Other Information
The *Other Information* section provides information to satisfy additional statutory and Office of Management and Budget reporting requirements.

In accordance with the *Reports Consolidation Act of 2000*, the *Fiscal Year 2021 Inspector General Statement on the Social Security Administration’s Major Management and Performance Challenges* provides a summary and assessment of the most serious management and performance challenges we face as determined by the Office of the Inspector General (OIG). The Inspector General Statement also describes the steps we have taken to address each of these challenges.

Next, in *Other Reporting Requirements*, we provide a summary of our financial statement audit and management assurances. We also provide information on our entitlement reviews and OIG anti-fraud activities, civil monetary penalties, biennial review of user fee charges, grant programs, debt collection and management activities, and payment integrity.
November 10, 2021

Kilolo Kijakazi
Acting Commissioner

Dear Ms. Kijakazi:

The Reports Consolidation Act of 2000 (Pub. L. No. 106-531) requires that Federal Inspectors General summarize and assess the most serious management and performance challenges facing Federal agencies and the agencies’ progress in addressing them. The results of this review are enclosed. The Reports Consolidation Act also requires that the Social Security Administration (SSA) place the final version of this Statement in its annual Agency Financial Report.

MANAGEMENT AND PERFORMANCE CHALLENGES

For Fiscal Year 2021, we identified the following challenges:

- SSA’s Response to the 2019 Novel Coronavirus Pandemic
- Improve Administration of the Disability Programs
- Improve the Prevention, Detection, and Recovery of Improper Payments
- Improve Service Delivery
- Protect the Confidentiality, Integrity, and Availability of SSA’s Information Systems and Data
- Modernize Information Technology

In the attached document, we define each challenge, outline steps SSA has taken to address each challenge, and detail the actions SSA needs to take to fully mitigate each challenge. As some of the challenges are inter-related, progress made in one area could lead to progress in another. For example, SSA’s response to the 2019 Novel Coronavirus Pandemic and further modernization of SSA’s information technology would both affect service delivery.
The Office of Audit will continue focusing on these issues in Fiscal Year 2022 and assessing the environment in which SSA operates. I look forward to working with you to continue improving SSA’s ability to address these challenges and meet its mission efficiently and effectively.

Sincerely,

Gail S. Ennis
Inspector General
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on the
Social Security Administration’s
Major Management and Performance Challenges

November 2021
THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION’S RESPONSE TO THE 2019 NOVEL CORONAVIRUS PANDEMIC

WHY IS THIS A CHALLENGE?

The 2019 Novel Coronavirus (COVID-19) changed the Social Security Administration’s (SSA) operations and the way it serves its customers. To mitigate the impact COVID-19 had on the public, Congress and the Office of Management and Budget passed several regulations, including mandates for SSA. In addition, SSA maximized telework and limited in-person services to ensure the health and safety of employees and visitors entering its facilities.

OPERATIONS

SSA limited its in-person field office operations to appointments for certain critical-need situations and began serving most of its customers through its online services and national 800-number. SSA had to ensure the vast majority of its approximately 28,000 field office employees had the resources needed to telework and respond to the increased use of non-in-person services.

With the increase in electronic services, SSA has primarily relied on its customers to submit supporting paperwork by mail. In Fiscal Year (FY) 2021, our audit work noted exponential increases in the amounts of incoming and outgoing mail to field offices as well as concerns with the oversight and internal controls over mail processing. We determined SSA had no performance metrics or management information on the volume of incoming, outgoing, or pending mail. Consequently, the Agency did not have sufficient information to enable it to adjust staffing levels to ensure mail was processed timely. SSA also lacks comprehensive policies and procedures to track and return original documents—including driver’s licenses, birth certificates, passports, and naturalization documents—that customers provide as proof of eligibility for benefits or a Social Security number (SSN) card.

Regarding disability work, SSA assisted State disability determination services (DDS) in shifting their staffs to telework so they could continue making disability determinations. Before the pandemic, the majority of DDS staffs were not equipped with laptops. While SSA assisted the DDSs in mobilizing their staffs to work remotely, not all DDS employees were able to telework. The reduced staffing meant DDSs were processing fewer cases than they would have had they been staffed at pre-pandemic levels. Accordingly, SSA had over 160,000 more initial disability claims pending at the end of FY 2020 than it did at the beginning of the FY. As of the end of FY 2021, there were 739,745 initial disability claims pending.

COVID-19-RELATED MANDATES

On December 27, 2020, the President signed the Consolidated Appropriations Act of 2021 (Pub. L. No. 116-260), which provided SSA $38 million to assist in carrying out the second round of economic recovery rebates. On January 20, 2021, the President signed Executive Order 13991, Protecting the Federal Workforce and Requiring Mask-Wearing. This Order required that Federal agencies immediately take action to comply with the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention guidelines with respect to wearing masks, maintaining physical distance, and other health measures for all persons in Federal buildings.
To provide guidance to Federal agencies on implementing Executive Order requirements, the Office of Management and Budget released the COVID-19 Safe Federal Workplace: Agency Model Safety Principles, which required that agencies submit plans for compliance with the requirements by January 29, 2021.

On June 10, 2021, the Office of Management and Budget released Memorandum M-21-25, Integrating Planning for A Safe Increased Return of Federal Employees and Contractors to Physical Workplaces with Post-Reentry Personnel Policies and Work Environment. This memorandum required that agencies develop approaches to post-reentry personnel policies and work environments by July 19, 2021.

**WHAT PROGRESS HAS THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION MADE?**

**OPERATIONS**

During the COVID-19 pandemic, SSA equipped employees with necessary technology to answer the increasing number of calls while teleworking. SSA also shared previously unavailable field office general telephone numbers with the public so it could contact local employees during business hours. In an April 2021 report, we noted SSA’s field offices and national 800-number received 30 percent more calls in June 2020 than June 2019, with field offices receiving most of the additional calls.

The number of callers who received busy messages during business hours declined in the months following June 2020. However, in June 2020, the Agency reduced the hours during which callers to the national 800-number could speak to SSA employees. SSA expanded its 800-number service in September 2020, currently operating from 8:00 a.m. to 7:00 p.m., which is 1 hour less than its pre-pandemic service hours.

SSA has reported that, because of system limitations, it lost the ability to offer 800-number callers post-call surveys in April 2020. In March 2021, SSA’s Office of Quality Review, Office of Analytics, Review, and Oversight began conducting telephone interviews of 300 800-number callers per week and will continue to do so through January 2022. SSA has drafted interim reports based on the survey results, and it plans to publish a final report when the survey period is completed.

On March 18, 2021, SSA expanded the drop-box program, where the public can deliver original evidence to secure boxes in field offices. On April 14, 2021, SSA began allowing individuals to fax applications, a practice not previously allowed. On May 28, 2021, SSA began using express interviews in all field offices and SSN card centers. Agency staff pre-screens customers over the telephone to verify the need for face-to-face visits. In February 2021, SSA developed a new mobile check-in process, which allows visitors to use their mobile telephones to notify SSA of their arrival for their scheduled field office appointments. (More information about SSA’s service options during the pandemic is available here.)

SSA released a mail processing action plan in August 2021. It outlines the short- and long-term actions SSA plans to take to (1) ensure the timely handling and return of primary evidence documents, (2) strengthen controls and business processes needed to ensure all mail is accounted for and tracked, and (3) protect against the loss of personally identified information. While the plan highlights the policies and business processes SSA plans to update, it generally does not include actual changes to those policies or processes. However, SSA did create time-based performance metrics for mail processing.

**COVID-19-RELATED MANDATES**

In March 2021, the Commissioner publicly released SSA’s COVID-19 Workplace Safety Plan. The Plan included guidance on the following: telework, COVID-19 coordination teams, face masks, testing, contact tracing, symptom monitoring, quarantine, confidentiality, occupancy, distancing, cleaning, hygiene, ventilation, visitors, staggered work times, elevators, and shared spaces. SSA reported a limited number of authorized employees, mostly managers, enter field offices to perform mission-critical work that cannot be done remotely, such as opening mail,
scanning documents for remote workers, and facilitating the return of documents to the public. Employees must report to field offices to address administrative tasks that cannot be handled remotely, including renewing employee credentials and resolving information technology issues.

SSA has not yet released the post-reentry personnel policies that was called for in the Office of Management and Budget’s Memorandum M-21-25, *Integrating Planning for A Safe Increased Return of Federal Employees and Contractors to Physical Workplaces with Post-Reentry Personnel Policies and Work Environment*, by July 19, 2021. SSA reported that additional guidance, including guidance on mandatory vaccinations, necessitated substantive changes, and that it is finalizing its plan.

According to SSA, its Medical Office staff follows the latest Centers for Disease Control and Prevention criteria when an employee may have been exposed to COVID-19. The Medical Office staff provides managers standard language for notifying employees and individuals about potential exposure. SSA also reported that, when indicated, Medical Office staff shares information with local health departments.

