## Notes and Brief Reports Disability and Old-Age Benefits, by State, December 31, 1962\*

At the end of 1962 about 741,000 disabled workers under age 65 were receiving disability benefits and 9,739,000 retired workers aged 62 or over were receiving old-age benefits under the old-age, survivors, and disability insurance program. In the accompanying tables, these beneficiaries have been classified by their State of residence at the end of the year. The tables also

Table 1.—Old-age, survivors, and disability insurance: Number and average monthly amount of disability insurance benefits 1 in current-payment status and percentage distribution by amount of benefit, by State, at end of December 1962

State <sup>3</sup> (ranked by size of average benefit)	Average disability benefit	Number of disability benefi- ciaries	Percent of disability beneficiaries receiving—									
			Total	\$40.00 4	\$40.10~ 54.90	\$55.00- 69.90	\$70.00- 84.90	\$85.00- 99.90	\$100.00- 114.90	\$115.00- 123.00 <sup>5</sup>		
Total	\$89.99	740,867	100.0	1.5	3.5	12.8	24.3	19.5	21.8	16		
Michigan	98.20	29,305	100.0	.6	1.5	7.2	17.1	16.8	29.4	27		
laska	97.36	222	100.0	0.0	1.8	9.5	14.4	22.1	28.8	23		
rizona California Vest Virginia	95.58	6,649	100.0	.4	1.9	9.1	19.7	19.5	26.2	23		
California	95.48	56,834	100.0	.4	1.7	8.9	20.0	19.3	26.8	22		
Vest Virginia	95.36	14,820	100.0	.7	2.1	8.8	19.8	18.3	27.5	2:		
)regon	95.12	7,080	100.0	. 4	2.1	8.8	19.8	19.8	29.2	1:		
levada	95.08	849	100.0	0	2.5	8.7	20.0	19.9	29.3	11		
)hio	94.73	37,137	100.0	.7	2.3	9.4	20.3	18.7	26.7	2		
Vashington	94.72	9,728	100.0	.5 [	1.9	9.3	20.2	19.9	28.7	1.		
ndiana	94.41	17,260	100.0	.8	2.3	9.8	19.8	20.0	26.1	2		
Connecticut	94.00	8,939	100.0	.4	1.5	9.5	23.1	20.7	25.2	19		
New Jersey	93.88	22,747	100.0	.6	2.0	10.3	22.3	19.6	23.1	25		
Pennsylvania	93.16 92.96	52,914	100.0	.8	$\begin{bmatrix} 2.2 \\ 2.3 \end{bmatrix}$	10.1 11.1	$\begin{bmatrix} 21.9 \\ 22.5 \end{bmatrix}$	20.4 19.4	$26.6 \\ 24.0$	$\frac{1}{2}$		
llinois Jtah		38,641	100.0	.6	2.3	10.2	23.1	18.0	24.0 26.4	ĺ		
Visconsin	92.91 92.88	1,900 13,051	100.0 100.0	.8 1.4	2.8	10.2	21.0	18.3	20.4	2		
Jontana	92.45	2,189	100.0	1.1	2.6	11.6	21.6	18.6	26.0	i		
daho	91.96	1,932	100.0	1.6	2.4	12.0	21.9	21.4	26.5	i		
olorado	01.46	5,492	100.0	.7	3.1	12.1	22.5	21.0	23.9	1		
