veterans. In 1962–63, \$3.0 million was granted for homes for paraplegics and \$1.5 million for automobiles especially adapted for the disabled. The third, 4-percent gratuities on Veterans Administration housing loans, is now less than \$500 a year.

Grants under the fourth program—rural housing—began in 1950 and were also made in 1951 and 1952. After a lapse of several years, these grants were reinstituted in 1961–62, and in 1962–63 they amounted to almost \$1 million. Under the program, grants of up to \$1,000 may be made to owners of rural housing who live on their own property for the minor repair or improvement of their homes.

Beginning in 1962–63, two additional programs appear in the series. The largest, in dollar terms, is for assistance to refugees in the United States. Started in the fiscal year 1960–61 for the benefit of the Cuban refugees, the program was first financed from contingency funds available to the President under the Mutual Security Act of 1954, as amended. It was paid for in 1961–62 from the President's contingency funds, under the Foreign Assistance Act of 1961. In 1962–63, however, a

regular appropriation was made to the Department of Health, Education, and Welfare, and \$39 million was expended for refugee assistance under Public Law 87-510. The other new program in the series is for assistance to certain repatriated United States nationals, including the mentally ill. In 1962-63, \$400,000 was expended in their behalf.

#### AGRICULTURE AND NATURAL RESOURCES

This group consisted in 1962-63 of the program for payments under the Sugar Act and four conservation programs. Payments under the Sugar Act declined 12 percent to \$69 million. Together, the conservation payments decreased 7 percent to \$537 million—\$306 million in connection with the remaining unexpired contracts under the conservation reserve (soil bank) program. Under a new land-use adjustment program, authorized in the Food and Agricultural Act of 1962, \$4 million was granted for the conversion of crop land into pasture, forest, wild-life habitat, and income-producing recreational uses.

## Notes and Brief Reports

# Disability and Old-Age Benefits, by State, December 31, 1963\*

Benefits under the old-age, survivors, and disability insurance program were being paid at the end of 1963 to 827,000 disabled workers under age 65 and to 10,263,000 retired workers aged 62 or over. In the accompanying tables, the beneficiaries have been classified according to their State of residence<sup>1</sup> at the end of 1963. The tables also show the average old-age and disability benefits being paid in December 1963, as well as the percentage distribution of the beneficiaries according to the size of their benefit.

The year's growth in the number of beneficiaries

was substantial both for those receiving benefits because of disability and for retired workers. The number of disabled-worker beneficiaries increased by 86,000 or 12 percent, and the number of oldage (retired-worker) beneficiaries by 525,000 or 5 percent.

The average monthly amount for disabled-worker beneficiaries went up slightly—not more than a few cents—each month during 1963, and at the end of the year it was \$90.59 or 60 cents higher than the average a year earlier. The average old-age benefit also increased slightly each month, and by December 1963 it was \$76.88 or 69 cents higher than the average in December 1962.

The increases were the result of several influences. Most important, for both old-age benefits and disability benefits, was the continuing rise in the proportion of benefits computed on the basis of earnings after 1950. Another factor was the increase from \$4,200 to \$4,800 in the amount of

26 SOCIAL SECURITY

<sup>\*</sup> Prepared in the Division of the Actuary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Data for American Samoa, Guam, Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, and foreign countries are excluded from the State comparisons made later in this note.

annual earnings creditable for years after 1958.

The average old-age benefit also reflected the rise in the proportion of beneficiaries whose benefits were computed under the provisions that permit as many as 5 years of lowest earnings and periods of disability to be excluded in calculating the average monthly wage. A counteracting factor, tending to hold down the average old-age benefit, was the large number of actuarially reduced benefits awarded during the year.

Monthly benefits of \$100.00-\$127.00 were being paid at the end of 1963 to two-fifths of all disabled-worker beneficiaries (table 1). Disability benefits in the \$70.00-\$99.90 range were going to 43 percent, and benefits of \$40.10-\$69.90 were being paid to 16 percent. About 2 percent of the beneficiaries were receiving \$40.00 a month—the minimum benefit amount payable.

More than a fourth of all old-age beneficiaries at the end of 1963 were receiving \$100.00-\$125.00

Table 1.—Old-age, survivors, and disability insurance: Number and average monthly amount of disability insurance benefits <sup>1</sup> in current-payment status and percentage distribution by amount of benefit, by State, at end of December 1963

