OCCUPATIONAL INFORMATION DEVELOPMENT ADVISORY PANEL

FIRST QUARTERLY TELECONFERENCE MEETING

DECEMBER 7, 2011

SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

BALTIMORE, MARYLAND

* * * * *

DR. MARY BARROS-BAILEY
CHAIR

	2
1	PARTICIPANTS
2	MARY BARROS-BAILEY, Ph.D., Chair
3	JOHN W. CRESWELL, Ph.D.
4	ROBERT T. FRASER, M.D.
5	PAMELA L. FRUGOLI
6	SHANAN GWALTNEY GIBSON, Ph.D.
7	THOMAS A. HARDY, J.D.
8	JANINE S. HOLLOMAN
9	H. ALLAN HUNT, Ph.D.
10	DEBORAH E. LECHNER
11	ABIGAIL T. PANTER, Ph.D.
12	JUAN I. SANCHEZ, Ph.D.
13	DAVID J. SCHRETLEN, Ph.D.
14	HONORABLE ANDREW E. WAKSHUL
15	
16	C O N T E N T S
17	ITEM:
18	
19	Call to Order/Poll of Members - Leola Brooks 4
20	Welcome and Review of Agenda Mary Barros-Bailey, Ph.D. 6
21	Director's Report - Sylvia E. Karman, Director 11
22	Director & Report - Syrvia E. Rarman, Director II

PROCEEDINGS

OPERATOR: Good day, ladies and gentlemen, and welcome to the Occupational Information

Development Advisory Panel quarterly meeting. At this time all participants are in listen only mode.

If anyone should require technical assistance during today's conference, please press "star" then "zero" on your touch tone telephone. I would now like to turn the conference over to your host, Ms. Leola Brooks. Please go ahead.

MS. BROOKS: Thank you. Good afternoon and welcome to the first quarterly meeting of the Occupational Information Development Advisory Panel for the fiscal year 2012. As the Designated Federal Officer, I would like to welcome you again.

I would also like to use this opportunity to take a roll call to ensure that we have a quorum of members. We will start with Mary Barros-Bailey.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Here.

MS. BROOKS: John Creswell.

DR. CRESWELL: Here.

MS. BROOKS: Robert Fraser.

		5
1	DR. FRASER: Here.	
2	MS. BROOKS: Pamela Frugoli.	
3	MS. FRUGOLI: Here.	
4	MS. BROOKS: Shanan Gibson.	
5	DR. GIBSON: I am present.	
6	MS. BROOKS: Thomas Harvey.	
7	MR. HARDY: Here.	
8	MS. BROOKS: Janine Holloman.	
9	MS. HOLLOMAN: Here.	
10	MS. BROOKS: Allan Hunt.	
11	DR. HUNT: Here.	
12	MS. BROOKS: Abigail Panther	(phonetic).
13	DR. PANTER: Here. Panter.	
14	MS. BROOKS: Panter, excuse m	e.
15	Juan Sanchez.	
16	DR. SANCHEZ: Here.	
17	MS. BROOKS: David Schretlen.	
18	DR. SCHRETLEN: Here.	
19	MS. BROOKS: Andy Wakshul.	
20	HONORABLE WAKSHUL: Here.	
21	MS. BROOKS: Deborah Lechner.	
22	MS. LECHNER: Here.	

MS. BROOKS: And it's my understanding Timothy Key will not be presents on this call, is that correct?

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Yes.

MS. BROOKS: Okay. Thank you all.

Hearing that we have a quorum I will now turn the meeting over to the Panel Chair, Mary Barros-Bailey.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Thank you, Leola. And thank you all for your attendance at the first quarterly meeting of the OIDAP for fiscal year 2012.

web site if you would like to follow along in today's agenda. It is at SSA.gov "forward slash" OIDAP. If you look on the left panel you will see meeting information. And the first set of documents that you will see when you get to that page will be the Federal Register notice, along with the agenda for today's meeting.

If you look at agendas for past meetings, you can look at Power Points that have been loaded into the past meetings all the way up to our initial

inaugural meeting in February of 2009. You can also find public documents that are available in the Panel document section, all correspondence in our reports.

