Since 1941, the Social Security Administration (SSA) has periodically surveyed the aged to determine their economic status. The first national survey was conducted in 1963. In 1976, SSA’s Office of Research and Statistics began compiling a biennial series of reports on the income of the aged based on data collected by the U.S. Census Bureau in its Current Population Survey. These SSA reports are published under the title *Income of the Population 55 or Older*. The most recent edition of that publication is based on 2010 data, which, along with special tabulations, form the basis of this chartbook.

This publication covers the population aged 65 or older. The unit of analysis here, with the exception of measures of poverty and family income of persons, is the aged unit, which is a married couple living together or a person who does not live with a spouse. A married couple’s age is defined as the age of the husband—unless he is under age 55 and the wife is 55 or older, in which case it is the age of the wife. The race and Hispanic origin of a married couple are determined by the husband. The unit of analysis for poverty is persons aged 65 or older.

The 2010 sample represented 12,162,000 couples and 17,478,000 single units. The single unit may be a widow(er), a divorced or separated person, a legally married person who does not live with a spouse, or a person who never married. This unit of analysis allows one to measure the economic status of the entire noninstitutionalized aged population separately from that of the family or household in which the unit may live.

Brad Trenkamp prepared this chartbook. Staff of the Division of Information Resources edited the report and prepared it for publication. This chartbook and its companion publication, *Income of the Population 55 or Older*, are available on our website at http://www.socialsecurity.gov/policy.

Your suggestions and comments on this report are welcome. Any suggestions, comments, or questions related to the content of the charts should be directed to inc.aged@ssa.gov. For additional copies, e-mail op.publications@ssa.gov.

Manuel de la Puente
Associate Commissioner
for Research, Evaluation, and Statistics
March 2012
**Income Sources**

**Asset income** includes interest, dividends, income from estates or trusts, and net rental income or royalties.

**Cash public assistance** includes Supplemental Security Income and other cash public assistance payments that low-income people receive, such as Aid to Families with Dependent Children (AFDC, ADC), temporary assistance to needy families (TANF), general assistance, and emergency assistance.

**Earnings** is the sum of income from wages and salaries and income from self-employment.

**Noncash benefits** include Food Stamps, energy assistance, and housing assistance. Noncash benefits are not included as money income for public assistance or total income.

**Retirement benefits** include Social Security, other public sources such as Railroad Retirement and government employee pensions (military, federal, state, and local), and private pensions and annuities.

**Social Security** includes retired-worker benefits, dependent or survivor benefits, disability benefits, transitionally insured benefits, and special age-72 benefits.

**Pensions** include regular payments from private pensions and annuities; government employee pensions; Railroad Retirement; and individual retirement accounts (IRAs), Keoghs, and 401(k) plans. Irregular withdrawals (lump-sum payments) from IRAs, Keoghs, and 401(k) plans are not included in the data because they do not fit the Census Bureau’s definition of money income.

**Income**

**Total money income** is the sum of all income received by the aged unit before any deductions such as taxes, union dues, or Medicare premiums. Total money income does not reflect nonmoney transfers such as food stamps, health benefits, subsidized housing, payments in kind, or fringe benefits from one’s employment. Total money income does not include capital gains or losses, or irregular withdrawals (lump-sum payments) from IRAs, Keoghs, or 401(k) plans.

**Aggregate income** is the sum of the total money income of all aged units in the population of interest. Several charts in this book present the shares of aggregate income attributable to specific sources, such as Social Security.

**Source of Data**

Data for this series are from the March Current Population Survey (CPS) of the U.S. Census Bureau. The CPS samples a large cross section of households in the United States each year (approximately 97,000 in March 2011). The March supplement gathers detailed information on income and labor force participation of each person 15 years of age or older in the sample households.

**General Notes**

This publication covers the population aged 65 or older. The unit of analysis here, with the exception of the measures of poverty and family income of persons, is the aged unit, which is a married couple living together or a person who does not live with a spouse. A married couple’s age is defined as the age of the husband—unless he is under age 55 and the wife is 55 or older, in which case it is the age of the wife. The race and Hispanic origin of a married couple are determined by the husband. The unit of analysis for family income of persons and poverty is persons aged 65 or older.

