Table 3.—Distribution of applicants for account numbers, by sex, race, and age group, 1953

Age group	Total				Male	-	Female			
	Total	White 1	Negro	Total	White 1	Negro	Total	White 1	Negro	
Total	3,464,229	3,056,085 217,950		1,664,153	$\frac{1,474,582}{145,161}$		_ 	1,581,503 72,789		
15-19 20-39 40-59		1,756,446 507,100	222,989 112,605 37,340	999,797 254,506	881,249 220,057	118,548 34,449	979,638 365,199	875,197 287,043	104,441 78,156	
60-64- 65-69- 70 and over-	75,863 53,225 49,890	72,151 50,149	3,712 3,076	35,830 29,091	34.141 27,432		40.033 24,134	38,010 22,717	2,023 1,417 687	
Unknown	732		125		384		281	223	58	

¹ Represents all races other than Negro.

Table 4.—Distribution of applicants for account numbers, by sex and age, 1953 and 1952

Age group	Total				Male		Female			
	1953	1952	Per- centage change	1953	1952	Per- centage change	1953	1952	Per- centage change	
Total 1	3,463,497	4,362,055	-20.6	1,663,702	2,291,403	-27.4	1,799,795	2,070,652	-13.1	
Under 20 20-24 25-29 30-34 35-39 40-44 45-49 50-54 55-59 60 and over 60-64 65-69 70 and over	106,490 117,889 129,477 120,537 101,619 89,579 178,978	306,332 146,569 138,492 164,900 202,520 221,179 221,222 217,252 445,847 192,853 131,242	-11.8 -14.7 -23.1 -28.5 -36.5 -54.1 -58.8 -59.9 -60.7 -59.4	122,423 58,328 39,575 34,180 37,322 37,408 35,932 36,660 96,384 35,830 29,091	70,147 57,470 59,853 82,011 106,868 123,876 136,298 304,584 126,018 89,969	-13.4 -16.8 -31.1 -42.9 -54.5 -65.0 -71.0 -73.1 -68.4 -71.6	147,829 66,746 66,915 83,709 92,155 83,129 65,687 52,919 82,594	76,422 81,022 105,047 120,509 114,311 97,346 80,954 141,263 66,835 41,273	-10.4 -12.7 -17.4 -20.3 -27.3 -32.5 -34.6 -41.5	

¹ Excludes 732 applicants in 1953 (451 men and 281 women) and 1,296 applicants in 1952 (906 men and 390 women) whose ages were not reported.

Table 5.—Percentage distribution of applicants for account numbers, by age, 1953 and 1952