SSA’s contact-tracing capabilities are limited because SSA does not centrally maintain the names of employees or contractors who enter its facilities. In addition, SSA does not maintain contact information for all its visitors. SSA maintains only daily counts (without contact information) of certain visitors to its field offices and SSN card centers. For example, if a beneficiary visits a field office with his/her representative payee, SSA tracks the beneficiary but not the representative payee in its daily totals. This lack of information impairs SSA’s ability to support local health departments with their contact tracing efforts.

While SSA has brought a limited number of staff into its field offices to process non-portable work and to staff express interviews, it has not offered the public its planned timeline for a wider reopening of its field office operations.

**WHAT THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION NEEDS TO DO**

Keep the public and its employees aware of the status of the *COVID-19 Workplace Safety Plan* and how it plans to provide customer service safely throughout the pandemic.

Update the policies and business processes needed to ensure the security of original documents customers mail to SSA as proof of eligibility for benefits or a SSN card.

**KEY RELATED LINKS**

SSA, OIG Website - [Reports related to the COVID-19 pandemic](https://www.ssa.gov/ocs/ocfo/ocfohhs/ocs/index.cfm)

SSA, OIG Website - [The Social Security Administration’s Telephone Services During June 2020](https://www.ssa.gov/ocs/ocfo/ocfohhs/ocs/ocs/index.cfm)

SSA, OIG Website - [The Social Security Administration’s Processing of Mail and Enumeration Services During the COVID-19 Pandemic](https://www.ssa.gov/ocs/ocfo/ocfohhs/ocs/index.cfm)

SSA, OIG Website - [Safety of Social Security Administration Employees and Visitors Since March 2020](https://www.ssa.gov/ocs/ocfo/ocfohhs/ocs/index.cfm)

SSA Website – [Coronavirus (COVID-19) Updates](https://www.ssa.gov/ocs/ocfo/ocfohhs/ocs/index.cfm)

IMPROVE ADMINISTRATION OF THE DISABILITY PROGRAMS

SSA continues to face challenges with pending disability hearings and related processing times, and the COVID-19 pandemic renewed challenges with pending disability claims and continuing disability reviews. Continued focus is necessary to simplify work incentive regulations and create new opportunities for returning beneficiaries to work.

WHY IS THIS A CHALLENGE?

Disabled individuals rely on SSA to quickly process disability applications, make disability determinations, complete disability-related hearings, and provide assistance with returning to work. To better serve its customers, SSA needs to address increasing pending initial disability claims and continuing disability reviews (CDR), reduce hearings processing times, and develop better strategies to help disabled beneficiaries return to work.

PENDING INITIAL DISABILITY CLAIMS, CONTINUING DISABILITY REVIEWS, AND HEARINGS

Before the COVID-19 pandemic began, SSA had reduced pending initial disability claims from almost 708,000 at the end of FY 2012 to almost 594,000 at the end of FY 2019. However, SSA’s response to the COVID-19 pandemic, including closing DDSs and delaying consultative examinations, impacted initial disability claims processing. By the end of FY 2021, pending claims levels increased 25 percent to nearly 740,000.

While overall pending initial disability claims increased, SSA reported a significant decrease in Supplemental Security Income (SSI) disability applications during the COVID pandemic. In FY 2019, SSA received approximately 900,000 SSI disability applications. In FY 2021, SSA received approximately 708,000 such claims, a 21-percent decrease from the FY 2019 total.

In FY 2018, SSA eliminated the backlog of full medical CDRs. However, from mid-March through August 2020, SSA suspended processing medical CDRs that could result in benefit cessation. The number of full medical CDRs SSA processed decreased from over 713,000 in FY 2019 to approximately 510,000 in FY 2021, resulting in a backlog of nearly 153,000 full medical CDRs at the end of FY 2021. In addition, SSA did not always cease paying benefits it could have based on the CDR process. In October 2020, we reported SSA had not terminated approximately $337 million in benefits for almost 6,000 beneficiaries who did not cooperate with CDRs or requested SSA terminate their benefits because they were no longer disabled.

While SSA has continued reducing processing times and hearings pending levels (see Figure 1), it still has not achieved its processing time goal of 270 days. For FY 2021, the average processing time for hearings was 326 days, and the hearings pending level was 350,137.
RETURNING DISABLED BENEFICIARIES TO WORK

Congress directed SSA to implement programs to help disabled individuals return to work. To date, these programs have helped only a small percentage of disabled individuals. For example, the Ticket to Work and Work Incentives Improvement Act of 1999 (Pub. L. No. 106-170) established the Ticket to Work and Self-Sufficiency Program. Under the Program, SSA provides disabled beneficiaries a Ticket they can assign to qualified organizations to obtain vocational rehabilitation or employment services. However, while SSA has set goals to increase the number of participating beneficiaries, few eligible beneficiaries used their Tickets for vocational or employment services. Specifically, approximately 3 percent of Ticket-eligible beneficiaries assigned their Tickets or placed them in-use in FY 2021, similar to the percent of individuals who assigned their Tickets in recent years (see Figure 2).
SSA’s demonstration projects have tested simplified work rules; offered incentives, including family support; and, in some situations, tested changes to benefit calculations. While the tested changes have enticed only a small percentage of demonstration participants to use the incentives offered and return to work, SSA has used the results to influence the development of legislative proposals in its FY 2021 Congressional Justification.

As of February 2021, SSA had spent approximately $313 million to conduct and evaluate six demonstration projects from FY 2010 into FY 2021, and could expend up to an additional $19.7 million to complete the projects. To date, none of the demonstration projects has identified any potential savings. In FY 2021, SSA was conducting three demonstration projects. In addition, in June 2021, SSA conducted a project for lessons learned from prior demonstrations and how to improve future projects. (Read our audit on SSA’s demonstration projects [here](#).)

**WHAT PROGRESS HAS THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION MADE?**

**PENDING CLAIMS, CONTINUING DISABILITY REVIEWS, AND HEARINGS**

During the COVID-19 pandemic, SSA continued processing and prioritizing initial disability claims, though in-person services were limited to appointment-only for certain critical-need situations. In March 2021, SSA began a national public outreach campaign to raise awareness of its disability programs and improve access to people facing barriers, such as language, medical conditions, or inadequate access to the Internet. While SSA increased outreach, it still saw a significant decrease in the number of SSI applications it received.

In FY 2021, DDSs were allocated additional employees to help address staff attrition and the disability claims backlog, onboarding 545 employees above attrition. SSA is also working to restore program integrity workloads, including medical CDRs, to pre-pandemic levels. As a result, SSA anticipates it will eliminate the CDR backlog in FY 2023.
In January 2016, SSA issued the *Compassionate And REsponsive Service* (CARES) plan to address the growing number of pending hearings and increased wait times. In April 2019, SSA released the 2018-2019 CARES plan, which noted that SSA expects to reach the 270-day average processing time goal in 2021. To address hearing office closures in response to the COVID-19 pandemic, SSA offered claimants telephone and online video hearings and established a public-facing Website to educate claimants and representatives on the hearing options available during the pandemic. Since the start of the pandemic, SSA has held over 647,000 telephone and 16,000 online video hearings.

To help unrepresented claimants prepare for hearings, SSA established an enhanced outreach process, which includes the option for claimants to receive their claim folders through encrypted email or their *my Social Security* account. SSA postponed hearings for claimants who declined telephone or online video hearings until it can resume in-person hearings. As a result, SSA will not achieve its FY 2021 processing time goal. However, SSA reduced the average hearing wait time and pending hearings to their lowest levels in over a decade and plans to reach its processing time goal by the end of December 2022.

**RETURNING DISABLED BENEFICIARIES TO WORK**

To increase understanding of work incentives, SSA’s Ticket to Work and Self-Sufficiency Program manager contractor led 12 online *Work Incentive Seminar Event* webinars. The webinars provide information on the Ticket to Work and Self-Sufficiency Program and other work incentives.

**WHAT THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION NEEDS TO DO**

Renew its focus on reducing and eliminating the initial disability claims and CDR backlogs.

Implement and monitor the CARES initiatives designed to improve timeliness and reduce the hearings backlog.

Focus resources on capacity issues to better balance processing times and hearing office workloads.

Continue creating new opportunities for returning beneficiaries to work and ensure measurement of costs, savings, and effectiveness are part of the design of such initiatives.

**KEY RELATED LINKS**

SSA, OIG Website - [Reports related to improving the administration of the disability programs](#)

SSA Website – [SSA’s CARES plan](#)

SSA Website – [SSA’s CARES plan, 2018-2019 update](#)

SSA Website – [SSA’s The Work Site](#)
IMPROVE THE PREVENTION, DETECTION, AND RECOVERY OF IMPROPER PAYMENTS

SSA must be a responsible steward of the funds entrusted to its care by minimizing the risk of making improper payments and recovering overpayments when they occur.