Beginning with data for 2002, respondents may identify themselves in more than one racial group. The “White alone” and “Black alone” categories reflect respondents who reported only one race.

All years are calendar years.

Nonmarried men and nonmarried women include persons who are separated or married but living apart from their spouse.

Persons of Hispanic origin may be of any race.
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The demographic composition of the elderly changes with age.

The aged are an economically diverse group.

Income differences by age are associated with differences in marital status.

Demographic differences are associated with different levels of median income for units aged 65 or older.

Median real income has risen substantially over the years.
The demographic composition of the elderly changes with age.

Aged units 65 to 69 are the most likely to be married couples (48.8%), while aged units 80 or older are most likely to be nonmarried women (54.1%). The younger age groups also have a greater proportion of minorities than the older cohorts.

### Percentage distribution of aged units 65 or older, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>65 or older</th>
<th>65–69</th>
<th>70–74</th>
<th>75–79</th>
<th>80 or older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status and sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married couples</td>
<td>41.0</td>
<td>48.8</td>
<td>47.6</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>28.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmarried men</td>
<td>17.0</td>
<td>18.1</td>
<td>16.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmarried women</td>
<td>42.0</td>
<td>33.2</td>
<td>36.2</td>
<td>42.6</td>
<td>54.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White alone</td>
<td>85.2</td>
<td>83.0</td>
<td>84.6</td>
<td>85.7</td>
<td>87.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black alone</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>11.0</td>
<td>10.3</td>
<td>9.3</td>
<td>8.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian alone</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic origin</td>
<td>7.6</td>
<td>8.4</td>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of aged units</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(thousands)</td>
<td>29,640</td>
<td>8,468</td>
<td>6,676</td>
<td>5,354</td>
<td>9,142</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Totals do not necessarily equal the sum of the rounded components.
The aged are an economically diverse group.

The median income for units aged 65 or older is $25,757, but there are wide differences within the total group. Approximately 13% have an income of under $10,000, and roughly 25% have an income of $50,000 or more.

Percentage with various levels of income, 2010

NOTE: Totals do not necessarily equal the sum of the rounded components.
Income differences by age are associated with differences in marital status.

Median income is generally lower in older age groups. The striking differences by age are due in part to the disproportionate number of nonmarried women in older age groups.

**Median income, by age and marital status, 2010**
Demographic differences are associated with different levels of median income for units aged 65 or older.

Income is highest for married couples, who have a median income more than 2½ times that of nonmarried persons. Median income of white aged units is greater than that of Asian aged units and black aged units by 57 and 65 percent, respectively.

Median income, by marital status, race, and Hispanic origin, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Marital Status</th>
<th>Income (Dollars)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Married couples</td>
<td>44,718</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmarried persons</td>
<td>17,261</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White alone</td>
<td>27,214</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black alone</td>
<td>16,463</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian alone</td>
<td>17,977</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic</td>
<td>14,400</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Median real income has risen substantially over the years.

Between 1962 and 2010, the income of units aged 65 or older increased even when adjusted for inflation. The increase was 115.4% for married couples and 111.6% for nonmarried persons. There were disproportionate increases by race. Between 1967 and 2010, the income of whites increased by 119.2%; that of blacks increased by 88.6%.

Change in median income, by marital status and race, selected years

![Graph showing change in median income by marital status and race, selected years.](http://www.socialsecurity.gov/policy/docs/chartbooks/income_aged/2010/iac10-text.html#chart6)

Income Sources

- Social Security is the most common source of income for units aged 65 or older.
- Social Security provides at least half of total income for a majority of beneficiary aged units.
- Age groups differ in their likelihood of receiving earnings, but not pensions.
- Receipt of income from major sources varies by race and Hispanic origin.
- Receipt of Social Security has become nearly universal.
- Receipt of asset income is associated with relatively high median income.
- Receipt of earnings and retirement benefits also affects total income.
Social Security is the most common source of income for units aged 65 or older.