Yow Vork	91.34	70,951	100.0	.7	2.4	12.2	24.9	20.0	21.3	i		
Vew York	90.33	27,289	100.0	1,5	4.1	12.5	23.0	19.2	21.8	i i		
Ainnesota	90.03	8,654	100.0	1.5	3.5	13.8	23.6	18.7	21.9	i i		
Delaware	90.03	1,773	100.0	1.5	2.9	13.5	25.8	18.5	18.8	19		
Vyoming	90.00	928	100.0	.9	2.9	13.6	24.9	19.2	23.4	1		
V yoming Cansas A assachusetts	89.82	6,854	100.0	1.5	3.6	13.2	23.6	20.2	22.8	1		
Aassachusetts	89.67	19,985	100.0	.5	2.3	$\frac{12.7}{10.2}$	28.3	21.2	20.5	1		
Iawaii Iew Hampshire	89.45	1,658	100.0	1.1	2.4	10.7	28.1	25.1	19.9	1		
New Hampsnire	89.02	2,166	100.0	.6	2.0	12.3	28.3	24.7 19.9	$\frac{20.6}{22.0}$	1		
owa	88.92	7,874	100.0	1.7	4.0	13.9	$\frac{24.0}{25.8}$	19.9	20.0	1		
Maryland Oklahoma	88.89 88.47	9,900 10,027	100.0 100.0	1.3 1.5	3.5 4.6	14.1 13.0	25.7	20.1	21.0	1		
Jew Mexico	88.35	2,625	100.0	1.3	4.2	14.5	26.1	19.4	20.2	ĺ		
Aissouri	88.15	18,375	100.0	1.5	4.2	14.7	25.5	19.4	20.3	i		
Rhode Island	87.73	4,138	100.0	.5	2.2	14.1	30.1	23.6	19.4	1		
Kentucky	87.18	17,602	100.0	2.2	4.8	14.5	24.8	20.2	21.6	1		
ermont	86.99	1,717	100.0	1.7	3.6	15.0	26.5	22.4	20.7	1		
exas.	86.78	33,324	100.0	2.1	5.2	14.8	26.0	19.5	18.7	1		
Vebraska	86.05	3,774	100.0	1.8	4.4	16.4	26.8 29.2	$20.6 \\ 24.5$	$\frac{18.6}{17.8}$	1		
Tame	85.90 84.92	3,852 18,764	100.0 100.0	$\frac{1.6}{2.4}$	3.7 5.3	14.5 16.5	27.6	19.7	17.6	1		
Vaine	84.39	14,325	100.0	2.4	6.4	17.1	26.5	18.5	16.4	i		
lahama	84.28	17,641	100.0	3.5	5.6	16.1	28.0	18.9	16.8	i		
outh Dakota	84.05	1,626	100.0	1.8	4.8	19.2	26.5	21.3	18.0	,		
ennessee	83.80	17,856	100.0	3.1	6.3	17.0	27.4	18.6	16.8	1		
District of Columbia	83.59	3,190	100.0	1.6	3.6	18.0	33.5	20.7	14.9			
North Dakota	83.03	1,328	100.0	2.4	6.9	18.2	28.5	18.6	15.5			
rkansas	82.27	9,220	100.0	3.2	7.2	18.2	28.4	18.3	15.4			
eorgia	80.44	21,969	100.0	3.2	6.5	19.6	32.4	19,3	12.1			
outh Carolina	80.18	13,211	100.0	3.5	5.9	19.3	31.8	22.7	11.9			
Jorth Carolina	79.77	23,782	100.0	2.7	6.7	20.8	32.6	20,3	11.5			
Ississippi	78.48	10,470	100.0	6.2	8.4	19.7	30.2	15,9	12.2			
irgin Islands	77.23	31	100.0	6.5	$\begin{bmatrix} 3.2 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$	22.6	32.2 100.0	25.8	6.5			
merican Samoa	76.00	4 100	100.0	26.1	16.8	18.3	22.7	8.6	5.4			
uerto Rico	63.50 44.00	4,109	$100.0 \\ 100.0$	26.1 0	100.0	0	0	0	0			
.broad	96.00	2,188	100,0	.6	1.0	6.9	20.2	22.0	28.8	2		