WHY IS THIS A CHALLENGE?

SSA is responsible for issuing approximately $1 trillion in benefit payments annually; even the slightest error in the overall payment process can result in millions of dollars in improper payments. Improper payments may occur when SSA makes mistakes in computing payments or fails to obtain or act on available information, or when beneficiaries’ fail to report events or provide incorrect reports.

MANAGEMENT OF PAYMENT WORKLOADS

Improper payments can be overpayments, when SSA pays someone more than they are due, or underpayments, when SSA pays someone less than they are due. SSA estimates it made approximately $8.3 billion in improper payments in FY 2020: $6.8 billion in overpayments and $1.5 billion in underpayments.

While SSA has no control over what beneficiaries report or fail to report, it can control how it computes beneficiaries’ payments and its overall management of payment workloads. Our 22 FY 2021 audit reports focused on improper payments identified over $2.1 billion in potential cost savings.

EXTERNAL DATA

Preventing improper payments is more advantageous than recovering them after they are made since the Agency has to expend additional resources in recovering the overpayments or processing additional payments to rectify underpayments. Wages and income, resources, and living arrangements are a few of the factors that affect Old-Age, Survivors and Disability Insurance (OASDI) and SSI eligibility and payment amounts.

Beneficiaries and recipients are required to report to SSA any change in circumstances that may affect their benefits; however, they do not always comply. Obtaining data from external sources, such as other Federal agencies, State agencies, and financial institutions, is critical to preventing and detecting improper payments.

RECOVERY

When SSA determines it has underpaid a beneficiary, it will pay the beneficiary the amount owed. Once SSA determines it has overpaid an individual, it attempts to recover the overpayment. According to SSA, in FY 2021, it recovered over $4 billion in overpayments at an administrative cost of $0.07 on average for every dollar collected. Still, at the end of the FY, SSA had a $20 billion uncollected overpayment balance (see Figure 3).
WHAT PROGRESS HAS THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION MADE?

MANAGEMENT OF PAYMENT WORKLOADS

According to SSA, SSI non-medical redeterminations, which are periodic reviews of such non-medical eligibility factors as income and resources, are another important program integrity tool. SSA estimated that, over 10 years, non-medical redeterminations conducted in FY 2021 will yield, on average, a return on investment of about $3 of net Federal program savings per $1 budgeted for dedicated program integrity funding, including SSI and Medicaid program effects. However, according to SSA, budgetary constraints determine how many redeterminations it conducts each year.

Through completed CDRs, SSA periodically verifies whether individuals are still disabled and eligible for disability payments. SSA estimated that, over the next 10 years, CDRs conducted in FY 2021 would yield, on average, net Federal program savings of roughly $8 per $1 budgeted for dedicated program integrity funding, including OASDI, SSI, Medicare, and Medicaid effects.

SSA uses a number of sources to verify wage amounts, including pay stubs submitted by recipients, annual earnings data from the Internal Revenue Service, and payroll information. However, verifying wages is a manual process, and SSA continues relying on beneficiaries’ ability to self-report wages. In FY 2017, SSA implemented myWageReport, which allows Disability Insurance beneficiaries to report earnings on computers, mobile devices, and smartphones through my Social Security. In FY 2018, SSA expanded myWageReport to allow SSI recipients, their representative payees, or their deemors to report earnings electronically.

EXTERNAL DATA

SSA has worked to obtain data from other agencies and third parties to help identify and prevent improper payments. For example, SSA is updating its death reporting system to ensure it is collecting accurate data from national, State, and local agencies. Additionally, each quarter, SSA obtains wage data from the Department of Health and Human Services’ Office of Child Support Enforcement, which allows it to identify beneficiaries who may be earning above certain dollar thresholds to prevent large overpayments. SSA also continues developing plans to obtain wage data from payroll providers and is pursuing new data exchange partners from government and private sectors.
To combat SSI recipients’ unreported absences from the United States, SSA uses Department of Homeland Security Arrival and Departure Information System data of U.S. entries and exits during SSI redeterminations. In FY 2019, SSA implemented the Foreign Travel Data application to facilitate access to the Department of Homeland Security’s Arrival and Departure Information System data. SSA technicians use the application to view foreign travel information for non-citizen SSI recipients to determine their SSI eligibility during the redetermination process.

**RECOVERY**

To collect overpayments, SSA uses internal debt collection techniques, such as payment withholding and billing/follow-up, as well as external collection techniques authorized by the *Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996* (Pub. L. No. 104-134) for OASDI debts and the *Foster Care Independence Act of 1999* (Pub. L. No. 106-169) for SSI debts. Some of these techniques include the Treasury Offset Program and administrative wage garnishment.

In January 2021, SSA partnered with the Department of the Treasury’s Pay.gov team to implement SSA’s first online repayment option for OASDI beneficiaries and SSI recipients. This option allows beneficiaries and recipients to repay overpayments via credit or debit cards or automated clearing house transactions (that is, directly from a checking or savings account). Additionally, in July 2021, SSA implemented a second option to allow beneficiaries and recipients to use their banks’ online bill pay features, which allows debtors to make a one-time or recurring automated clearing house draft from a bank account using a personal computer or mobile phone.

**WHAT THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION NEEDS TO DO**

- Identify and prevent improper payments through automation and data analytics. SSA needs to use available data to better identify changes that affect beneficiaries’ and recipients’ benefit payments.
- Expand efforts to collect data from reliable third-party sources that would aid SSA in mitigating discrepancies that can occur when beneficiaries or recipients self-report information.
- Address the root causes of improper payments to prevent their occurrence.

**KEY RELATED LINKS**

SSA, OIG Website - [Reports related to improving the prevention, detection, and recovery of improper payments](#)

Federal Payment Accuracy Website – [PaymentAccuracy.gov](#)

SSA Website – [Pay an Overpayment](#)
**IMPRESSIVE SERVICE DELIVERY**

SSA faces growing workloads and the expected retirement of experienced employees as it pursues its mission to deliver quality service to the public.

**WHY IS THIS A CHALLENGE?**

SSA has serviced millions of customers annually through face-to-face service at its field offices, calls to its national 800-number, and its online applications. The COVID-19 pandemic greatly curtailed in-person field office service, placing greater stress on other service methods. Also, SSA faces a challenge in its ability to provide service because of expected retirements of experienced employees. Finally, impersonation scams, where fraudsters impersonate SSA employees to trick people into providing personal information or money, have eroded the public’s trust, and made it harder for SSA to serve its customers.

**MANAGING CUSTOMER-RELATED WORKLOADS**

SSA has experienced a significant reduction in the number of visitors who enter its field offices during the pandemic. In FY 2021, SSA’s field offices served approximately 1.2 million visitors, much less than the 20.6 million it served in FY 2020 and the 43 million it served in FY 2019. In a June 2021 report, we noted that SSA serviced an average 1,645 visitors per day from March 2020 through April 2021. To continue providing service to the public during the COVID-19 pandemic, SSA equipped field office and teleservice center employees with technology to answer calls remotely. (On page 2 of this report, we discussed the challenges SSA had answering the increased number of telephone calls it received.)

SSA suffered major service disruptions to its 800-number service in May 2021 when it unsuccessfully attempted to transition to a unified phone system. In response, SSA took steps to return to the prior operational status to reverse the service disruptions.

**ONLINE SERVICES**

SSA acknowledges that advancements in technology provide opportunities to do business differently and often more efficiently and conveniently. SSA continues exploring ways to enhance the customer service experience by providing online self-service options, many of which beneficiaries access through their my Social Security accounts. In FY 2021, SSA registered over 9.5 million users for my Social Security accounts. Since SSA implemented my Social Security, it has registered over 62 million users.

Our audit work found SSA’s controls for the my Social Security Web portal had not prevented some individuals from fraudulently establishing accounts or submitting direct deposit transactions. Also, SSA’s use of those same controls for Internet claims may similarly allow individuals to fraudulently submit Internet claims applications. Strengthening the identity verification process for new my Social Security account registrations will protect the public’s personal information and improve customers’ experience.

**EXPERIENCED EMPLOYEES AND INSTITUTIONAL EXPERTISE**

SSA acknowledges that one of its greatest challenges is the expected loss of its most experienced employees. In FY 2021, 4,567 SSA employees retired or otherwise separated. As of October 1, 2021, SSA had 59,000 employees. At that time, 15,000 of them were eligible to retire, including 8,000 who were eligible for regular retirement and an additional 7,000 who would be eligible to retire under early retirement. The number of retirements and staff attrition could increase as staff who have been fully teleworking throughout the pandemic are asked to return to SSA’s offices. These retirements, along with regular attrition, will cause a loss of institutional knowledge and potentially impair succession management and knowledge transfer.
As more staff retire, SSA has found it harder to hire staff in a more competitive job market, especially for entry-level positions. Hiring can also be hampered because of delays in the federal budget process, which often leaves SSA uncertain of how much funding it has to hire staff until later in the FY. Delayed funding can lead to vacancies going unfilled for longer periods of time and needing to hire more staff in shorter periods of time once funding is confirmed. Also, fewer current SSA staff are competing for management positions when they are available, possibly because managers have been asked to report to SSA’s field offices to address non-portable work throughout the pandemic.

