-0.13	-0.15**
Observations (x 1,000)	1,172	2,447	3,344	1,762	1,299	1,160	965	788	599	13,536
1998 Cohort										
λ1΄	-0.01	0.03	0.02	-0.19**	-0.06	0.00	-0.22	-0.07	0.11	-0.04
λ2΄	-0.18	-0.07	-0.07*	-0.53**	-0.08	-0.05	-0.39**	-0.27**	0.18*	-0.17**
Observations (x 1,000)	1,173	2,333	3,073	1,779	1,215	1,057	969	745	565	12,909

Table D.2 Impact Estimates on SVRA-only Service Enrollment for Ticket-Eligible Beneficiaries Age 18 to 57, by Age-Program Group

Source: Tabulations of econometric estimates are based on linked TRF and RSA-911 longitudinal data files.

Notes: The dependent variable equaled one if the beneficiary was an open SVRA case in at least one month of the year; otherwise, it equaled zero. All models include an intercept and controls for the county unemployment rate, individual fixed effects, state fixed effects, and year fixed effects. The impact estimates are regression coefficients (x 100) from separate econometric analyses for each age-program group. The sensitivity test results include estimates from earlier cohorts using the same econometric model from the impact analysis. They are the same as those reported for total enrollment (Table D.1) because prior to Ticket all enrollments were at SVRAs. $\lambda 1'$ represents the estimate of $\lambda 1$ applied to an earlier cohort. The sample size for each group is the number of persons in the group times the number of observation years (three). Age and title categories reflect age and program status at time of Ticket mailing.

*Significant at the 5% level.

**Significant at the 1% level.

D-4-

C. IMPACT ESTIMATES BASED ON 10 MATCHED PAIRS OF PHASE 1 AND PHASE 3 STATES

In this section we present impact estimates based on the matched state pairs, to support Exhibits XII.9 to XII.12. Table D.3 presents impact estimates for total service enrollment, SVRA-only service enrollment, earnings and benefits based on all 10 matched pairs, by age and Title. Table D.4 presents total service enrollment, SVRA service enrollment, earnings and benefit impact estimates in the year after mailing for the youngest age group, by Title, based on each matched pair.

	Maili	ng Year		Year After Mailing				
	Impact Estimate	Impact Estimate Earlier Cohorts		Impact Estimate	Earlier (Cohorts		
Beneficiary Cohort:	2001	1999	1998	2001	1999	1998		
	Total	Service E	nrollment					
SSDI-only								
19 to 39	1.1**	0.0	-0.1	2.3**	-0.1	-0.2		
40 to 49	0.6**	0.0	0.0	1.3**	-0.1*	0.1		
50 to 58	0.3**	0.0	0.0	0.7**	-0.1*	0.0		
Concurrent								
19 to 39	0.7**	-0.5**	-0.1	1.7**	-1.1**	-0.4**		
40 to 49	0.6**	-0.1	-0.1	0.9**	-0.2	-0.4**		
50 to 58	0.3**	-0.2	0.1	0.5**	-0.4**	0.1		
SSI-only								
19 to 39	0.7**	-0.3**	-0.5**	1.7**	-0.5**	-0.8**		
40 to 49	0.6**	-0.1	0.0	1.1**	-0.4**	-0.1		
50 to 58	0.3**	-0.2**	0.0	0.5**	-0.3**	-0.2**		
	SVRA	Service E	inrollment					
SSDI-only								
19 to 39	0.3*	0.0	-0.1	0.9**	-0.1	-0.2		
40 to 49	0.1*	0.0	0.0	0.4**	-0.1	0.1		
50 to 58	0.1**	0.0	0.0	0.4**	-0.1	0.0		
Concurrent								
19 to 39	-0.1	-0.5**	-0.1	0.2	-1.1	-0.4**		
40 to 49	0.1	-0.1	-0.1	0.2	-0.2	-0.4**		
50 to 58	0.1	-0.2	0.1	0.2	-0.4	0.1		
SSI-only								
19 to 39	0.1	-0.3**	-0.5**	0.6**	-0.5	-0.8**		
40 to 49	0.3**	-0.1	0.0	0.5**	-0.4	-0.1		
50 to 58	0.1*	-0.2**	0.0	0.2**	-0.3	-0.2**		
	Mean	Annual I	Earnings					
SSDI-only								
19 to 39	-72**	-30	25	-81**	-31	6		
40 to 49	-23*	-22*	16	-25*	5	-1		
50 to 58	13	35**	29**	10	46**	44**		

Table D.3	Impact Estimates	s for 10 Matched State	Pairs, by Title,	, Age and Beneficiary	/ Cohort
-----------	------------------	------------------------	------------------	-----------------------	----------