And I know that Sylvia will probably also be giving the web site to the OVRD that is developing the Occupational Information System, including documents that have been uploaded to that web site over the last three months.

So, Sylvia, if I forget to remind you, if you could give that during the director's report that would be great. Because I know there are documents that people specifically look for on our web site that are going to be present on yours.

As we indicate at the beginning of each meeting, the charter of the Occupational Information Development Advisory Panel, or OIDAP, is to provide Social Security Administration with independent advice and recommendations of the development of an Occupational Information System to replace the Dictionary of Occupational Titles in its disability programs.

As our main -- as our charter implies we are advisory only, and it is up to SSA to develop the OIS.

Today I would like to review the agenda.

It's a very straight forward agenda. I will have a short chair's report. We will be hearing from the Project Director, Sylvia Karman about all the many activities that have been occurring since our last face-to-face meeting with the project.

And we will under the -- review the subcommittee reports from the Job Analyst Subcommittee, with Deborah Lechner, Chair; the Sampling Subcommittee, with Dr. Allan Hunt, the Chair; then Taxonomy and Instrumentation Subcommittee, with Dr. Shanan Gibson, Chair; and the User Needs and Relations Subcommittee with Janine Holloman as the Chair.

We will also review the Minutes from our last face-to-face meeting in September, and ratify those at our next face-to-face meeting in March.

Now, I would like to go on and provide my Chair's report. It is going to be a short report

today. Rather, I would like to spend the bulk of the time allotted for the call to focus on the activities of the projects and the subcommittees.

Mainly, I would like to do a year end review. A year ago today, while in Baltimore preparing for our last face-to-face meeting, four of us met with Commissioner Astrue and Deputy Commissioner David Rust to review the Panel and project's activities to date, and to discuss executive actions involving support structure and staffing that could make a difference.

In the intervening year we on the Panel have seen many positive changes along the lines of our discussion that involve mainly our general recommendation number four from our 2009 report regarding the establishment, support of an internal SSA, and general recommendation number eight for the development of an Occupational Information System Research and Development Plan.

These foundational activities and many others that involve -- and have not involved our remaining six general recommendations, have made a

tremendous difference in positioning the project and the Panel to where we stand today. That is, we're ready to seize a new and busy 2012.

As a Panel, we realize that our capacity is only advisory and that SSA makes the ultimate decision. We also understand that SSA, like a lot of federal agencies today, is really under high scrutiny during economic times that we are faced with. And that this may affect what, how, and when the OIS is delivered.

Never in the history of over a decade in my involvement with this issue on the use of occupational data information for disability purposes, or for nearly three years in working with SSA development of their OIS have I been as positive about the ability of the Agency, the Panel and stakeholders working together to resolve this phase.

As Chair, I would like to thank SSA, especially Commissioner Astrue, Deputy Commissioner David Rust, Deputy Commissioner Latina Green, and the previous Commissioner Marianna; Acting Assistant Deputy Commissioner Bob Weathers, and his acting

Deputy Assistant Commissioners, Susan Wilschke and
Susan O'Brien; our Project Director, Sylvia Karman;
Deputy Project Director, Debra Tidwell-Peters and
their staff, and our Designated Federal Officer,
Leola Brooks for taking our recommendations and
advice to heart.

I would also like to thank all the work of the Panel, and the subcommittee chairs over the past years -- the past nearly three years. From where I stand, I see we're poised for a very busy year. So I want to particularly thank you for all your anticipated work.

If the changes I see in 2012 are like the ones I saw in the last year, I can only be excited about the future.

And at this point, I would like to pass the agenda on to Sylvia Karman for her director's report. Sylvia.

MS. KARMAN: Good afternoon, everyone.

Thank you very much Mary.

So first of all, as I get started Mary had asked that I let folks who are listening in know

what our project web site -- where to find that. It
is HTTP "colon," two back slashes, www.SSA.gov,
 "back slash," disability research, one word, "back
 slash," occupational, "underscore," info,
 underscore," systems, "dot" HTML.