**Impersonation Scams**

In FY 2021, the Office of the Inspector General (OIG) has received tens of thousands of impersonation scam-related reports from the public. As imposter scams increase, SSA frontline staff spends more time responding to public inquiries. The time spent responding to scam-related inquiries and making related fraud referrals to the OIG divert frontline staff from completing their normal workloads, which include scheduling appointments, taking and adjudicating OASDI benefit claims and SSI applications, and handling post-entitlement issues. SSA staff also reported that, because of the scams, the public is more likely to question authentic telephone calls from SSA because they do not trust speaking with someone over the telephone.

**What Progress Has the Social Security Administration Made?**

**Managing Customer-related Workloads**

In response to the COVID-19 outbreak, in March 2020, SSA provided limited in-person field office service, by appointment only, for certain critical-need situations and moved teleservice center employees to a virtual environment. SSA shared previously unavailable field office general inquiry telephone numbers so the public could contact employees at the local level during business hours. SSA hired 1,000 new teleservice center employees in FY 2020 and continued replacing all staffing losses throughout FY 2021.

According to SSA, it did not alter staffing allocations because of the COVID-19 pandemic. Between March and April 2020, SSA equipped field office and teleservice center employees with technology to answer calls remotely so they could maintain communications with the public. The Agency deployed over 30,000 softphones to employees during this time and dispatched additional softphones through June 2020. SSA assigned teleservice center employees with Internet access to complete refresher training while waiting for the equipment to answer calls remotely on the national 800-number.

In response to its unsuccessful attempt to unify its 800-number telephone system, SSA planned a more phased approach to the unification process, which it began in September 2021. During its September 2021 effort, SSA had technical challenges when it began migrating teleservice representatives to the unified platform. As a result, SSA stopped the process after about half of its teleservice representatives were migrated. As SSA addresses the technical challenges identified, half of SSA’s teleservice representatives answer calls to its 800-number while operating on the unified platform while the other half answer calls operating on the older platform.

**Online Services**

In May 2021, SSA launched the redesigned Social Security Statement in a controlled rollout to 500,000 random my Social Security users who were not receiving benefits. SSA is using this initial rollout to evaluate the feedback from usability and cognitive testing as well as feedback received through this soft rollout. SSA expects to fully release the Statement in fall 2021, which will include additional updates based on the feedback it receives during the initial rollout. SSA added other service options in my Social Security, including a tracking service that allows account holders to track the status of their initial claims or appeals and an application that allows representative payees to request Medicare replacement cards.
Additionally, beginning in June 2020, SSA began allowing individuals to sign into my Social Security with a username and password from ID.me. In May 2021, SSA partnered with Login.gov, a service offered by the General Services Administration, to accept its credentials for the my Social Security Web portal. In September 2021, SSA began requiring all new my Social Security account users to register using only ID.me or Login.gov.

**EXPERIENCED EMPLOYEES AND INSTITUTIONAL EXPERTISE**

SSA’s goal is to continue increasing the proficiency of its leadership cadre and “pipeline” to enhance the Agency’s readiness to fill potential gaps in leadership and critical positions. In FY 2021, SSA selected participants for the third track of its National Leadership Development Program and provided competency-based leadership training for each track of the Program. All the Program participants completed developmental assignments in new areas of work with a focus on leadership development.

**IMPERSONATION SCAMS**

Both SSA and OIG have continued public awareness campaigns to protect taxpayers from Social Security-related telephone scams. Both organizations have provided public outreach materials including multiple Inspector General fraud advisories explaining how to identify telephone scams and how to report scam activity. In addition, the OIG has used reports of scam activity to identify trends and investigate and disrupt the scams, securing multiple successful prosecutions of scam organizers and facilitators.

**What the Social Security Administration Needs To Do**

Continue developing and implementing strategies that will provide quality services to the public now and in the future and ensure SSA retains institutional knowledge.

Ensure any electronic applications offered through my Social Security include an effective authentication process.

Continue aggressively informing its customers on how to prevent becoming victims of fraud.

**KEY RELATED LINKS**

SSA, OIG Website - Reports related to improving service delivery

SSA Website - *Agency Strategic Plan Fiscal Years 2018-2022*

SSA Website - *Annual Performance Plan for Fiscal Year 2021, Revised Performance Plan for Fiscal Year 2020, and Annual Performance Report for Fiscal Year 2019*

SSA Website - *FY 2021 Budget Overview*
PROTECT THE CONFIDENTIALITY, INTEGRITY, AND AVAILABILITY OF THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION’S INFORMATION SYSTEMS AND DATA

SSA must ensure its information systems are secure and sensitive data are protected.

WHY IS THIS A CHALLENGE?

SSA’s information technology (IT) supports every aspect of SSA’s mission, whether it is serving the public during in-person interviews or online, routing millions of telephone calls on its 800-number, or posting millions of earner wage reports annually. Disruptions to the integrity or availability of its information systems would dramatically affect SSA’s ability to serve the public and meet its mission. Also, SSA’s systems contain personally identifiable information, such as SSNs, which, if not protected, could be misused by identity thieves.

INFORMATION SECURITY

SSA continues expanding its online services to improve customer service and developing systems, and it is imperative that SSA have a robust information security program. In its most recent report for the Federal Information Security Modernization Act of 2014 (Pub. L. No. 113-283), Grant Thornton LLP determined that SSA had established an Agency-wide information security program. However, Grant Thornton LLP identified a number of deficiencies in SSA’s information security program that could limit its ability to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of SSA’s information systems and data.

Because of weaknesses identified, Grant Thornton LLP concluded SSA’s overall security program was “Not Effective.” To address the weaknesses, Grant Thornton LLP recommended that SSA strengthen its information security risk management framework; enhance IT oversight and governance to address these weaknesses; and adhere to its information security policies, procedures, and controls.

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER PROTECTION AND EARNINGS ACCURACY

The SSN is relied on as an identifier and is valuable as an illegal commodity. Accordingly, the information SSA houses on every numberholder is desirable to would-be hackers and identity thieves. Protecting the SSN and properly posting the wages reported under it are critical to ensuring SSN integrity and eligible individuals receive the full benefits due them.

Accuracy in recording numberholder information is critical because SSA and other agencies rely on that information to verify employment eligibility, ensure wage reports are processed, and terminate payments to deceased beneficiaries. Accuracy in recording workers’ earnings is critical because SSA calculates benefit payments based on an individual’s earnings over his/her lifetime. As such, properly assigning SSNs only to those individuals authorized to obtain them, protecting SSN information once SSA assigns the numbers, ensuring numberholder information is complete in its systems, and accurately posting earnings reported under SSNs are critical.

A specific challenge to ensuring accurate earnings postings is employers that report earnings information incorrectly so SSA cannot match the reported earnings to individuals in its records. The Earnings Suspense File is the record of wage reports on which wage earners’ names and SSNs fail to match SSA’s records. The Earnings Suspense File has accumulated $1.9 trillion in wages and over 388 million wage items for Tax Years 1937 through 2020.
WHAT PROGRESS HAS THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION MADE?

INFORMATION SECURITY

SSA acknowledges it must be mindful of potential cyber-threats and remain committed to protecting privacy and security. SSA’s Cybersecurity Strategic Plan 2019–2022 focuses on how it will safeguard and protect against IT and cyber-security threats by continuing to mature its cyber-security program. The Plan defines strategic goals and priorities and includes strategies and initiatives to address IT and cyber-security challenges. The Agency’s recent accomplishments and initiatives strengthened its identity verification processes. The accomplishments include:

- decommissioning its legacy remote registration process in December 2020. SSA now requires physical or electronic address verification for all remotely issued credentials;
- implementation of bot detection software in May 2021, which prevents scalable attacks on the registration process; and
- a partnership with Login.gov, a service offered by the General Services Administration, to accept their credentials for the my Social Security portal which began in May 2021.

In FY 2021, SSA established the Information Systems Security Officer program to provide oversight of IT security compliance. As part of the program, SSA hired and trained 24 information systems security officers, assigning one security officer to each regional and Deputy Commissioner-level office.

SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBER PROTECTION AND EARNINGS ACCURACY

SSA has taken steps to reduce the Earnings Suspense File’s size and growth. The Agency allows employers to verify the names and SSNs of their employees using the Agency’s SSN Verification Service, an online verification program, before reporting wages to SSA. In FY 2021, employers verified over 170 million SSNs using the SSN Verification Service over 170 million times.