	Maili	ng Year		Year After Mailing			
	Impact Estimate	Earlier	Cohorts	Impact Estimate	Earlier Cohorts		
Beneficiary Cohort:	2001	1999	1998	2001	1999	1998	
Concurrent							
19 to 39	-41**	-17	15	-42**	-27	14	
40 to 49	-41**	-4	-6	-32**	3	9	
50 to 58	-24**	14	0	-43**	-10	17	
SSI-only							
19 to 39	-25**	3	27**	-37**	-21*	16	
40 to 49	-2	5	19**	2	-7	9	
50 to 58	-3	5	11	-3	-2	12*	
	Mear	n Annual	Benefits				
SSDI-only							
19 to 39	2	33**	17*	-12	8	5	
40 to 49	-1	11*	5	-13	-22	-9	
50 to 58	-12	-1	1	-22	-25	-18	
Concurrent							
19 to 39	-77	57**	-45	-91	80	6	
40 to 49	-68	50**	-23	-92	73	-19	
50 to 58	-14	55**	-68	-103	97	-13	
SSI-only							
19 to 39	-86	40**	-61	-97	67	-34	
40 to 49	-66	73**	-34	-84	103	-2	
50 to 58	-83	99**	8	-96	131	40**	

Source: Tabulations of econometric estimates based on linked TRF and RSA-911 longitudinal data files for 10 matched state pairs.

Notes: All models include an intercept and controls for the county unemployment rate, individual fixed effects, state fixed effects, and year fixed effects. The impact estimates are based on the 2001 cohort, and represent regression coefficients from separate econometric analyses for each age-program group. The sensitivity test results are estimates based on earlier (1999 and 1998) cohorts using the same econometric model as for the 2001 cohort. Age and Program categories reflect age and program status at time of Ticket mailing.

*Significant at the 5% level.

**Significant at the 1% level.

	Total Service Enrollment			SVRA Service Enrollment			
	Impact Estimate	Earlier	Cohorts	Impact Estimate	Earlier (Cohorts	
Beneficiary Cohort:	2001	1999	1998	2001	1999	1998	
SSDI-only							
Phase 1 v. Phase 3	2.3**	-0.1	-0.2	0.9**	-0.1	-0.2	
Florida v. California	1.4**	0.3	-0.4	0.9**	0.3	-0.4	
Illinois v. Pennsylvania	3.4**	-1.4**	0.3	0.2	-1.4	0.3	
S. Carolina v. N. Carolina	2.8**	0.7	-0.3	1.9**	0.7	-0.3	
Wisconsin v. Minnesota	6.2**	-0.6	0.4	4.7**	-0.6	0.4	
Arizona v. Texas	0.7	0.8*	0.5	0.1	0.8	0.5	
Oklahoma v. Alabama	1.0*	-1.3**	-1.3*	-0.7	-1.3	-1.3*	
Colorado v. Maryland	-0.7	1.2*	0.7	-1.1	1.2	0.7	
Oregon v. Idaho	5.3**	2.0	0.5	5.1**	2.0	0.5	
Delaware v. Rhode Island	-3.3**	0.6	-4.1**	-4.7**	0.6	-4.1**	
Vermont v. Maine	1.4	2.0	0.7	0.3	2.0	0.7	
Concurrent							
Phase 1 v. Phase 3	1.7**	-1.1**	-0.4**	0.2	-1.1	-0.4**	
Florida v. California	1.2**	-0.4	-0.7**	0.7*	-0.4	-0.7**	
Illinois v. Pennsylvania	3.2**	-2.8**	-0.8*	-0.9**	-2.8	-0.8*	
S. Carolina v. N. Carolina	3.0**	-0.4	-0.7	2.1**	-0.4	-0.7	
Wisconsin v. Minnesota	6.7**	-1.0	1.4*	5.0**	-1.0	1.4*	
Arizona v. Texas	-1.5**	-0.9	0.8	-1.9**	-0.9	0.8	
Oklahoma v. Alabama	0.0	-0.3	-2.7**	-1.4**	-0.3	-2.7**	
Colorado v. Maryland	-0.3	1.3	2.3**	-0.5	1.3	2.3**	
Oregon v. Idaho	4.2**	1.9	4.5**	4.0**	1.9	4.5**	
Delaware v. Rhode Island	-2.5	1.9	0.5	-4.4**	1.9	0.5	
Vermont v. Maine	1.2	-1.2	2.1	-0.4	-1.2	2.1	
SSI-only							
Phase 1 v. Phase 3	1.7**	-0.5**	-0.8**	0.6**	-0.5	-0.8**	
Florida v. California	1.2**	-0.1	-1.3**	0.8**	-0.1	-1.3**	
Illinois v. Pennsylvania	2.9**	-1.8**	-1.2**	0.5**	-1.8	-1.2**	
S. Carolina v. N. Carolina	2.8**	1.2**	-0.2	1.8**	1.2	-0.2	
Wisconsin v. Minnesota	6.0**	1.4**	2.1**	5.5**	1.4	2.1**	
Arizona v. Texas	-1.2**	-0.7*	-1.2**	-1.5**	-0.7	-1.2**	
Oklahoma v. Alabama	0.1	-0.4	-1.3**	-1.0**	-0.4	-1.3**	
Colorado v. Maryland	-1.8**	0.8	0.3	-2.1**	0.8	0.3	
Oregon v. Idaho	6.1**	1.3	2.3**	5.8**	1.3	2.3**	
Delaware v. Rhode Island	-1.3	3.0**	-1.1	-3.1**	3.0	-1.1	
Vermont v. Maine	1.6	0.9	3.5**	1.1	0.9	3.5**	