Nearly 9 out of 10 aged units receive Social Security benefits. Asset income is the next most common source of income, received by more than half of the aged. Two-fifths receive retirement benefits other than Social Security, and more than one-quarter have earnings. Public assistance and veterans’ benefits are each received by less than 4%. Noncash benefits, including food stamps and housing and energy assistance, are received by almost 12%.
Social Security provides at least half of total income for a majority of beneficiary aged units.

In 2010, 87.8% of married couples and 85.3% of nonmarried persons aged 65 or older received Social Security benefits. Social Security was the major source of income (providing at least 50% of total income) for 53.1% of aged beneficiary couples and 74.1% of aged nonmarried beneficiaries. It was 90% or more of income for 22.5% of aged beneficiary couples and 46.1% of aged nonmarried beneficiaries. Total income excludes withdrawals from savings and lump-sum payments from IRAs or 401(k)s; it also excludes in-kind support, such as food stamps and housing and energy assistance.

Percentage of aged beneficiary units receiving Social Security benefits, by relative importance of benefits to total income, 2010
Aged groups differ in their likelihood of receiving earnings, but not pensions.

Earnings are received by a greater percentage of aged units in the youngest group than in the oldest group—48.6% compared with 7.5%. In all age groups, there is little difference in the likelihood of having a public or private pension.
Receipt of income from major sources varies by race and Hispanic origin.

Among units aged 65 or older, whites are more likely than Asians, blacks, or Hispanics to receive Social Security, pensions, and income from assets. Receipt of earnings varies little by race and Hispanic origin. Minority aged units are more likely to receive Supplemental Security Income (SSI) than are whites.

Percentage receiving income from major sources, by race and Hispanic origin, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>White alone</th>
<th>Black alone</th>
<th>Asian alone</th>
<th>Hispanic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>87.8</td>
<td>81.2</td>
<td>63.7</td>
<td>72.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset income</td>
<td>55.9</td>
<td>24.9</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions</td>
<td>41.6</td>
<td>29.9</td>
<td>24.0</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>26.8</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>24.2</td>
<td>25.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SSI</td>
<td>2.6</td>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>8.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Receipt of Social Security has become nearly universal.

In 1962, 69% of units aged 65 or older received Social Security benefits; in 2010, 86% of them did. Most of that increase occurred in the 1960s. Receipt of other pension income, which more than doubled from 1962 to 1992, has decreased slightly since then. The proportion of aged units with asset income, which had been about two-thirds since 1980, has dropped since 1990 and leveled off since 2000. The proportion with earnings has declined since 1971 and has been between 20% and 25% since 1980. The proportion receiving public assistance has also declined and is now about a third of its 1962 level.

Change in percentage receiving income from major sources, selected years

![Graph showing the percentage of aged units receiving income from major sources from 1962 to 2010.]

NOTE: A table presenting detailed data underlying the above chart is available at http://www.socialsecurity.gov/policy/docs/chartbooks/income_aged/2010/iac10-text.html#chart12.
Receipt of asset income is associated with relatively high median income.

The median income of units aged 65 or older with asset income is more than twice that of those with no asset income ($38,059 compared with $16,800). Aged units with no asset income are concentrated in the lowest income categories—one-fourth have a total income below $10,000, and approximately one-fifth have an income of $30,000 or more. Among aged units with asset income, 4% have a total income of less than $10,000, and over half have an income of $30,000 or more.

Percentage with various levels of income, by receipt of asset income, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Income (dollars)</th>
<th>With asset income</th>
<th>Without asset income</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Less than 10,000</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>22.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10,000 to 19,999</td>
<td>17.3</td>
<td>36.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20,000 to 29,999</td>
<td>17.1</td>
<td>17.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30,000 or more</td>
<td>61.5</td>
<td>23.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Receipt of earnings and retirement benefits also affects total income.

About 10.0% of units aged 65 or older have no retirement benefits. Of those, 53.1% have earnings, and their median income is $52,000; 46.9% have no earnings, and their median income is $0. In the absence of earnings, median income rises markedly with the number of retirement benefit types received, from $15,434 with one retirement benefit type to $33,557 with two. For units with both earnings and retirement benefits, median income is $50,363 for those with one retirement benefit type and $67,254 for those with two.