All right. So as I begin, first, I wanted to just mention the changes in Office of Vocational Resources Development in terms of formalizing our structure. As you know, we have been working to bring additional expertise to the project and to formalize the structure in our office.

So I am particularly pleased to announce several personnel changes for the Office of Vocational Resources and Development that have been approved by our management. First of all, Jone Papinchock arrived in OVRD on September 7th to serve as the senior research psychologist. Jone comes to us with a wealth of knowledge and experience in the field of industrial organization psychology. And she has quickly immersed herself in our work as we continue the OIS research and development.

Debra Tidwell-Peters has been selected to serve as the Deputy Director for the Office of Vocational Resource Development. Debra came to the OIS project in 2008 to serve as the Designated Federal Officer for the Panel. And she brought extensive expertise and leadership abilities from her prior position as the DFO for SSA's Ticket to Work Panel.

Elaina Wise-Johnson has been promoted to the position of program analyst in the Office of Vocational Resources Development. Elaina came to us as a recent graduate from Towson University in Maryland in 2008. And she has provided exemplary administrative support for the OIS project and the Panel every since.

Elaina will continue to serve as the administrative center of our office and the Panel, taking on additional responsibilities to assist me, the Deputy Director, and the Designated Federal Officer to ensure our project and Panel activities are well supported and run smoothly.

Bryon Haskins has been selected to serve

as the Chief for OVRD's program integration and analysis branch. Byron came to us in 2010 with extensive managerial and disability program expertise as the director for the state disability determination office in Michigan.

Mark Trapani has been selected to serve as the chief for OVRD's Design and Development Branch.

Mark came to us in 2009 from the Office of Program

Evaluation in OPDR. He has brought substantial experience designing, conducting and managing disability program research and evaluations to the OIS project from his prior work with the Government Accountability Office, and with OPDR.

Regarding our project activities that has taken place from basically since the last time we met, so from October through December, I would like to cover some of the major activity that we have had underway, and in some cases completed.

So first off are the baseline activities that we are wrapping up. The OIS investigation, both domestic and internationally. The activities were completed by September, 2011. And the final draft

report was completed and reviewed in October. The report combines the information from both the international and domestic investigations. It has been reviewed by several Panel members, as well as OVRD staff, and the OIS Development Workgroup members.

We are resolving just a couple more comments on the OIS investigation, what we call phase four paper -- which is the final paper -- and plan to post it to the project web site shortly when we are finished.

The Occupational Medical Vocational Study also an activity cited in our plan under baseline activities. We have completed the appellate level reviews for the OccMed-Voc study. And we are nearly complete with the quality assurance reviews for those appellate cases. However, we have already begun to program the initial level review data, so that we can -- and conduct our data analysis so that we can examine the results and begin developing the final report. So we will begin with the initial level data, and then as our quality assurance is complete

with the appellate level data, we will include that.

In the -- the next series of activities that you all will be familiar with as you have heard about over the last year we're identifying OIS standards. In that vain, we have legal, scientific, and usability.

For legal, the legal standards phase four document, which is the final report, has undergone additional revisions after review by the OIS

Development Workgroup, the senior research psychologist, Jone Papinchock. And is now -- is being reviewed by the Office of General Counsel in Social Security. We expect to have the General Counsel's final comments and/or approval in January 2012.

The scientific standards are completed.

That phase four paper is posted to our project web site that I gave at the beginning, and I can certainly give it again at the end of my report.

The usability standards, we are preparing a phase two document regarding usability standards that would be applied through the R&D activities. We are

also looking at the usability plan. In other words, a series of activities that we know we will need to undertake through the research and development of the OIS.

So we are revising right now phase one documents to reflect specific activities targeted to address usability. As such, several activities in their attendant phases in the OIS business process would flow from that phase one document to cover various usability activities.

One of the activities, for example, is to develop a flow chart of the sequential evaluation process, which highlight the type of Occupational Information, the source of that information, and the related policy guidance provided by the Agency.

Now, the purpose of that activity is pretty direct. It's to help us ensure right now that we capture the full range of information about work that adjudicators will need during the development of our work taxonomy and the data collection instrument or instruments.