SSA supports the Department of Homeland Security’s E-Verify program, which assists employers in verifying the employment eligibility of newly hired employees. Through the second quarter of FY 2021, the Department of Homeland Security reported it processed 18.6 million E-Verify cases, of which approximately 273,000 (1.45 percent) received a “not authorized to work” response.

WHAT THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION NEEDS TO DO

Address the deficiencies Grant Thornton LLP identified to improve SSA’s ability to protect the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of SSA’s information systems and data.

Ensure the electronic services SSA provides are secure and comply with Federal security requirements.

Continue to be vigilant in protecting SSNs.

Improve wage reporting by informing employers about potential SSN misuse cases, identifying and resolving employer reporting problems, re-examining the validity and integrity checks used to prevent suspicious W-2s from being posted, and encouraging greater use of SSA’s employee verification programs.
**KEY RELATED LINKS**

SSA, OIG Website - [Reports related to protecting the confidentiality, integrity, and availability of SSA’s information systems and data](https://www.ssa.gov)

SSA, OIG Website - [The Social Security Administration’s Information Technology Security Program and Practices for Fiscal Year 2021](https://www.ssa.gov)

National Institute of Standards and Technology Website - [Special Publication 800-63-3, Digital Identity Guidelines](https://www.nist.gov)
MODERNIZE INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY

SSA must continue to modernize its IT to accomplish its mission despite budget and resource constraints.

WHY IS THIS A CHALLENGE?

SSA relies on its IT infrastructure to serve the public and safeguard SSA programs. However, its significantly aging IT infrastructure is increasingly difficult and expensive to maintain, and it is harder to integrate new technologies and security tools with some legacy systems. SSA continues relying on outdated applications and technologies to process its core workloads (for example, retirement, and disability claims), and knowledge of its dated applications and legacy infrastructure will diminish as developers retire.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INFRASTRUCTURE MODERNIZATION

SSA must maintain its legacy systems while, in parallel, developing modern replacements to keep pace with increasing workloads. The Agency had taken an incremental approach to IT modernization by replacing systems’ components rather than whole systems. However, in its 2017 *IT Modernization Plan*, SSA acknowledged that this approach had not worked and committed to invest $691 million through FY 2022 in transformational initiatives and infrastructure.

In 2020, SSA updated its *IT Modernization Plan* by expanding the scope to include additional investments in direct service delivery. Under the revised scope, the Agency expects to spend $863 million on IT modernization through FY 2022, while using much of its IT funding—nearly $2 billion in FY 2021—to operate and maintain existing systems. Finally, although SSA has a multi-year modernization plan that focuses on improving customer services, it does not have comprehensive plans to address updating, replacing, or retiring all its legacy systems. Specifically, modernization targets indicated that many legacy systems will not be modernized by FY 2022.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENT PROCESS

SSA prioritizes and selects IT investments to support its strategic plans and goals through its IT Investment Process, which establishes procedures for new IT investment selections; implementation of the investments and maintenance; and operations of current and future investments. Although SSA verified and compared costs, functionality impact, and other areas in its post-implementation review reports, it could not quantify the benefits or calculate the return on investment for all the projects covered by those reports.

WHAT PROGRESS HAS THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION MADE?

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INFRASTRUCTURE MODERNIZATION

In July 2020, SSA updated its *IT Modernization Plan* to focus more on customer service issues. This multi-year modernization effort is fundamental to the overall ability to improve service to the public. SSA’s Chief Information Officer acknowledged SSA must undertake a larger, multi-year effort.

INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY INVESTMENT PROCESS

In FY 2020, SSA began the Information Technology Investment Governance Refresh, which is an effort to refresh enterprise-wide investment management processes and improve SSA’s ability to measure and realize value.
Objectives of the Refresh include improving the Agency’s ability to manage its IT investments, ensuring the Agency’s IT investments support its priorities, and monitoring and reporting regularly on the realization of investment value and other measures of investment progress and performance. SSA reported that it completed the Refresh as scheduled in FY 2021.

**WHAT THE SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION NEEDS TO DO**

Prioritize IT modernization activities to ensure available resources lead to improvements with the greatest impact on SSA’s operations and the service it provides the public.

Ensure its IT planning and investment control processes are effective.

**KEY RELATED LINKS**

- SSA, OIG Website - [Reports related to modernizing IT infrastructure](#)
- SSA Website – [SSA’s IT Modernization Plan](#)
- SSA Website – [SSA’s IT Modernization Plan, 2020 Update](#)
# Other Reporting Requirements

## Summary of Financial Statement Audit and Management Assurances

### Summary of Financial Statement Audit Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Financial Statement Audit</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Audit Opinion</td>
<td>Unmodified</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restatement</td>
<td>No</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Material Weaknesses</td>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
<td>Consolidated</td>
<td>Reassessed</td>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Material Weaknesses</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Summary of Management Assurances Table

#### Effectiveness of Internal Control over Financial Reporting (FMFIA Section 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement of Assurance</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material Weaknesses</td>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
<td>Consolidated</td>
<td>Reassessed</td>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Material Weaknesses</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Effectiveness of Internal Control over Operations (FMFIA Section 2)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement of Assurance</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Material Weaknesses</td>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
<td>Consolidated</td>
<td>Reassessed</td>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Material Weaknesses</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Conformance with Federal Financial Management System Requirements (FMFIA Section 4)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statement of Assurance</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Non-Conformances</td>
<td>Beginning Balance</td>
<td>New</td>
<td>Resolved</td>
<td>Consolidated</td>
<td>Reassessed</td>
<td>Ending Balance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Non-Conformances</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Compliance with Section 803(a) of the Federal Financial Management Improvement Act

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agency</th>
<th>Auditor</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Federal Financial Management System Requirements</td>
<td>No lack of compliance noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Applicable Federal Accounting Standards</td>
<td>No lack of compliance noted</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. United States Standard General Ledger at Transaction Level</td>
<td>No lack of compliance noted</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
ENTITLEMENT REVIEWS AND OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL ANTI-FRAUD ACTIVITIES

We are committed to improving financial management by preventing fraudulent and improper payments (see the Payment Integrity section for more information). Section 206 (g) of the Social Security Independence and Program Improvements Act, Public Law 103-296 requires us to report annually on the extent to which we reviewed cases of entitlement to monthly Old-Age and Survivors Insurance (OASI), Disability Insurance (DI) (referred to as OASDI when discussing them in combination), and Supplemental Security Income (SSI) benefits; and the extent to which the cases we reviewed were those that involved a high likelihood or probability of fraud.

ENTITLEMENT REVIEWS

Entitlement reviews help ensure that continued monthly payments are correct, even though fraud is not an issue in the vast majority of cases. We select cases and undertake reviews, both prior to and after effectuation of payment, to ensure that development procedures and benefit awards are correct.

Due to the Coronavirus Disease 2019 (COVID-19) pandemic, we discontinued all quality assurance samples for the third quarter of fiscal year (FY) 2020. For continuing disability reviews (CDR), we discontinued the sample for the third and fourth quarters of FY 2020. As a result, the initial and reconsideration data include only cases reviewed during the first, second, and fourth quarters of FY 2020. The FY 2020 CDR data include only reviews completed during the first and second quarters of FY 2020. We resumed regular CDR sampling in the first quarter of FY 2021.

We conduct the following major entitlement reviews:

DISABILITY QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEWS

We perform quality assurance reviews of random samples of disability determination services (DDS) determinations to measure our level of accuracy against standards mandated by the regulations. We review initial claims, requests for reconsideration, and determinations of continuing eligibility prior to the effectuation of the DDS determinations. The following table shows the quality assurance accuracy rates for FY 2017 through FY 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quality Assurance Reviews Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Percent of State DDS decisions to allow or continue not returned to the DDS offices for correction</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of cases reviewed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of cases returned to the DDS offices due to error or inadequate documentation</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DI PRE-EFFECTUATION REVIEWS

We also perform pre-effectuation reviews of favorable DI and concurrent DI/SSI initial and reconsideration determinations using a profiling system to select cases for review. This profiling system helps ensure the cost-effectiveness of pre-effectuation reviews, and it satisfies the legislative requirement that the cases we review are those that are most likely to be incorrect. We also review a sufficient number of CDR continuance determinations to ensure a high level of accuracy in those cases. The following table shows the DI pre-effectuation accuracy rates for FY 2017 through FY 2021.

| Percent of State DDS decisions to allow or continue not returned to the DDS offices for correction | FY 2021 | FY 2020 | FY 2019 | FY 2018 | FY 2017 |
| Number of cases reviewed | 238,616 | 268,569 | 266,474 | 255,200 | 278,796 |
| Number of cases returned to the DDS offices due to error or inadequate documentation | 12,761 | 12,810 | 12,641 | 11,585 | 11,811 |

SSI PRE-EFFECTUATION REVIEWS

Following legislation enacted in February 2006, we began pre-effectuation reviews of favorable SSI initial and reconsideration adult determinations. As in DI cases, we use a profiling system to select cases for review. The following table shows the SSI pre-effectuation accuracy rates for FY 2017 through FY 2021.

| Percent of State DDS decisions to allow not returned to the DDS offices for correction | FY 2021 | FY 2020 | FY 2019 | FY 2018 | FY 2017 |
| Number of cases reviewed | 86,779 | 94,105 | 105,729 | 98,540 | 106,777 |
| Number of cases returned to the DDS offices due to error or inadequate documentation | 3,239 | 3,696 | 3,734 | 3,297 | 3,288 |

CONTINUING DISABILITY REVIEWS

Periodic CDRs are a key activity in ensuring the integrity of the disability program. Through CDRs, we determine whether beneficiaries continue to be entitled to benefits because of their medical conditions. We also conduct a quality review of those decisions. The following table shows the CDR accuracy rates for FY 2017 through FY 2021.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CDR Accuracy Table</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overall accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continuance accuracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cessation accuracy</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
OASDI AND SSI QUALITY ASSURANCE REVIEWS

Quality assurance reviews assess the accuracy of benefit payments. The following tables show the OASDI and SSI accuracy rates for FY 2017 through FY 2020. Data for FY 2021 are not available at this time. We will report the FY 2021 data in our FY 2022 Agency Financial Report.