Median income, by receipt of earnings and retirement benefits, 2010

NOTE: Retirement benefit types are Social Security, Railroad Retirement, government pension, and private pension or annuity.
Shares of Aggregate Income

- Social Security provides the largest share of aggregate income for units aged 65 or older.
- Shares of income from each source differ greatly by income level.
- Over time, Social Security has provided the largest share of aggregate income for units aged 65 or older.
Social Security provides the largest share of aggregate income for units aged 65 or older.

Aggregate income for the aged population comes largely from four sources. Social Security accounts for 36.7%, earnings for 30.2%, pensions for 18.6%, and asset income for 11.4%. Only 3.1% comes from other sources.

Shares of aggregate income, by source, 2010

NOTE: Totals do not necessarily equal the sum of the rounded components.
Shares of aggregate income from each source differ greatly by income level.

Units aged 65 or older are ranked by total money income and divided into five groups of equal size called quintiles. The largest share of income for units in the lowest quintile comes from Social Security benefits (84.3%), and cash public assistance provides the second largest share (7.0%). For units in the highest income quintile, earnings provide the largest share of income (44.9%). Pensions, Social Security, and asset income (19.1%, 17.3%, and 16.1%) are similar in importance.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Source</th>
<th>Lowest quintile</th>
<th>Highest quintile</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Social Security</td>
<td>84.3%</td>
<td>17.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pensions</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>19.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asset income</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
<td>16.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Earnings</td>
<td>2.4%</td>
<td>44.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cash public assistance</td>
<td>1.8%</td>
<td>0.1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other</td>
<td>2.9%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTES: The quintile limits for aged units for 2010 are $12,554, $20,145, $32,602, and $57,957. Totals do not necessarily equal the sum of the rounded components.
Over time, Social Security has provided the largest share of aggregate income for units aged 65 or older.

In 1962, it provided the largest share, followed closely by earnings. In 2010, Social Security continued to provide the largest share, but by a wider margin compared with the other major sources of income. The share from asset income increased for over 20 years but has generally declined since the mid-1980s. The share from earnings has had the opposite pattern—declining until the mid-1980s and generally increasing since then. The share from pensions had doubled by the early 1990s but has since leveled off.

**Shares of aggregate income, by source, selected years**

NOTES: Totals do not necessarily equal the sum of the rounded components.

A table presenting detailed data underlying the above chart is available at http://www.socialsecurity.gov/policy/docs/chartbooks/income_aged/2010/iac10-text.html#chart18.
Family Income of Persons 65 or Older

- The demographic composition of the elderly varies across age groups.
- The aged are an economically diverse group.
- Family total income varies by age and sex.
- Family median income varies by sex, race, and Hispanic origin.
- Family income sources vary by sex.
- Social Security was the major source of family total income for slightly more than half of persons 65 or older in families receiving benefits.
The demographic composition of the elderly varies across age groups.

The proportion of men to women differs little for ages 65–69, but women 80 or older greatly outnumber men 80 or older. Persons 65–69 are nearly twice as likely to be married than nonmarried, but more than half of persons 80 or older are widowed. Racial and ethnic composition varies across age cohorts as well; the younger cohorts are represented by larger proportions of minorities than the older cohorts. Approximately one-fifth of elderly persons live with nonspouse family, consistent across age categories.

### Percentage distribution of persons 65 or older, 2010

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>65 or older</th>
<th>65–69</th>
<th>70–74</th>
<th>75–79</th>
<th>80 or older</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>43.6</td>
<td>46.0</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>39.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Women</td>
<td>56.4</td>
<td>54.0</td>
<td>54.2</td>
<td>56.8</td>
<td>60.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marital status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Married</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td>64.3</td>
<td>62.2</td>
<td>56.6</td>
<td>38.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmarried</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>35.7</td>
<td>37.8</td>
<td>43.4</td>
<td>61.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Widowed</td>
<td>27.2</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>19.5</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>50.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Divorced</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>15.3</td>
<td>11.5</td>
<td>8.9</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Never married</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>3.8</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Race</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>White alone</td>
<td>86.2</td>
<td>84.7</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>87.0</td>
<td>88.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black alone</td>
<td>8.7</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>9.1</td>
<td>8.2</td>
<td>7.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asian alone</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>3.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hispanic origin</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>7.0</td>
<td>6.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Living with nonspouse family</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>21.1</td>
<td>21.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total number of aged persons (thousands)</strong></td>
<td>39,179</td>
<td>12,160</td>
<td>9,254</td>
<td>7,088</td>
<td>10,676</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NOTE: Totals do not necessarily equal the sum of the rounded components.
The aged are an economically diverse group.