State (ranked by size of average benefit) <sup>2</sup>	Average disability benefit	Number of disability beneficiaries	Percent of disability beneficiaries receiving—								
			Total	\$40.00	\$40.10- 54.90	\$55.00- 69.90	\$70.00- 84.90	\$85.00- 99.90	\$100.00- 114.90	\$115.00- 127.00	
Total	\$90.59	827,014	100.0	1.8	3.6	12.2	23.5	19.4	21.3	18.2	
Michigan	98.86	32,462	100.0	. 6	1.6	6.9	16.6	16.6	28.3	29.4	
Alaska California	97.61 96.16	63,349	100.0 100.0	.4	$\begin{bmatrix} 2.4 \\ 1.8 \end{bmatrix}$	8.1 8.6	14.6 19.4	$\frac{19.8}{19.2}$	$\frac{29.6}{25.7}$	25.1 24.8	
West Virginia	96.09	16,134	100.0	.8	2.2	8.7	18.8	17.6	27.4	24.	
Oregon	95.95 95.95	7,777	100.0	.5	2.0	8.2	19.4	18.9	29.1	21.	
Vashington	95.59 95.59	$7,365 \\ 10,748$	100.0 100.0	.6 .5	2.1 1.9	8.4 8.9	19.8 19.5	$\begin{array}{c} 19.1 \\ 19.7 \end{array}$	$\frac{25.8}{27.7}$	24. 21.	
hio	95.57	41,290	100.0	.8	2.2	8.9	19.7	18.5	25.9	24.	
ndiana	95.06	19,038	100.0	.9	2.3	9.3	19.4	19.7	25.2	23.	
levada cw Jersey	94.90 94.80	992	100.0 100.0	.2	$\frac{2.8}{2.0}$	8.4	20.9	19.9	28.2	19.	
cew Jersey	94.00	24,846	100.0	.6	2.0	9.7	21.8	19.3	22.3	24.	
onnecticut	94.77	9,832	100.0	.5	1.6	9.0	22.4	20.6	24.3	21.6	
tah	94.28	2,198	100.0	1.3	2.7	9.2	21.8	17.1	24.5	23.	
ennsylvania linois	93.93 93.88	58,493 43,225	100.0 100.0	.9	$\frac{2.2}{2.2}$	$9.7 \\ 10.3$	21.2 21.9	19.9 19.4	26.2 23.4	19,	
/isconsin	93.85	14,590	100.0	1.5	2.7	10.8	20.4	17.9	23.1	$\frac{22}{23}$ .	
Iontana	93.55	2,446	100.0	1.2	2.9	10.5	20.8	17.5	26.5	20.	
lew York	92.35	77,870	100.0	.8	2.4	11.4	24.2	19.8	20.9	20.	
lahoolorado	$91.76 \\ 91.64$	$\begin{array}{c c} 2,132 \\ 6,176 \end{array}$	100.0 100.0	1.0	$\begin{bmatrix} 3.1 \\ 2.9 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{11.3}{12.6}$	22.0 22.5	$\frac{21.3}{20.4}$	$25.0 \\ 23.0$	16.3 17.3	
elaware	90.93	1,989	100.0	1.5	3.5	13.0	23.9	18.2	19.3	20.	
Iassachusetts	90.88	21,495	100.0	. 5	2.2	11.6	27.2	21.5	20.3	16.3	
ansas	90.87	7,545	100.0	1.5	3.5	12.2	22.8	20.3	22.5	17.5	
awaii	90.70	1,803	100.0	1.2	2.4	10.2	26.1	24.5	20.6	15.0	
[innesota	90.68	9,627	100.0	1.7	3.7	13.2	22.7	18.4	21.5	18.8	
lorida	90,50 90,33	31,564	100.0 100.0	1.7	$\frac{4.2}{2.2}$	12.2	22.9	19.4	21.1	18.	