OASDI Accuracy Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overpayment accuracy</td>
<td>Data not yet available</td>
<td>99.83%</td>
<td>99.80%</td>
<td>99.77%</td>
<td>99.36%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underpayment accuracy</td>
<td>Data not yet available</td>
<td>99.94%</td>
<td>99.95%</td>
<td>99.95%</td>
<td>99.97%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSI Accuracy Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Overpayment accuracy</td>
<td>Data not yet available</td>
<td>91.25%</td>
<td>91.87%</td>
<td>91.77%</td>
<td>92.71%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Underpayment accuracy</td>
<td>Data not yet available</td>
<td>98.67%</td>
<td>98.72%</td>
<td>98.52%</td>
<td>98.87%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

SSI REDETERMINATIONS

SSI redeterminations are periodic reviews of non-medical factors to ensure that a recipient is still eligible for SSI payments and that we have paid and will continue to pay the recipient the correct amount. The following table shows the number of SSI redeterminations we completed for FY 2017 through FY 2021.

SSI Redeterminations Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(In Millions)</th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of redeterminations completed</td>
<td>2.37</td>
<td>2.15</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>2.91</td>
<td>2.59</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
THE OFFICE OF THE INSPECTOR GENERAL’S ANTI-FRAUD ACTIVITIES

In FY 2021, we worked with our Office of the Inspector General (OIG), the U.S. Department of Justice, and other Government agencies on cases involving fraud, waste, and abuse as part of our fraud detection and prevention program for safeguarding our assets. Due in part to a widespread telephone scam involving the agency, and the emergence of COVID-19 pandemic related fraud schemes, OIG continued to receive higher than normal volumes of allegations during FY 2021. The following charts provide information from our OIG concerning fraud.

**Total Allegations by Category**

- Impersonation of SSA Employee: 568,123
- SSI-DI and Aged: 27,521
- OASI: 33,885
- Threats/Employee Safety & Employee-Related: 3,664
- SSN* Misuse: 57,987
- DI: 54,849
- Other: 34,567

*Social Security Number

**Source of All Allegations**

- Private Citizens: 668,887
- Law Enforcement: 3,139
- SSA & DDS Employees: 46,901
- Public Agencies and Other Sources: 958
- Beneficiaries: 13,018
- Anonymous: 47,693

**Disposition of All Cases**

Cases Opened: 7,779
Cases Closed: 6,132
Persons Presented to US Attorney*: 764
Persons Accepted by US Attorney*: 478
Persons Declined by US Attorney*: 434
Judicial Actions: 640
Cases Pending: 5,864

*These metrics include the total number of individual subjects or entities referred, accepted, and declined for prosecution by the DOJ where the investigative findings were not subject to pre-established prosecution declination guidelines. Additionally, these metrics are independent of one another. Therefore, persons recorded as declined or accepted during the reporting year may have been presented during a prior year. Similarly, persons presented during the reporting year may not yet have been recorded as declined or accepted.

CIVIL MONETARY PENALTY ADJUSTMENT FOR INFLATION

The Social Security Act authorizes the Commissioner to impose a civil monetary penalty (CMP) for certain specific violations. Section 1129 of the Social Security Act authorizes a CMP against anyone who makes any material false statements or representations to the agency or knowingly withholds a material fact from the agency, to obtain or retain benefits or payments under Titles II, VIII, or XVI of the Social Security Act. A CMP may also be imposed against representative payees for the wrongful conversion of Social Security payments entrusted to their care. Section 1140 of the Social Security Act authorizes a CMP to protect the public from advertisements, solicitations, and other communications (including Internet websites) that may convey the false impression that we approved, endorsed, or authorized the communication. Section 1140 also prohibits the reproduction and sale of Social Security publications and forms without our authorization and places restrictions on the charging for services that we provide to the public without charge. The Commissioner delegated authority to enforce our CMP program to the Inspector General.
The *Federal Civil Penalties Inflation Adjustment Act Improvements Act of 2015* expanded the categories of penalties that require adjustment for inflation to include CMPs under the *Social Security Act* and requires agencies to: (1) use an interim final rulemaking to adjust the level of CMPs in 2016 with an initial “catch-up” adjustment; (2) continue to make annual adjustments in future years; and (3) report on these adjustments annually. As required, we are providing information on our current CMPs; these amounts include the initial “catch-up” and annual adjustments. We will continue to make annual adjustments in future years and report on these adjustments annually.

### Civil Monetary Penalty Adjustments

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Statutory Authority</th>
<th>Penalty</th>
<th>Year Enacted</th>
<th>Last Year of Adjustment (via statute or regulation)</th>
<th>Current Penalty Date of Adjustment</th>
<th>Current Penalty Level</th>
<th>Sub-Agency/Bureau/Unit</th>
<th>Location for Penalty Update Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
BIENNIAL REVIEW OF USER FEE CHARGES

SUMMARY OF FEES

In FY 2021 and FY 2020, we earned $312 million and $295 million in user fees, respectively. This revenue accounted for less than one percent of our total financing sources. We derive over 67 percent of user fee revenues from agreements with 20 States and the District of Columbia to administer some or all of the States’ supplemental SSI benefits. During FY 2021, we charged a fee of $12.49 per payment for the cost of administering State supplemental SSI payments. This fee will increase to $13.16 for FY 2022. We adjust the user fee annually based on the Consumer Price Index, unless the Commissioner of Social Security determines a different rate is appropriate for the States. We charge full cost for other reimbursable activity, such as earnings record requests from pension funds and individuals.

BIENNIAL REVIEW

The Chief Financial Officers Act of 1990 requires biennial reviews by Federal agencies of agency fees and other charges imposed for services rendered to individuals as opposed to the American public in general. The objective of these reviews is to identify such activities, charge fees as permitted by law, and periodically adjust these fees to reflect current costs or market value. Based on our FY 2021 review, we identified updates to the uniform standard fee structure for non-programmatic workloads previously implemented in FY 2018. We are planning to perform another review of these fees during FY 2022.

GRANT PROGRAMS

Office of Management and Budget (OMB) Circular No. A-136, Financial Reporting Requirements, requires agencies to report on Federal grant and cooperative agreement awards that have not yet been closed out and for which the period of performance, including any extensions, elapsed for more than two years. As noted in the following table, we have 12 such grants or cooperative agreements to report.

The agency has six grant programs monitored by Grants Management Officers (GMO). Each GMO is responsible for monitoring a workload to ensure timely grant closeouts. There are occasions when a GMO cannot immediately close a grant. That is the case with those listed below. These delays are the result of 1) interface issues between systems and 2) unfinalized indirect rates. In these instances, closeout could be delayed by one year. We are working to develop reports to better track closeout actions. We will continue to monitor those actions and close awards as soon as they are eligible for closeout.

Grants and Cooperative Agreements Summary Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>2-3 Years</th>
<th>3-5 Years</th>
<th>More than 5 Years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Number of Grants/Cooperative Agreements with Zero Dollar Balances</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Number of Grants/Cooperative Agreements with Undisbursed Balances</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Amount of Undisbursed Balances</td>
<td>$49,104,762</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
<td>Not Applicable</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
DEBT COLLECTION AND MANAGEMENT

DEBT COLLECTION

We have a robust debt collection program to recover all types of overpayments, which helps ensure eligible individuals receive the benefits to which they are entitled, and it safeguards the integrity of benefit programs to better serve recipients. We use internal debt collection techniques (i.e., payment withholding and billing/follow-up), as well as external collection techniques authorized by the Debt Collection Improvement Act of 1996 for OASDI debts and the Foster Care Independence Act of 1999 for SSI debts.