15

<sup>\*</sup> Prepared in the Division of the Actuary-Baltimore.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data for American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and foreign countries are excluded from the State comparisons made later in this note.

Payable to disabled workers under age 65.
 For persons receiving both a disability (disabled-worker) benefit and a disability secondary benefit, the disability benefit amount includes the reduced secondary benefit.
 Beneficiary's State of residence, based on the monthly benefit check

<sup>4</sup> About 60 beneficiaries receiving less than \$40 are included in the figures on which the percentages are based.

5 About 900 beneficiaries receiving more than \$123 are included in the figures which the presentages are based. on which the percentages are based.

show the average monthly benefits being paid, as well as the percentage distribution of the beneficiaries by size of benefit.

The number of disabled-worker beneficiaries at the end of 1962 was greater by 123,000 (20 percent) than in December 1961; the average monthly benefit (\$89.99) was 40 cents higher than the average a year earlier. The higher average resulted chiefly from the steadily rising proportion

of benefits computed on the basis of earnings after 1950—83 percent at the end of 1962, 80 percent at the end of 1961, and 62 percent in December 1957.

The number of old-age (retired-worker) beneficiaries increased by 814,000 (9 percent) in 1962. The average old-age benefit amount went up each month during 1962 except November (when it dropped 1 cent), from \$75.65 in December 1961 to

Table 2.—Old-age, survivors, and disability insurance: Number and average monthly amount of old-age insurance benefits in current-payment status and percentage distribution by amount of benefit, by State, at end of December 1962

State <sup>2</sup> (ranked by size of average benefit)	Average old-age benefit	Number Percent of old-age beneficiaries receiving—									
		of old-age benefi- ciaries	Total	\$32.00- 39.90 <sup>3</sup>	\$40.00	\$40.10- 54.90	\$55.00- 69.90	\$70.00- 84.90	\$85.00~ 99.90	\$100.00- 114.90	\$115.00- 125.00 4
Total	\$76.19	9,738,500	100.0	5.1	11.9	11.3	15.8	15.9	13.4	14.1	12.
Connecticut	85.02	150,978	100.0	2.6	5.5	8.7	13.2	16.2	16.5	19.5	17.
Michigan	84.37	399,745	100.0	3.3	7.8	9.5	13.0	13.2	13.2	19.2	20.
New Jersey	83,49	347,992	100.0	3.1	6.8	9.1	13.9	15.8	15.7	18.3	17.
Yew York	81.56	1,031,139	100.0	3.2	7.8	9.5	14.8	17.1	15.6	16.4	15.
Pennsylvania	81.09	643,266	100.0	3.6	8.7	9.2	14.4	16.7	14.9	17.3	15.
llinois	81.04	557,805	100.0	3.6	9.1	9.7	14.2	15.8	14.9	16.5	16.
Ohio Aassachusetts	80.73 79.65	501,089 333,497	100.0 100.0	$\frac{3.8}{3.2}$	9.6	10.2	14.5	14.8	13.0	16.7	17.
Vashington	78.44	169,201	100.0	3.2	7.4 10.4	10.1 11.4	16.4 15.3	$\frac{19.2}{15.3}$	16.0 13.5	15.3 16.6	12. 13.
Rhode Island		58,394	100.0	3.7	6.6	10.8	17.3	20.0	17.3	15.0	9.
rizona	77.96	58,798	100.0	5.2	10.9	11.0	14.6	14.6	13.8	15.6	14.