A working draft has been shared with the

OVRD staff. I believe that several members of the workgroup as well have reviewed it, and we will be, you know, integrating that information into a meeting that we will be having with IO's on Monday and Tuesday next week.

A phase two activity is being planned to obtain and analyze baseline performance statistics from SSA quality reviews about the common causes of errors involving occupational information or references. Then another phase two activity for usability will be to build upon the results of the 2009 user needs analysis that we conducted, designed to target follow-ups and future usability testing.

So moving on to the third activity that we had reflected under our baseline activities involved the calls one and two that you all are probably very familiar with, the blanket purchase agreement that we have with ICF International for services for developing and reporting on a strategy for training, certifying, and recruiting job analyst.

The calls one and two final reports are posted now to our web site for those listening who

would be interested in seeing them and are not aware of that.

We are working now with ICF on call 003, which has two purposes. So the first purpose of call three is to make recommendations on protocols for specific data collection processes for SSA's OIS.

Excuse me. These recommendations will detail, for example, how we might contact and arrange visits with entities, provide assignments to the data collectors -- job analysts, if you would, and how we would transmit data to a central database, and/or review the data collectors performance. These are examples of the kinds of things that we would need to be considering in developing a process for data collection.

To inform these recommendations ICF will benchmark through interviewing with government agencies, private sector organizations and state organizations who have experience in the wide range of data collection that we are looking at. So, for example, some of the interview topics that we would be covering would be, for example, identifying,

contacting, negotiating, arranging visits or other forms of data collection with these entities.

Gathering information from organizations as necessary for defining our sample, providing assignments to data collectors. How do they handle pay and travel expenses? Identifying and reviewing the jobs and positions within the entity so that we can ensure our sampling accuracy. The jobs and positions within the entity that we want to evaluate when we do our job analyses.

Also, the types of contacts that we are planning to conduct soon -- or that ICF is going to be conducting soon will be with the Employment Training Administrative and the Bureau of Labor Statistics in the Department of Labor, with the Census Bureau, with the Office of Personnel Management, with DOD, and a couple private sector organizations, and two state organizations that do workers' compensation -- or do job analysis for workers' compensation.

The other purpose of call three with ICF is also to enable us to facilitate two expert round

tables to discuss various aspects of our development of SSA's prototype work taxonomy. And we are still in the process of developing specific topics for those discussions at both those round tables. And the dates for the round tables have not been finalized. But we do plan for them to take place in -- in early 2012. So what we mean by that is probably later in the winter and then in spring.

Also, we had some external activity right after the beginning of the fiscal year for us, in October, and then again in November. We had some presentations to stakeholder organizations. We presented -- I was able to present at the Vocational Evaluation and Work Adjustment Association and NASCRR (phonetic), which is a group with the National Rehabilitation Association, their annual conference in October.

And Mary Barros-Bailey and I presented at the International Association for Rehabilitation Professionals forensic conference, November 5th in Las Vegas.

Also, we are drafting language to revise

some of the text for the SSA and Department of Labor Memorandum of Understanding. SSA is currently reviewing some suggested revisions that we have discussed with DOL staff. And we are going to be moving -- both agencies will be moving that -- those revisions forward through their general counsel shortly.

Also, we had a meeting with CDC, and the National Institute for Occupational Safety and Health following an inquiry from NIOSH. We held a teleconference with them at the end of October to discuss the OIS project and work underway in a variety of sections within NIOSH, and also to cover some possible areas of mutual interest. We plan to stay in touch with NIOSH, particularly several divisions that focused on surveys, as well as physical function, such as musculoskeletal functions that is involved in the workplace.

And, also, we have been having several meetings with the Government Accountability Office, with their staff. The Government Accountability

Office is currently auditing the Agency's work in

modernizing disability programs. And one subsection of that is their investigation of the OIS project.

The next activity that we have been working through is the development of our work taxonomy.

First, we are finishing some work having to do with the DEC, the Disability Evaluation Constructs. And what we are doing at this stage is what we're calling a classification or filtering of the constructs that we inventoried in fiscal year 2011.