In FY 2021, we recovered $4.070 billion using both our internal and external collection tools. Over the last 5 years (FY 2017 through FY 2021), we have collected a total of $19.775 billion. The following tables provide a description of each of our internal and external debt collection techniques for OASDI and SSI overpayments, and a summary of the results.

INTERNAL COLLECTIONS

We utilize internal collection tools to recover payments of delinquent debt for individuals in current pay. In FY 2021, we recovered $4.040 billion using our internal collection tools, which accounted for about 99 percent of our total collections amount. Over the last 5 years (FY 2017 through FY 2021), we have collected a total of $18.755 billion using our internal collection tools.

In FY 2021, we focused on our remittance operation with the implementation of three new payment channels. In January 2021, we partnered with the Department of the Treasury’s (Treasury) Pay.gov team to implement our first online repayment option for OASDI beneficiaries and SSI recipients to repay benefit overpayments via credit or debit card and an automated clearing house (i.e., a checking or savings account). Also, in January 2021, we partnered with Treasury to use U.S. Bank, a financial agent for Treasury, to implement a lockbox service to assist with our paper remittance processing efforts. In February 2021, we began routing paper remittances to Treasury’s lockbox for processing, providing relief to our Remittance Accounting Unit. In July 2021, we implemented Online Bill Pay providing another electronic repayment method to the public.

The following table provides a description of each of our internal debt collection techniques for OASDI and SSI overpayments, and a summary of the results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>OASDI</th>
<th>SSI</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Benefit Withholding</td>
<td>We withhold some or all benefit payments for Title II beneficiaries and Title XVI recipients in current pay. Benefit withholding typically accounts for the largest recovery of our total collections amount.</td>
<td>$2.188</td>
<td>$0.881</td>
<td>$3.069</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cross-Program Recovery (CPR)</td>
<td>CPR collects OASDI overpayments from monthly SSI payments and underpayments, and SSI overpayments from monthly OASDI benefit payments and underpayments.</td>
<td>$0.023</td>
<td>$0.189</td>
<td>$0.212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Collections</td>
<td>These are mostly voluntary payments received because of a notice requesting a refund of an overpayment.</td>
<td>$0.456</td>
<td>$0.304</td>
<td>$0.759</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Internal Collections</td>
<td>The total amount recovered by utilizing our internal collection tools.</td>
<td>$2.667</td>
<td>$1.373</td>
<td>$4.040</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:
1. Totals do not necessarily equal the sum of rounded components.
The following chart highlights the allocation of overpayments collected through our various internal collection tools as a proportion of the total FY 2021 $4.040 billion internal collections amount.

**EXTERNAL COLLECTIONS**

We utilize external collection tools to recover payments of delinquent debt for beneficiaries and recipients no longer in current pay. In FY 2021, we recovered $0.030 million using our external collection tools, which accounted for about 1 percent of our total collections amount. Over the last 5 years (FY 2017 through FY 2021), we have collected a total of $1.018 billion using our external collection tools.

Due to the COVID-19 pandemic, in March 2020, we requested and received approval to suspend using the Treasury Offset Program (TOP). We requested Treasury to pause TOP to recover delinquent debts we previously referred to Treasury for collection, and suspended our referral of delinquent debts to TOP. In addition, we suspended new Administrative Wage Garnishment (AWG) orders. This suspension continued through FY 2021. Changes to our external recovery methods resulted in fewer debt collections.

The following table provides a description of each of our external debt collection techniques for OASDI and SSI overpayments, and a summary of the results.

### External Collections During Fiscal Year 2021
**(Dollars in Billions)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Recovery Method</th>
<th>Description</th>
<th>OASDI</th>
<th>SSI</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOP</strong></td>
<td>TOP allows us to collect delinquent debt by tax refund offset, administrative offset, and Federal salary offset.</td>
<td>$(0.001)</td>
<td>$(0.001)</td>
<td>$(0.001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AWG</strong></td>
<td>AWG allows us to recover delinquent OASDI and SSI overpayments by ordering a debtor’s employer to garnish up to 15 percent of the debtor's private-sector disposable pay (i.e., that part of a worker’s total compensation after deduction of health insurance premiums and required deductions).</td>
<td>$0.022</td>
<td>$0.009</td>
<td>$0.031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total External Collections</strong></td>
<td>The total amount recovered by utilizing our external collection tools.</td>
<td>$0.022</td>
<td>$0.008</td>
<td>$0.030</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Notes:

1. Totals do not necessarily equal the sum of rounded components.
2. We use credit bureau reporting, non-entitled debtors, and automatic netting SSI as external collection tools. Collections derived from these recovery methods are subsets of the main recovery methods displayed in the table above. However, we track these collections for informational and decision-making purposes.
DEBT MANAGEMENT

The following two tables provide information on our debt management activities. We calculated the data shown in the tables by using accounts receivable amounts taken directly from the financial statements. We provide notes on activity that affected the change in accounts receivable activity between FY 2020 and FY 2021. We provide definitions of certain line items immediately following the Debt Management Activities Program and Administrative Table. For more information on our effort to curb overpayments, please refer to the Payment Integrity section immediately following this section.

A Title II system design limitation prevents us from capturing and tracking long-term withholding agreements for debts owed by the public scheduled for collection beyond the year 2049. GAO noted this information in the July 2011 audit report entitled, “Disability Insurance: SSA Can Improve Efforts to Detect, Prevent, and Recover Overpayments.” Current policy allows for repayment periods based on the ability of beneficiaries to repay on a periodic basis. This allows for the establishment of payment terms, which may exceed the expected life span of the beneficiary as we often withhold minimal amounts to avoid imposing undue hardships. We recognize that a portion of this debt owed by the public will prove uncollectible because some plans exceed beneficiaries’ expected lifetimes. We estimate that approximately 61,400 debts owed by the public are affected by payment plans extending beyond December 31, 2049. We estimate the total gross value of the post year 2049 receivable amounts is approximately $731 million as of September 30, 2021. This amount is not material to the consolidated financial statements. The 2049 data limitation in our debt management systems will no longer exist with the implementation of the new Debt Management System in FY 2023.

The following tables do not include the amounts related to post year 2049 debt. Therefore, the Total New Receivables and Total Write-offs are understated. We are working to address the system limitation; however, the accounts receivable balance reported on the financial statements are presented fairly in all material respects.

**FY 2021 Quarterly Debt Management Activities Program and Administrative Table (Dollars in Millions)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>4th Quarter</th>
<th>3rd Quarter</th>
<th>2nd Quarter</th>
<th>1st Quarter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total receivables</td>
<td>$20,884</td>
<td>$21,570</td>
<td>$21,617</td>
<td>$22,330</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New receivables</td>
<td>9,061</td>
<td>7,027</td>
<td>4,357</td>
<td>2,170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total collections</td>
<td>(4,517)</td>
<td>(3,202)</td>
<td>(2,150)</td>
<td>(1,081)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments</td>
<td>(617)</td>
<td>(149)</td>
<td>(163)</td>
<td>(148)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total write-offs</td>
<td>(7,441)</td>
<td>(6,504)</td>
<td>(4,825)</td>
<td>(3,009)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Waivers</td>
<td>(281)</td>
<td>(208)</td>
<td>(130)</td>
<td>(59)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Terminations</td>
<td>(7,160)</td>
<td>(6,296)</td>
<td>(4,695)</td>
<td>(2,950)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aging schedule of debts:</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Non delinquent debt</td>
<td>14,833</td>
<td>15,514</td>
<td>14,845</td>
<td>13,969</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Delinquent debt</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 120 days or less</td>
<td>1,020</td>
<td>1,019</td>
<td>1,114</td>
<td>1,490</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- 121 days to 10 years</td>
<td>3,980</td>
<td>4,010</td>
<td>4,656</td>
<td>5,659</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Over 10 years</td>
<td>1,051</td>
<td>1,027</td>
<td>1,002</td>
<td>1,212</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Total delinquent debt</td>
<td>$6,051</td>
<td>$6,056</td>
<td>$6,772</td>
<td>$8,361</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Debt Management Activities
Program and Administrative Table
(Dollars in Millions)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>FY 2021</th>
<th>FY 2020</th>
<th>FY 2019</th>
<th>FY 2018</th>
<th>FY 2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total receivables</td>
<td>$20,884</td>
<td>$24,398</td>
<td>$25,834</td>
<td>$24,484</td>
<td>$22,644</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New receivables¹</td>
<td>9,061</td>
<td>6,332</td>
<td>7,899</td>
<td>7,943</td>
<td>7,602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total collections</td>
<td>(4,517)</td>
<td>(4,100)</td>
<td>(4,215)</td>
<td>(3,992)</td>
<td>(3,888)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adjustments</td>
<td>(617)</td>
<td>(1,129)</td>
<td>(1,431)</td>
<td>(1,333)</td>
<td>(1,297)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total write-offs²</td>
<td>(7,441)</td>
<td>(2,539)</td>
<td>(903)</td>
<td>(778)</td>
<td>(787)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Waivers</td>
<td>(281)</td>
<td>(260)</td>
<td>(390)</td>
<td>(329)</td>
<td>(339)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Terminations</td>
<td>(7,160)</td>
<td>(2,279)</td>
<td>(513)</td>
<td>(449)</td>
<td>(448)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non delinquent debt</td>
<td>14,833</td>
<td>14,263</td>
<td>14,445</td>
<td>14,272</td>
<td>13,628</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total delinquent debt</td>
<td>$6,051</td>
<td>$10,135</td>
<td>$11,389</td>
<td>$10,212</td>
<td>$9,016</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Percentage Analysis**