Visconsin `lorida	77.89 77.86	247,839	100.0	$\frac{4.2}{5.2}$	10.9	11.1	16.1	15.2	13.1	15.2	14.
ndiana	77.74	369,480 268,166	100.0 100.0	5.2 4.2	$\frac{10.0}{11.3}$	11.4 11.0	14.7 15.3	15.2 15.5	14.2 14.0	16.2 15.2	13 13
Jtah.	77.62	34,224	100.0	4.2	11.1	10.8	15.3	16.0	14.0	15.2	12
California	77.60	829,690	100.0	4.5	10.2	12.0	15.6	15.5	13.7	15.0	13.
Delaware	77.44	22,241	100.0	5.0	10.6	10.5	15.9	16.6	13.9	14.3	13
)regon	77.16	121,904	100.0	3.9	10.6	12.3	16.2	15.6	13.4	16.1	11.
Montana	76.86	38,026	100.0	3.6	12.5	10.6	16.3	16.7	13.2	14.3	12.
evada	76.69	11,950	100.0	4.7	10.6	11.7	15.6	17.2	13.5	15.6	11.
lew Hampshire	75.39 75.06	44,069 127,096	100.0 100.0	3.8 5.4	$10.2 \\ 12.1$	$\frac{11.7}{11.5}$	$17.7 \\ 16.7$	$19.2 \\ 16.6$	16.0 13.3	13.0 12.9	8. 11.
Vyoming	74.90	15,705	100.0	4.6	12.3	10.8	17.1	18.3	13.6	14.0	9.
Colorado	74.69	82,974	100.0	4.7	12.8	11.8	16.3	16.9	13.9	13.4	10
Ainnesota	74.67	203,882	100.0	4.7	12.9	11.7	17.1	16.5	13.0	13.1	11.
0Wa	74.59	181,333	100.0	4.2	12.6	11.5	17.4	17.3	14.4	13.2	9
Alaska dahod	74.28 73.94	3,443 35,617	100.0	$\frac{4.6}{4.2}$	13.6	$12.5 \\ 12.3$	16.9	14.7	13.0	15.8	8
West Virginia	73.82	96,837	100.0 100.0	5.4	13.0 14.5	11.1	16.9 15.6	$\frac{17.9}{17.0}$	13.7 12.6	13.0 13.9	9 9
Missouri		272,479	100.0	5.4	13.1	12.4	17.2	17.1	12.9	11.8	10
Kansas	72.68	129,384	100.0	4.6	14.3	12.8	17.4	16.7	13.2	11.9	9
North Dakota	72.60	34,707	100.0	5.0	13.8	12.0	18.2	17.5	13.4	11.3	8.
Vebraska	72.38	90,909	100.0	4.3	14.1	12.4	17.9	18.0	14.3	11.1	7.
Iawaii Vermont		19,953 25,879	100.0	6.5	14.3	$\frac{11.5}{12.7}$	$15.2 \\ 18.7$	$17.8 \\ 18.0$	16.0	10.9	7.
South Dakota	71.59	40,529	100.0 100.0	$\frac{4.7}{4.8}$	$13.1 \\ 14.6$	12.7	18.2	18.0	13.6 13.8	11.3	7 7
Maine	71.31	67,050	100.0	5.2	13.5	13.1	18.6	18.2	13.6	10.8	7
District of Columbia	71.06	34,329	100.0	6.1	15.6	12.1	17.4	17.5	12.1	10.7	8
Oklahoma		121,742	100.0	7.2	16.1	13.4	17.0	15.9	11.5	10.3	8
New Mexico		27,617	100.0	7.0	18.0	13.3	16.6	15.3	11.1	10.8	7
rexas		388,215	100.0	8.1	16.8	13.8	17.4	15.6	10.8	9.5	8
Jouisiana/irginia	67.60 67.51	108,210 162,062	$100.0 \\ 100.0$	9.5 7.6	$17.6 \\ 19.1$	13.6	16.8 17.1	15.0	10.4	9.2	7
Kentucky	67.06	161,064	100.0	7.3	18.4	13.4 14.3	18.4	15.6 15.8	10.8 10.2	9.3 9.2	6.
Alabama		132,760	100.0	10.2	21.1	13.5	16.8	14.3	9.3	8.0	6.
North Carolina	64.35	191,601	100.0	9.3	18.5	15.2	19.6	15.9	9.8	6.8	4
leorgia		149,292	100.0	10.8	19.4	14.7	18.2	15.5	9.4	6.6	5
South Carolina		84,574	100.0	10.4	20.4	14.1	17.8	15.3	10.6	7.0	4
l'ennessee		168,961	100.0	10.3	21.8	15.3	17.6	13.9	8.6	7,1	5
Arkansas Virgin Islands		103,714 803	100.0 100.0	10.1 10.5	22.9 29.8	15.2 15.6	18.0 15.4	14.7 12.1	8.1 6.6	6.4 6.1	3
Mississippi	57.59	97,981	100.0	12.8	28.3	15.1	17.6	12.1	6.2	4.5	3
Ruam	53.41	27	100.0	18.5	33.4	11.1	11.1	18.5	3.7	0	3
American Samoa	. 50.33	3	100.0	0	33.3	33.3	33.4	0	0	Ō	0
Puerto Rico		67,392	100.0	19.0	42.9	13.3	11.0	7.0	3.2	2.2	1.
Abroad	. 78.11	70,883	100.0	1.6	8.6	9.2	18.7	22.2	15.7	15.8	8