So we have -- we had developed a method by which several of our -- the OIS Development Work

Group members were able to assist us in filtering the inventory so that we could come up with the final list that SSA would like to present to those who will be involved in developing not only the work taxonomy, but also, then, that would inform instrument development as well.

We -- the activities -- the initial classification results by the OIS Development Workgroup and our staff will be finished, hopefully, by the end of this week. And we expect the completion of phase four documents describing the

results in January 2012 in time for us to begin in earnest the work on developing the work taxonomy.

And then with regard to the work taxonomy itself, we did receive recommendations from the industrial organization consultants working with us, which outline step by step methodology for developing SSA's work taxonomy. The consultants' recommendations have been reviewed by SSA's staff, and several members of the Panel. So we have had an opportunity to review not only the consultants' recommendations, but their review of each others papers, as well as others -- a number of people's comments on them.

We are planning to meet with the consultants on December 12th and 13th to discuss our design decisions and finalize the methodology that we will use to develop the draft phase two of our work taxonomy development. We anticipate the final phase two for methods, therefore, to be completed in January 2012 so we can begin.

The work that we are ramping up for after the first of the year then, 2012, reflect the range

of work that we have identified already in the plan. Some of you may be familiar with our identification of key OIS design elements. That certainly will be a topic of discussion next week. And certainly will be informing our work taxonomy development, as well as the instrument.

We are also preparing for instrument development. We will develop the requirements for the database to support that -- that instrument or instruments, which will, in fact, reflect the initial steps toward developing a data management plan, which is cited in our OIS R&D plan.

And then regarding sampling, we are -would be in a space later on this summer to initiate
prototype sampling, or at least to initiate the
prototype sampling plan. And in the meantime, our
Panel Sampling Subcommittee Chair will report on the
activities that it has planned, you know, in terms of
providing valuable background and information for SSA
as it develops that plan. I'm sure that Allan Hunt,
our Chair, will describe that later.

And that is all I have for my report.

Mary, any Panel members, do you all have any questions?

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: This is Mary. I would like to go ahead and open up the Panel for questions, and just make a comment. I know as we get going on this process the people listening in might not be familiar with the way that we use some language in terms of phase documents. If you go either to the OVRD or the OIDAP web site, and you look for OIS Research and Development Plan and you look at the process, you can get a description as to the base documents and get a sense of where SSA is in terms of the development of each of these parts of the OIS plan. So I will go ahead and open up the discussion to the Panel. See if anybody has questions of Sylvia.

DR. HUNT: This is Allan. I have one about the OccMed-Voc.

You said you are going ahead to start to build a database. When do you expect the quality assurance to be done so you really are moving ahead rapidly on that?

1 MS. KARMAN: We anticipate having the 2 quality assurance completed in the middle of 3 January. So we are dependent on a number of individuals from other offices to assist us with the 4 5 quality review, because a number of our staff who 6 have been involved with it cannot go in and review 7 themselves. So we really had to reach out to some other folks to ask them to help us. So that's where 8 9 we are with that.

- DR. HUNT: Good. Thank you.
- MS. KARMAN: Thanks.

10

- DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Any other questions of Sylvia?
- 14 Great. Thank you, Sylvia.
- MS. KARMAN: Thank you.
- DR. BARROS-BAILEY: A lot of work. I
 appreciate all the work that you and your staff have
 been doing to get us to this point.
- I would like to now welcome Deb Lechner to present the Job Analyst Subcommittee report. Deb.
- MS. LECHNER: Thanks, Mary. We have not had any formal meetings since our last meeting in

the fall. We are currently working on providing feedback for call orders 001 and 002. I have finished my input on call order 002, and I'm waiting for input from one of our Panel members and then that one will be complete, and we will begin working on call order 001. So that's all I have.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Thank you, Deb.

Allan, for Sampling Subcommittee, if you

would present your report, please.

DR. HUNT: I would be pleased to.

First, I apologize for all the detail there, but I wanted to make sure that you didn't think this was some sort of power graph, and that, you know, we didn't have an invitation and authorization to proceed. So I wasn't trying to pad the record, but I was trying to let you know that we had extensive consultations about this move, and will certify the approval of SSA and OVRD and the acceptance by the members of the subcommittee.