The median family income for persons aged 65 or older is $36,714, but there are wide differences within the total group. Approximately 6.4% have family income of under $10,000, and roughly 36.1% have family income of $50,000 or more.

Percentage with various levels of family income, 2010

NOTES: Totals do not necessarily equal the sum of the rounded components.

Family income of persons 65 or older tends to be higher than the income of aged units 65 or older because it includes income from all family members, not just a spouse. In addition, each elderly person counts individually rather than as part of a marital unit; statistics based on aged units give greater weight to nonmarried persons as compared to statistics based on persons.
Family total income varies by age and sex.

Median family total income is highest for the youngest cohorts. In addition, in all age groups, women have lower median family total income than men, from $44,086 for woman aged 65 to 69 to $23,484 for women aged 80 or older.

Median family income, by sex and age, 2010

NOTE: Family income of persons 65 or older tends to be higher than the income of aged units 65 or older because it includes income from all family members, not just a spouse. In addition, each elderly person counts individually rather than as part of a marital unit; statistics based on aged units give greater weight to nonmarried persons as compared to statistics based on persons.
Family median income varies by sex, race, and Hispanic origin.

Median family income of men is roughly one-third higher than it is for women. Asians have the highest median family income, followed by whites, Hispanics, and blacks.

Median family income, by sex, race, and Hispanic origin, 2010

NOTE: Family income of persons 65 or older tends to be higher than the income of aged units 65 or older because it includes income from all family members, not just a spouse. In addition, each elderly person counts individually rather than as part of a marital unit; statistics based on aged units give greater weight to nonmarried persons as compared to statistics based on persons.
Family income sources vary by sex.

Men are more likely than women to be in families receiving income from any source other than Social Security and public assistance.

Family income source, by sex, 2010

NOTE: Family income of persons 65 or older tends to be higher than the income of aged units 65 or older because it includes income from all family members, not just a spouse. In addition, each elderly person counts individually rather than as part of a marital unit; statistics based on aged units give greater weight to nonmarried persons as compared to statistics based on persons.
Social Security was the major source of family total income for slightly more than half of persons 65 or older in families receiving benefits.

For about one-quarter of the elderly, Social Security was most of family total income. Social Security was the predominant source of family income for one-fifth of elderly men in beneficiary families and over one-quarter of elderly women in beneficiary families. Social Security accounted for less than half of family income for nearly half of the elderly men in beneficiary families and 41.5% of elderly women in beneficiary families.

Percentage of aged persons in families receiving Social Security benefits, by sex and relative importance of family benefits to family total income, 2010

NOTE: Family income of persons 65 or older tends to be higher than the income of aged units 65 or older because it includes income from all family members, not just a spouse. In addition, each elderly person counts individually rather than as part of a marital unit; statistics based on aged units give greater weight to nonmarried persons as compared to statistics based on persons.
High proportions of nonmarried and minority persons aged 65 or older are poor or near poor.

The oldest age group (80 or older) has the highest poverty rate.
High proportions of nonmarried and minority persons aged 65 or older are poor or near poor.

The variations in family income by sex, marital status, and race are reflected in the poverty rates for those subgroups of the aged. Nonmarried persons, blacks, and Hispanics have the highest poverty rates, ranging from 15.0% to 18.0%. An additional 8.9% to 10.5% of nonmarried persons, blacks, and Hispanics have incomes between the poverty line and 125% of the poverty line (the near poor).

Poverty status, by sex, marital status, race, and Hispanic origin, 2010
The oldest age group (80 or older) has the highest poverty rate.

In keeping with the lower family income of older age groups, those groups generally have higher rates of poverty and near poverty (income between the poverty line and 125% of the poverty line).

Poverty status, by age, 2010