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> For persons receiving both an old-age (retired-worker) benefit and a secondary survivor benefit, or an old-age and survivors insurance secondary life benefit that was awarded, reinstated, or adjusted after Sept. 13, 1956, the old-age benefit amount includes the reduced secondary benefit.

Beneficiary's State of residence, based on the monthly benefit check

address.

<sup>3</sup> About 800 beneficiaries receiving less than \$32 are included in the figures on which the percentages are based.

4 About 70 beneficiaries receiving more than \$125 are included in the figures

on which the percentages are based.

\$76.19 in December 1962. The large number of actuarially reduced benefits awarded to men aged -64 tended to hold down the amount of the average benefit. A factor in the 54-cent increase for the year was the greater proportion of benefits based on earnings after 1950—74 percent in December 1962 in comparison with 72 percent in December 1961 and 58 percent at the end of 1957. Another factor was the rise in the proportion of beneficiaries whose benefits were computed under the provisions that permit as many as 5 years of lowest earnings and periods of disability to be excluded in calculating the average monthly wage. These beneficiaries made up 78 percent of the total at the end of 1962, 74 percent at the end of 1961, and 49 percent in December 1957. The increase from \$4,200 to \$4,800 in the maximum amount of annual earnings creditable for years after 1958 contributed slightly to the higher average amount for both old-age and disability benefits.

As shown in table 1, 38 percent of all disabled-worker beneficiaries were receiving monthly benefits of \$100.00-\$123.00 at the end of 1962. Benefits in the \$70.00-\$99.90 range were going to 44 percent of the total, and benefits of \$40.10-\$69.90 to 16 percent. Minimum benefits of \$40.00 were ing paid to about 1½ percent of the disabled-riker beneficiaries.

For 27 percent of the old-age beneficiaries the monthly benefit was \$100.00-\$125.00, for 29 percent it was in the \$70.00-\$99.90 range, and for 27 percent it was \$40.10-\$69.90. About 12 percent of the old-age beneficiaries were receiving monthly benefits of exactly \$40.00; for 5 percent the benefit was in the \$32.00-\$39.90 range because of actuarial reduction for retirement before age 65.

As in past years, beneficiaries living in Michigan were receiving the highest disability benefits—an average of \$98.20—and those living in Connecticut the highest old-age benefits—\$85.02. Beneficiaries living in Mississippi were receiving the lowest benefits, on the average—\$78.48 for disability and \$57.59 for old-age. Most of the States retained approximately the same relative position in the ranking by size of average benefit that they had held a year earlier.

Benefits of \$100.00-\$123.00 were being paid to 57 percent of the disabled-worker beneficiaries in Michigan and to 20 percent of those in Mississippi. Only 9 percent of Michigan's beneficiaries were receiving less than \$70.00, but for 34 percent

of the beneficiaries living in Mississippi the amount of the disability benefit was \$40.00-\$69.90. About 37 percent of the old-age beneficiaries in Connecticut and 8 percent of those in Mississippi were receiving benefits of \$100.00-\$125.00. Benefits of less than \$70.00 were going to only 30 percent of Connecticut's old-age beneficiaries but to 74 percent of those in Mississippi.

## Recent Publications\*

## WELFARE ADMINISTRATION

CHILDREN'S BUREAU. Social Services for Unmarried Mothers and Their Children Provided Through Public and Voluntary Child Welfare Agencies, by Hannah M. Adams. Washington: The Bureau, 1962. 44 pp. (Child Welfare Report No. 12.) Limited free distribution; apply to the Children's Bureau, Welfare Administration, Washington 25, D.C.

BUREAU OF FAMILY SERVICES. DIVISION OF MEDICAL CARE STANDARDS. Organization and Administration. (Medical Care in Public Assistance, Guides and Recommended Standards.) Washington: U.S. Govt. Print. Off., 1962. 26 pp.

The first in a series of Federal guides and standards relating to medical care in public assistance. Limited free distribution; apply to the Bureau of Family Services, Welfare Administration, Washington 25, D.C.

Joint Conference on Children and Youth. Creating Experience Opportunities for Youth to Achieve a More Responsible Role in Society for Self and Social Identity for Realizing American Goals. Report of the Joint Conference . . . April 10-12, 1962. Washington: Children's Bureau, 1962. 102 pp. Limited free distribution; apply to the Children's Bureau, Welfare Administration, Washington 25, D.C.

MEAD, MARGARET. A Creative Life for Your Children. Washington: U. S. Govt. Print. Off., 1962. 41 pp. (Children's Bureau Headliner Series, No. 1.) 35 cents.

Elaboration of the theme of the Golden Anniversary White House Conference on Children and Youth.

## GENERAL

Ormsby, Ralph. "Family Life Education: Some Administrative Considerations." *Social Casework*, vol. 44, Jan. 1963, pp. 29-33. 65 cents.

Describes the program of the Family Service of Philadelphia.

PALMER, GLADYS L., and others. The Reluctant Job (Continued on page 19)

<sup>\*</sup> Prepared in the Library, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Orders for items listed should be directed to publishers and booksellers; Federal publications for which prices are listed should be ordered from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington 25, D.C.