ew Hampshire	90.23	2,386 1,008	100.0	1.0	3.6	11.2   12.3	26.6 25.5	24.5 19.4	$\frac{21.6}{21.1}$	13.1 17.	
wa	89.74	8,691	100.0	1.8	3.9	13.3	23.0	20.0	21.6	16.	
aryland	89.65	10,862	100.0	1.5	3.5	13.6	24.6	19.7	19.7	17.	
ew Mexico	89.56 88.72	$\frac{3,120}{11,845}$	100.0 100.0	1.4 1.7	4.0 5.0	$\frac{14.3}{12.8}$	24.4 24.8	$\frac{18.3}{20.1}$	20.6 20.6	17.1	
klahoma Iissouri	88.69	20,733	100.0	1.7	4.2	14.2	24.8	19.4	19.8	15.1 15.1	
				1	Ĭ						
hode Island	88,59 87,73	$\frac{4,520}{1,865}$	100.0	$\frac{.5}{1.8}$	$\frac{2.1}{3.9}$	12.9 13.6	$\begin{array}{c c} 30.2 \\ 25.5 \end{array}$	$\begin{bmatrix} 23.6 \\ 23.4 \end{bmatrix}$	$ \begin{array}{c c} 19.2 \\ 20.3 \end{array} $	11.8 11.8	
ermontentucky	87.62	19,644	100.0	2.4	4.8	14.1	24.2	20.4	20.9	13.2	
exas.	87.31	37,255	100.0	2.5	5.3	14.2	25.3	19.2	18.4	15.	
ebraska	86.67	4,262	100.0	2.3	4.4	15.5	26.5	20.3	17.6	13.4	
Isine	86.29 85,50	4,192	100.0 100.0	1.8	3.7	14.1	28.4 50.0	$\begin{bmatrix} 24.6 \\ 50.0 \end{bmatrix}$	$\frac{17.7}{0}$	9.1 0	
merican Samoairginia	85.34	20,759	100.0	2.7	5.4	15.8	27.0	19.8	17.5	11.8	
ouisiana	85.01	16,722	100.0	3.3	6.4	16.2	26.2	17.9	16.3	13.	
labama	84.84 84.10	19,613	160.0 100.0	3.8	5.6 6.3	$15.2 \\ 16.7$	27.6	18.6	16.8	12.4	
ennessee	84.10	19,651	100.0	3.4	6.3	16.7	26.9	18.5	16.4	11.8	
outh Dakota	84.05	1,839	100.0	2.3	5.3	18.6	26.6	20.6	16.9	9.7	
istrict of Columbia	83.76	3,515	100.0	2.0	3.9	17.3	33.2	20.5	14.2	8.9	
uam	83.25 83.18	1,517	100.0 100.0	$0 \\ 3.2$	$\frac{0}{7.1}$	18.0	75.0 26.9	$\frac{25.0}{17.7}$	0 16.0	0 11.1	
orth Dakota	82.57	10,947	100.0	3.8	7.1	17.3	27.9	18.5	15.5	9.9	
eorgia	80.77	25,119	100.0	3.6	6.7	18.7	31.7	19.6	12.3	7.4	
outh Carolina	80.62	14,971	100.0	3.9	5.8	18.3	31.4	23.2	11.9	5.5	
orth Carolina	80.21 78.89	27,028 $11,750$	100.0 100.0	$\begin{array}{c c} 3.2 \\ 6.6 \end{array}$	6.7 8.4	19.7 18.8	31.8	20.8 16.0	$\frac{11.7}{12.4}$	6.1	
ississippi irgin Islands	75.70	37	100.0	2.7	13.5	18.8	29.8 32.5	21.6	5.4	8.0 5.4	
uerto Rico	63.58	5,438	100.0	26.3	15.9	19.0	22.1	9.1	5.4	2.2	
broad	96.43	2,486	100.0	.3	.8	7.4	20.4	21.9	26.9	22.3	
.DIVau	50.10	4,700	100.0	.0		1.1	20.4	21.9	20.9	42.3	