The first thing that we have concluded is that we are not going to sponsor a round table as was discussed earlier. That the timing and the

budget do not permit. What we are exploring with Sylvia and SSA is the retention of a small group of experts to essentially look over our shoulder and review the work that we do to ensure that it meets the highest scientific standards.

And we haven't formalized that yet, but I have a list of names. And once Sylvia has a procedure, we will -- we will circulate those names for comment and then proceed to try and make those appointments, whatever their nature is going to be.

The presumption that we have on the Sampling Subcommittee is that we will be -- if necessary to establish linkage with either of the national -- existing national occupational databases, either the Occupational Employment Statistics Program from the DOS or the American Community Survey Program from the Census Bureau. That helps to organize the universe for us.

There aren't an infinite number of possibilities, but we have to keep our linkage to one of those two possibilities, which makes this manageable, at least, in my opinion.

But we need to explore beyond what we have so far, because you all know we have started exploring the implications of choosing one of those two strategies, either household sampling or employer sampling.

We need to probe the willingness to collaborate on the part of both the DLS and the Census Bureau. I think we have formed some preliminary impression of that just from the public testimony. But I think we need to get further into that, and hopefully get it to a point where we can turn it over to SSA to negotiate further.

And we want to specifically develop a recommendation or a set of recommendations to bring to the Panel concerning sampling strategy. We are hopeful to do that for the March meeting, but we're not making promises yet, because we don't know exactly what lies ahead on this track.

In the report circulated to members of the subcommittee I have listed six activities that we are proceeding as the target allows. First to review the findings from the visit to Census about

the American Community Survey in more detail. Both Mark Trapani and Bill -- I forget Bill's last name.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Davis.

DR. HUNT: Say it again.

MS. KARMAN: Davis.

DR. HUNT: Bill Davis, sorry.

-- the statistician from SSA who went with Mark. We have more information about this and we want to capture that. Not necessarily a written report, but a debriefing at least so that we get the benefit of that experience.

We intend to analyze the OccMed-Voc Study for sampling related issues, which was the reason for my question, trying to think about the timing. That doesn't mean where we're redoing the work, but we want to look at it from the perspective of, you know, what do these findings suggest in terms of a sampling strategy?

Third, we want to compare the existing data at the national level; and, perhaps, at a subnational also, but from the OES and the ACS. I don't believe this has ever been done. If it has,

someone please correct me.

But I have hopes that there will be some implications from that alone to look at the differences in the distribution that have resulted from these two attempts to estimate national employment numbers for occupations. And that's an activity that we can pursue at any time.

Fourth, I want to specifically develop an agenda that list issues that we need to think about, if not at least make recommendations on. So developing an agenda for the things that we need to consider before we come back to the full Panel to tell you what we have found.

I want to pursue informal contacts at staff level. Both the ACS and the OES to get a little bit closer to the ground in terms of data quality, data collection procedures, some of the things that have been -- at least been a little less than clear in terms of the testimony that we have had in the public meetings.

And lastly and not leastly, to maintain a full and effective coordination with the -- with the

other committees, particularly the instrumentation and the job analyst committee. And I should say that Abigail, as a member of both committees, has volunteered to serve that role at least to keep us informed of what they are doing and what we are doing back to them, so.

Allan.

And, of course, our goal is to bring -excuse my voice -- bring a recommendation to the

Panel on how to proceed to develop a sampling
strategy or strategies depending upon the outcome of
this. And our ultimate goal would be to do that by

March, but I'm not promising that.

That's all I have. If other subcommittee members would like to chime in or add something, please feel free.

Okay. Any questions, then, from others?

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Thank you,

Hearing no questions, I will go ahead and ask Shanan Gibson to present the subcommittee report for Taxonomy and Instrumentation.

DR. GIBSON: Thank you so much, Mary.