- **% of outstanding debt:**
  - Non delinquent: 71.0% 58.5% 55.9% 58.3% 60.2%
  - Delinquent: 29.0% 41.5% 44.1% 41.7% 39.8%

- **% of debt estimated to be uncollectible³:**
  56.3% 59.2% 45.7% 43.5% 42.6%

- **% of debt collected:**
  21.6% 16.8% 16.3% 16.3% 17.2%

- **% change in collections from prior fiscal year:**
  10.2% -2.7% 5.6% 2.7% 7.9%

- **% change in delinquencies from prior fiscal year:**
  -40.3% -11.0% 11.5% 13.3% 12.3%

- **Clearances as a % of total receivables:**
  57.3% 27.2% 19.8% 19.5% 20.6%

- **Collections as a % of clearances:**
  37.8% 61.8% 82.4% 83.7% 83.2%

- **Write-offs as a % of clearances:**
  62.2% 38.2% 17.6% 16.3% 16.8%

**Other Analysis**

- **Cost to collect $1**
  $0.07 $0.06 $0.06 $0.07 $0.07

**Average number of months to clear receivables⁴:**

- **OASI**
  13 16 16 16 16

- **DI**
  27 68 45 45 44

- **SSI**
  48 66 49 43 43

**Notes:**

1. New Receivables – In FY 2020, as a result of the COVID-19 pandemic, the agency deferred certain overpayment debt activities (i.e., issuing new debt), which resulted in lower new debt in FY 2020 than in previous fiscal years. In FY 2021, these deferred overpayments from FY 2020 were processed, resulting in increased new debt compared to previous fiscal years.

2. Total Write-offs/Terminations – During FY 2020, we re-evaluated our program debt portfolio, specifically delinquent and uncollectible debt that we were pursuing. We found that we were pursuing debt that was largely uncollectible, thereby inefficiently using our processing centers’ limited resources. In FY 2020, we targeted and wrote-off a portion of our OASI and DI debt that we determined to be uncollectible to start our new debt write-off process, which contributed to the increase in Terminations compared to previous years. In FY 2021, we continued to evaluate our delinquent debt and have continued this write-off process for our SSI program debt, as well as additional OASI and DI debt. As part of this new debt write-off initiative, we have developed an automated process to evaluate debt going forward for potential write-offs. By terminating collection activity on uncollectible debt, we are better reflecting current receivables on our financial statements as well as reducing the number of actions from the processing center pending backlog. Please note that any debt terminated is still eligible for collection in the future. (See Termination definition below).

3. Percentage of Debt Estimated to be Uncollected – Our allowance for doubtful accounts methodology allows for all delinquent debt two years or older at 100 percent. For remaining debt that is not delinquent two years or more, we apply our uncollectible ratios, consistent with previous years. We add the fully allowed delinquent debt two years and older value to the calculated uncollectible value on the remaining debt to calculate the total allowance for doubtful accounts.
4. **Average Number of Months to Clear Receivables** – The changes to our accounts receivable activity in FY 2021 have affected the average number of months to clear debt.

5. Various data elements for FY 2021 in the Percentage Analysis section are affected by the changes to our accounts receivable activity in FY 2021, specifically our continued write-off efforts. See Note 2 above.

6. Refer to Note 1, Summary of Significance Accounting Policies, and Note 6, Accounts Receivable, Net, in the Financial Statements and Additional Information section for more information.

Definitions:

1. **Adjustments** – Program debt adjustments represent: (a) written-off debts, by way of terminations, that we reinstate for collections; (b) changes in debts when we update debtor accounts with new information; and (c) minor differences between reports containing debt information that we use to maintain an ending accounts receivable balance.

2. **Waivers** – Waivers represent the amount of overpayments forgiven because the overpaid person: (a) is without fault in causing the debt; and (b) recovery would either defeat the purpose of the Social Security Act or be against equity and good conscience. Waivers permanently remove debts from our accounts receivable balance, which precludes any further collection efforts.

3. **Terminations** – Terminations represent our decision to cease our own efforts to collect a debt because: (a) the debtor will not repay the debt or alleges they cannot pay the debt; (b) we cannot locate the debtor after diligent search; or (c) the debt is at least two years delinquent. Even though we terminate internal active collection, we may still use external collection efforts such as the TOP and AWG. If the debtor becomes entitled to OASDI benefits or eligible for SSI payments, we reinstate the debt and resume recovery through benefit/payment withholding.

4. **Delinquent Debt** – A debt is delinquent when no voluntary payment has been made 30 days after the latest of the following: (a) the date we establish an OASDI debt; (b) the date of the initial overpayment notice for a SSI debt; (c) the date of the last voluntary payment; (d) the date of an installment or periodic payment arrangement (if we do not receive a payment); and (e) the date we decide a debtor remains responsible for a debt, in response to a due process action by the debtor.
PAYMENT INTEGRITY

Program integrity workloads are critical to ensuring efficient programs and accurate payments in our OASDI, SSI, and Administrative Payments programs. As good stewards of our resources and taxpayer funds, these workloads help ensure eligible individuals receive the benefits to which they are entitled, and safeguard the integrity of benefit programs to better serve recipients by confirming eligibility and preventing fraud. “Ensure Stewardship” is a Strategic Goal in our Agency Strategic Plan for Fiscal Years 2018–2022 (www.ssa.gov/agency/asp). Each year, we report IP findings, both overpayments and underpayments, from our stewardship reviews of the non-medical aspects of the OASI, DI, and SSI programs. Regarding the medical aspects of our disability programs, we conduct CDRs to determine whether disability beneficiaries continue to meet the programs’ medical criteria. Terminating disability benefits after a CDR does not necessarily mean that the original determination was incorrect; it means the beneficiary’s medical condition has improved and the beneficiary no longer meets our definition of disability. Therefore, we consider the benefits he or she received before improvement to be proper.

On March 2, 2020, the President signed into law S. 375, the Payment Integrity Information Act of 2019 (PIIA). This law changed government-wide IP reporting requirements by repealing and replacing the Improper Payments Information Act of 2002, the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Act of 2010, the Improper Payments Elimination and Recovery Improvement Act of 2012, and the Fraud Reduction and Data Analytics Act of 2015. On March 5, 2021, OMB published a revised version of OMB Circular No. A-123, Appendix C, Requirements for Payment Integrity Improvement, to implement the provisions of PIIA. The goal of the revised version of OMB Circular A-123, Appendix C is to transform the payment integrity compliance framework and create a more comprehensive and meaningful set of requirements to allow agencies to spend less time complying with low-value activities and more time researching the underlying causes of IPs, balancing payment integrity risks and controls, and building the capacity to help prevent future IPs.

In accordance with OMB guidelines, we report as improper those payments that resulted from:

- Our mistake in computing the payment;
- Our failure to obtain or act on available information affecting the payment;
- A beneficiary’s failure to report an event; or
- A beneficiary’s incorrect report.

Effective FY 2021, all programs with annual outlays over $10,000,000 will fall into one of two possible classifications: Phase 1 or Phase 2.

Programs that are not likely to have an annual amount of IPs plus annual unknown payments (UP) above the statutory threshold (which is either (1) both 1.5 percent of program outlays and $10,000,000 of all program payments made during the fiscal year or (2) $100,000,000) are referred to as being in Phase 1. Per this definition, our Administrative Payments program is considered to be in Phase 1 for OMB reporting purposes. If a program in Phase 1 determines that it is likely to annually make IPs plus UPs above the statutory threshold, then the program will move into Phase 2 the following year. Once in Phase 2, a program will have additional requirements such as reporting an annual IP and UP estimate. Our OASDI and SSI programs are considered to be in Phase 2 for OMB reporting purposes.

In addition, a Phase 2 program that reports IPs resulting in monetary loss in excess of $100,000,000 annually is considered a High-Priority program. Our OASDI and SSI programs meet the definition of High-Priority programs.

OMB standardized the collection of information required by statute in an annual data call in lieu of narrative-style reporting previously included in the Agency Financial Report. Information about the IPs, root causes, and corrective actions in our programs for FY 2021 (and previous years) can be found on www.paymentaccuracy.gov.