Table D.4AService Impact Estimates Based on Matched States for Young Beneficiaries (Age 19
to 39) in the Year After Mailing, by Program and State Pair (%)

— D-7

	Mean Annual Earnings			Mean Annual Benefits			
	Impact Estimate	Earlier	Cohorts	Impact Estimate	Earlier (Cohorts	
Beneficiary Cohort:	2001	1999	1998	2001	1999	1998	
SSDI-only							
Phase 1 v. Phase 3	-81**	-31	6	-12	8	5	
Florida v. California	-243**	-265**	-154**	-74	-47	-31	
Illinois v. Pennsylvania	-185**	-29	116**	-4	-21	15	
S. Carolina v. N. Carolina	11	60	-71	39	91	36	
Wisconsin v. Minnesota	-70	-182**	-253**	-107	-55	-45	
Arizona v. Texas	244**	139*	155**	-39	-19	-16	
Oklahoma v. Alabama	-162**	121*	142*	258**	243	205**	
Colorado v. Maryland	-126	-42	44	-7	-44	18	
Oregon v. Idaho	141	-347**	-135	-56	16	105*	
Delaware v. Rhode Island	-473*	250	351*	-151	-39	-91	
Vermont v. Maine	122	186	196	-27	41	-69	
Concurrent							
Phase 1 v. Phase 3	-42**	-27	14	-91	80	6	
Florida v. California	-122**	-165**	-123**	-270	211	-45	
Illinois v. Pennsylvania	-40	74*	74*	129**	146	16	
S. Carolina v. N. Carolina	43	16	26	-37	68	0	
Wisconsin v. Minnesota	-60	-218**	-257**	1	33	88*	
Arizona v. Texas	98*	161**	243**	-101	-149	-80	
Oklahoma v. Alabama	9	214**	177**	-111	-55	138	
Colorado v. Maryland	-350**	-47	3	187*	-140	-19	
Oregon v. Idaho	133	-44	70	43	-4	261**	
Delaware v. Rhode Island	56	314*	138	-79	-36	-100	
Vermont v. Maine	-151	34	54	-192	-428	-132	
SSI-only							
Phase 1 v. Phase 3	-37**	-21*	16	-97	67	-34	
Florida v. California	-19	-39*	36*	-239	142	-162	
Illinois v. Pennsylvania	-38*	-10	-13	37**	79	77**	
S. Carolina v. N. Carolina	18	-16	-21	-54	-43	24	
Wisconsin v. Minnesota	-126**	-74*	-228**	-26	-27	-8	
Arizona v. Texas	-13	-9	83**	-66	44	33	
Oklahoma v. Alabama	-63*	133**	28	-32	-21	46	
Colorado v. Maryland	-130*	-84	-56	60	49	-13	
Oregon v. Idaho	184**	-23	-177**	-41	43	203**	
Delaware v. Rhode Island	-59	235*	309**	50	66	13	
Vermont v. Maine	-189**	26	218**	26	-104	-235	

Table D.4BMean Annual Earnings and Benefit Impact Estimates Based on Matched States for
Young Beneficiaries (Age 19 to 39) in the Year After Mailing, by Program and State
Pair (%)

- Source: For Table D.4: Tabulations of econometric estimates for total service enrollment (D.4A), SVRA service enrollment (D.4A), mean annual earnings (D.4B) and mean annual benefits (D.4B) based on TRF longitudinal records, matched RSA-911 records, and matched earnings records.
- Notes: All models include an intercept and controls for the county unemployment rate, individual fixed effects, state fixed effects, and year fixed effects. The Phase 1 v. Phase 3 estimates compare all 10 Phase 1 states to their matched Phase 3 states; other estimates are for the Phase 1 state (first state in pair) compared to the matched Phase 3 state (second state in pair). The impact estimates are based on the 2001 cohort, and represent regression coefficients from separate econometric analyses for each age-program group. The sensitivity test results are estimates based on earlier (1999 and 1998) cohorts using the same econometric model as for the 2001 cohort. Age and Program categories reflect age and program status at time of Ticket mailing.

*Significant at the 5% level.

**Significant at the 1% level.