I am glad to -- since the fall 2011 quarterly meeting, the Taxonomy/Instrumentation Subcommittee has not had a formal meeting. However, we have been facilitating, we hope, and helping the activities that are occurring within OVRD as it works towards the development of a finalized taxonomy that can then be utilized for these other sets that Allan was just talking about.

The primary activities of the Taxonomy/Instrumentation Subcommittee basically focused upon providing feedback to the members of OVRD regarding the information that has been received from the industrial organizational psychologist consultants. In particular, myself and, actually, Juan Sanchez, who is on another committee has provided feedback on the work taxonomy analytic report number one, provided by Drs. Harvey and Morgeson, and also provided feedback on their comments to each other on report number one.

In addition to that, I have provided feedback to the OVRD regarding their phase two classification and filtering of disability

evaluation constructs procedures paper, which they have had in progress, and Sylvia mentioned as well. So that's kind of where we're at. We are waiting and moving forward as OVRD does.

Now, in the spring 2012 for that Panel meeting we look forward to continuing to work with the OVRD staff to facilitate development of that comprehensive taxonomy that can then be sampled and pilot tested, and all that other wonderful stuff.

I will be one of the people on site next week, as will Juan Sanchez working with the two industrial organizational psychology consultants. So I look forward to identifying the commonalities in the plans that they promoted, and helping them work through and determine what will be a viable path, hopefully, for SSA to pursue in that regard.

I have to say that all the Panel members,

I know, and certainly my subcommittee look forward

to working with the research psychologist, Dr. Joann

Papinchock as well. That's it.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay, Shanan. Thank you.

Are there any questions of Shanan?

Thank you.

I know that this is going to be a very busy year. I can see it already.

Janine, if you would provide the User Needs and Relations Subcommittee report.

MS. HOLLOMAN: Okay. Thank you, Mary.

We have also not had a formal meeting

since our last report.

MS. KARMAN: Could you speak up, please,

Janine.

MS. HOLLOMAN: Okay. Since the last report, committee member, Tom Hardy has presented at the New England Chapter Conference of the International Association of Rehabilitation Professionals. As reported earlier, Mary Barros-Bailey and Sylvia Karman presented at the November IARP forensic conference. I presented twice in November. Once at the Michigan

Rehabilitation Conference, which is a joint conference for the Michigan chapters of IARP and

22 NRA. And I also presented at Michigan State

University to a group of rehabilitation counseling students in their foundations class.

Between now and the March meeting, we are hoping to work with Social Security, again. And the group as -- in making sure that we factually disseminate information and how to make sure everyone gets the same message at the same time and respond to all the stakeholder groups requesting information and/or presentations.

Right now I'm not aware of any
presentation request before March, but I have been
asked to speak at the April National Association of
Disability Representatives annual conference in New
Orleans. That's pending yet, but it looks like I
will be speaking at that conference. Any questions?

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Thank you,
Janine.

Hearing no questions for any of the subcommittee reports, I just want to make sure there is a final call there if anybody else has any questions or comments for the particular subcommittees before we move on to administrative

business?

DR. SCHRETLEN: Mary, this is David.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay.

DR. SCHRETLEN: I am just wondering -- I would welcome Dr. Papinchock, but I also just wonder a little bit about her background. Can we get a sense of who she is.

MS. KARMAN: Hi, this is Sylvia.

We -- we can certainly submit a bio to the Panel through Leola for everyone. Joann just came to us recently from the Office of Personnel

Management. She was a senior researcher there with them. And before that, she was in private practice, working with companies that represent -- that prepare background -- I mean, that do job analyses for other organizations, so.

DR. SCHRETLEN: And she is industrial --

MS. KARMAN: Yes. She is an industrial

organizational psychologist, I'm sorry. Yes.

DR. SCHRETLEN: Thank you.

MS. KARMAN: Sure.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Any other

questions or comments?

Okay. I would like to, then, move on to the administrative part of our meeting.

We all received a draft of the September 2011 quarterly meeting Minutes. And I would like to call attention to those listening in and also Panel members who might not be aware of this, that transcripts from our previous meeting are being uploaded to our web site. So if you go to the meeting section of our web site, you can actually get copies of the court reporter transcripts for all meetings that run hundreds of pages to support the Minutes that are drafted for our meetings.