	Total	1		Male			T2 1 .	
			Male			Female		
1953	1952	1951	1953	1952	1951	1953	1952	1951
100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100, 0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
64.2	52. 7	51. 5	70. 1	52. 8	56.8	58.8	52.6	46. 4
	37. 1	40. 6	24. 2	34. 0	35.0	36.6	40.6	46. 1
11.4	10.4	12.5	10.9	9. 2	12. 2	11.9	11.7	12.8
	7.0	9.2	4.4	5. 1	7. 5	8.4	9.0	10.9
7. 2	9. 7	10. 2	4. 5	8.2	7.8	9.7	11.3	12.
5. 5	10. 1	8. 6	4. 4	11.4	7.5	6.6	8.6	9.
5. 2	10. 2	7.8	5.8	13.3	8.2	4.6	6.8	7.
2. 2	4. 4	3.6	2.2	5.5	3.5	2.2	3.2	3.
1.5	3.0	2.5	1.7	3.9	$\frac{2.6}{2.1}$	1.3	2.0	2.
1.4	2.8	1.8	1.9	3.9		1.0	1.6	1.
	64. 2 30. 6 11. 4 6. 5 7. 2 5. 5 5. 2 2. 2 1. 5	64. 2 30. 6 11. 4 6. 5 7. 0 7. 2 9. 7 5. 5 10. 1 5. 2 2. 2 4. 4 1. 5 3. 7 10. 4 10. 4 10. 4 10. 4 10. 4 10. 4 10. 5 10. 1 10. 1 10. 2 10. 2 10. 3 10. 2 10. 3 10. 4 10. 5 10. 1 10. 4 10. 5 10. 1 10. 5 10. 1 10. 5 10. 1 10. 5 10. 5	64. 2 52. 7 51. 5 30. 6 37. 1 40. 6 11. 4 10. 4 12. 5 6. 5 7. 0 9. 2 7. 2 9. 7 10. 2 5. 5 10. 1 8. 6 5. 2 10. 2 7. 8 2. 2 4. 4 3. 6 1. 5 3. 0 2. 5	64.2 52.7 51.5 70.1 30.6 37.1 40.6 24.2 11.4 10.4 12.5 10.9 6.5 7.0 9.2 4.4 7.2 9.7 10.2 4.5 5.5 10.1 8.6 4.4 5.2 10.2 7.8 5.8 2.2 4.4 3.6 2.2 1.5 3.0 2.5 1.7	64.2 52.7 51.5 70.1 52.8 30.6 37.1 40.6 24.2 34.0 11.4 10.4 12.5 10.9 9.2 6.5 7.0 9.2 4.4 5.1 7.2 9.7 10.2 4.5 8.2 5.5 10.1 8.6 4.4 11.4 5.2 10.2 7.8 5.8 13.3 2.2 4.4 3.6 2.2 5.5 1.5 3.0 2.5 1.7 3.9	64.2 52.7 51.5 70.1 52.8 56.8 30.6 37.1 40.6 24.2 34.0 35.0 11.4 10.4 12.5 10.9 9.2 12.2 6.5 7.0 9.2 4.4 5.1 7.5 7.2 9.7 10.2 4.5 8.2 7.8 5.5 10.1 8.6 4.4 11.4 7.5 5.2 10.2 7.8 5.8 13.3 8.2 2.2 4.4 3.6 2.2 5.5 3.5 1.5 3.0 2.5 1.7 3.9 2.6	64.2 52.7 51.5 70.1 52.8 56.8 58.8 30.6 37.1 40.6 24.2 34.0 35.0 36.6 11.4 10.4 12.5 10.9 9.2 12.2 11.9 6.5 7.0 9.2 4.4 5.1 7.5 8.4 7.2 9.7 10.2 4.5 8.2 7.8 9.7 5.5 10.1 8.6 4.4 11.4 7.5 6.6 5.2 10.2 7.8 5.8 13.3 8.2 4.6 2.2 4.4 3.6 2.2 5.5 3.5 2.2 1.5 3.0 2.5 1.7 3.9 2.6 1.3	64.2 52.7 51.5 70.1 52.8 56.8 58.8 52.6 30.6 37.1 40.6 24.2 34.0 35.0 36.6 40.6 11.4 10.4 12.5 10.9 9.2 12.2 11.9 11.7 6.5 7.0 9.2 4.4 5.1 7.5 8.4 9.0 7.2 9.7 10.2 4.5 8.2 7.8 9.7 11.3 5.5 10.1 8.6 4.4 11.4 7.5 6.6 8.6 5.2 10.2 7.8 5.8 13.3 8.2 4.6 6.8 2.2 4.4 3.6 2.2 5.5 3.5 2.2 3.2 1.5 3.0 2.5 1.7 3.9 2.6 1.3 2.0

aged or older and needed account numbers for the first time as a result of the 1950 amendments.

During 1953, social security account numbers were issued to 179,000 persons aged 60 and over, 60 percent fewer than in 1952; they formed 5.2 percent of all applicants, compared with 10 percent in 1952 and 7.8 percent in 1951. The proportion of

women among applicants in this age group was 46 percent in 1953 and 32 percent in 1952.

The 408,000 applications received from Negroes represented a drop of 4.8 percent from the 1952 figure. The proportion this group formed of all applicants, however, increased to 12 percent in 1953; it had been 9.8 percent in the preceding year.

Conference Recommendations on Juvenile Delinquency

Federal, State, and local action to prevent juvenile delinquency and to treat juvenile offenders was urged by the Conference on Juvenile Delinquency, called in June by the Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare. Approximately 460 delegates from 46 States and Territories participated in the Conference.

The Conference recommended that, on the national level, the Children's Bureau program for collecting data on delinquency be continued and strengthened and that the Bureau serve as a clearing house for information on community services and programs. It also urged that the Bureau establish a juvenile delinquency program and add to its staff a consultant on police services to juveniles.

Because the problem is a national one, Federal grants in aid were recommended to support State research and training programs and to guarantee adequate staff for pupil-personnel services. The Secretary of Health, Education, and Welfare was asked to lead in forming a committee of interested national agencies, public and private, that would advise on research, training, and services, and follow up on the recommendations made by the conferees.

The Conference also called for increased appropriations to the Office of Education to sponsor workshops, develop pilot projects, and further research in cooperation with State and local units as well as on a national level.

The conferees characterized the program for aid to dependent children as inadequate in many States. They believed that pressures on mothers to work result both from lacks in the programs and from the policies of many welfare departments, often in areas where children are most vulnerable to influences that produce delinquency. It was therefore recommended that the assistance grants should be adequate to meet the minimum needs of parents and children and thus fulfill the program's primary purpose—the preservation of family life.