I would like to compliment OVRD staff that put together these Minutes. These look very, very good. I did have some thoughts about them or changes, but would like to open it up to the Panel to see if there are any changes to the draft Minutes.

Okay. Hearing none, and if there are no objections, the draft Minutes, as modified by me, are approved as printed.

1 MS. FRUGOLI: Actually, this is Pam.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay.

MS. FRUGOLI: If you just identified typos, I don't necessarily want to raise them up on the call.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: You can forward them on to Leola, yes.

MS. FRUGOLI: Okay. Fine.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay. Any other thoughts or questions on that?

Okay. Is there any other business that we need to attend to on this call?

I would like to point out that we have identified two face-to-face meetings next year, and another telephone conference. Our next quarterly meeting will be the week of March 19th, around the dates of the 21st and the 22nd, particularly for meeting. We will have more detailed information as that emerges. I will be going out to the Panel asking for specific recommendations for agenda items.

But at this point for the March meeting if

there are any thoughts of particular presentations that you would like to see or items on the agenda, I'm open to taking those.

I know there was a request at the last face-to-face meeting that we have a formal presentation of the OccMed-Voc study. Are there any other particular items that you would like to see on the agenda for March.

DR. GIBSON: Mary, this is Shanan Gibson.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Yes.

DR. GIBSON: I think we should probably be in a position to -- or OVRD should hopefully be in a position to present us with what the plan is that has been developed based on the feedback they received from the IO consultants and the Panel.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Okay.

MS. KARMAN: This is Sylvia. I'm certainly hopeful that that would be the case.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Anything else specific that you can think of that the agenda is probably going to be addressed after the first of the year.

So I will be going out to the Panel again at that

point. Allan.

DR. SCHRETLEN: Mary, this is David.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Oh, David.

DR. SCHRETLEN: Not a specific content, but as usual we will have opportunities to meet as subcommittees.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Absolutely. And I will be going out to the subcommittee chairs to request how much time. I know we were a little tight the last time we met. We might need to expand that time. And we're anticipating that might be the case.

DR. SCHRETLEN: You know, it does seem to me like there is sort of more and more being done at the subcommittee level.

DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Yes. And so like I said, I will be going out to the subcommittee chairs and the Panel as of the first of the year for timed agendas for the subcommittee meetings, and then for the general meeting as well, the public meeting.

Okay. I would like to point out two other dates that it's important that we have on our

schedule, June 6th we will have another teleconference. This time seems to work for everybody. So it will be at noon eastern daylight time at that point.

And the last meeting that we have on our schedule is for the week of August 13th, a face-to-face meeting. Generally, probably around the 14, 15th, and 16th of that week has been traditional for us.

From my understanding, these meetings will likely be around the Baltimore area because of cost and budget issues. But we will get more information out to the Panel as that emerges. But just wanted to make sure everybody had those on their schedules.

At this time if we have concluded all our business for the agenda, and I want to make sure there is no additional business that we need to do. I will wait a couple seconds. Okay.

Then I will go ahead and turn the meeting over to our Designated Federal Officer, Leola Brooks, for adjournment. Leola.

MS. BROOKS: Thank you, Mary.

```
If there are no objections the meeting
 1
 2
    will adjourn.
              Hearing no objections, we are adjourned
 3
    until the next meeting of the Panel in March 2012.
 4
 5
    Thank you, everyone.
 6
               DR. BARROS-BAILEY: Thank you, everybody.
 7
               (Whereupon, at 12:58 p.m., the proceedings
 8
    adjourned.)
 9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
```

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

I, Stella R. Christian, A Certified

Shorthand Reporter, do hereby certify that I was
authorized to and did report in stenotype notes the
foregoing proceedings, and that thereafter my
stenotype notes were reduced to typewriting under my
supervision.

I further certify that the transcript of proceedings contains a true and correct transcript of my stenotype notes taken therein to the best of my ability and knowledge.

SIGNED this 14th day of December, 2011.

15 _______STELLA R. CHRISTIAN

S R C REPORTERS (301)645-2677