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Payable to disabled workers under age 65.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Beneficiary's State of residence, based on monthly benefit check address.

(table 2). Monthly benefits of \$70.00-\$99.90 were going to 29 percent of the group and benefits of \$40.10-\$69.90 to 27 percent. For about 11 percent of all old-age beneficiaries the monthly benefit was exactly \$40.00; for 6 percent the benefit was in the \$32.00-\$39.90 range because of actuarial reduction for retirement before age 65.

In 1963 as in the past, the highest disability benefits—an average of \$98.86—were being paid to beneficiaries living in Michigan. Beneficiaries living in Connecticut received the highest old-age benefits—an average of \$85.93. The lowest benefits were going to beneficiaries living in Mississippi—an average of \$78.89 for disabled-worker beneficiaries and \$57.71 for workers retired because of age. Most of the other States, when ranked by size of average benefits, also retained the same relative position in 1963 that they had held in earlier years.

Benefits of \$100.00-\$123.00 were being paid to almost three-fifths of the disabled-worker beneficiaries in Michigan but to only about one-fifth of

Table 2.—Old-age, survivors, and disability insurance: Number and average monthly amount of old-age insurance benefits in current-payment status and percentage distribution by amount of benefit, by State, at end of December 1963

State (nombed by place)	Average old-age benefit	Number of									
State (ranked by size of average benefit) <sup>1</sup>		old-age benefi- ciaries	Total	\$32.00- 39.90	\$40.00	\$40.10- 54.90	\$55.00- 69.90	\$70.00- 84.90	\$85.00- 99.90	\$100.00- 114.90	\$115.00- 127.00
Total	\$76.88	10,263,331	100.0	5.7	11.0	11.2	15.4	15.7	13.5	13.9	13
onnecticut	85.93	156,879	100.0	2.7	5.2	8.6	12.8	15.6	16.5	19.1	19
fichigan	85.09	417,599	100.0	3.6	7.2	9.4	12.7	12.9	13.5	18.6	22
lew Jersey	84.50	365,672	100.0	3.4	7.2 6.2	8.9	13.5	15.3	15.8	18.0	18
lew York	82.65	1,078,851	100.0	3.5	7.1	9:3	14.3	16.5	15.7	16.3	17
ennsylvania	82.01	670,762	100.0	4.0	8.0	9.1	14.0	16.2	15.0	16.9	16
linois	81.82	584,249	100.0	4.0	8.4	9.6	13.9	15.3	15.0	16.2	17
nio	81.45	521,234	100.0	4.3	9.0	10.2	14.1	14.4	13.2	16.1	1:
assachusetts	80.51	345,079	100.0	3.4	7.0	9.9	15.9	18.7	16.1	15.3	1
ashington	79.31	177,384	100.0	4.3	9.6	11.3	14.9	15.0	13.8	16.1	1
hode Island	78.92	60,451	100.0	4.0	6.1	10.8	16.7	19.6	17.4	15.1	1
isconsin	78.71	259, 569	100.0	4.6	10.0	11.0	15.9	14.9	13.3	14.9	1
izona	78.67	65,006	100.0	5.9 4.6	10.0 10.4	10.9 10.8	14.2 15.0	14.2 15.1	13.9 14.3	15.3 15.0	1
diana	78.67 $78.40$	278,184	100.0 $100.0$	5.0	9.5	11.8	15.0	15.1	13.9	14.7	1
alifornia	78.33	887,105 23,402	100.0	5.3	9.7	10.6	15.5	16.2	13.9	14.1	i
elaware	78.32	404,058	100.0	5.8	9.1	11.4	14.6	15.0	14.4	15.7	î
orida	78.28	36,344	100.0	5.0	10.4	10.8	15.0	15.6	14.0	15.0	i
tah regon	77.94	127,593	100.0	4.4	9.7	12.1	16.0	15.3	13.6	15.8	1
ontana	77.28	39,365	100.0	4.2	11.7	10.6	15.9	16.5	13.4	14.2	1
evada	77.18	13,142	100.0	5.4	9.6	11.5	15.5	16.8	13.9	15.3	1
ew Hampshire	76,35	46,052	100.0	4.2	9.4	11.3	17.2	19.0	16.2	13.1	
aryland	75.91	134,615	100.0	5.9	11.2	11.3	16.2	16.3	13.5	12.7	1
yoming	75.65	16,476	100.0	5.1	11.4	10.8	16.6	18.2	13.6	13.7	1
wa	75.45	189,031	100.0	4.6	11.6	11.3	17.1	17.3	14.5	13.2	1
olorado	75.36	88,167	100.0	5.3	12.0	11.7	16.0	16.4	14.0	13.3	1
innesota	75.26	214,508	100.0	5.3	12.0	11.6	16.8	16.2	13.2	12.9	1
aska	74.59	3,656	100.0	5.7	12.4	12.7	16.5	14.4	13.2	15.1	]
est Virginia	74.49	99,963	100.0	6.1	13.5	11.1	15.3	$16.4 \\ 17.7$	$12.9 \\ 13.9$	13.8 13.0	1
aho	74.43	37,368	100.0	4.8 6.2	$12.1 \\ 12.1$	12.1 12.3	16.7 17.0	16.6	13.1	11.7	1
[issouri	73.91	285,470	100.0 100.0	5.1	13.3	12.5	16.9	16.5	13.5	12.1	ĺ
ansas	73.66 73.33	135,042 21,759	100.0	7.0	13.0	11.3	14.7	17.5	16.4	11.3	
awaii ebraska		95,142	100.0	4.9	13.1	12.0	17.6	18.0	14.4	11.2	
					12.2	12.4	18.3	17.9	13.8	11.5	
ermontorth Dakota	73.04 72.92	26,800 36,234	100.0 100.0	5.2 5.6	12.2	11.9	18.1	17.5	13.5	11.2	
faine	71.99	69,089	100.0	5.7	12.5	12.9	18.4	17.9	13.9	10.9	
outh Dakota	71,94	42,623	100.0	5.5	13.7	12.1	18.1	17.9	14.0	11.0	İ
istrict of Columbia	71.28	36,270	100.0	7.0	15.2	11.9	17.0	17.0	12.2	10.4	
klahoma	70.38	130,293	100.0	8.2	14.8	13.3	16.9	15.6	11.6	10.2	İ
ew Mexico	69.84	30,121	100.0	8.1	16.4	13.1	16.3	15.1	11.5	10.8	İ
exas	68.99	418,296	100.0	9.2	15.5	13.6	17.1	15.4	11.1	9.4	İ
irginia	68.14	171,276	100.0	8.5	17.7	13.3	16.8	15.4	11.0	9.4	İ
ouisiana	67.84	116,233	100.0	11.0	16.2	13.4	16.4	14.8	10.6	9.1	
entucky	67.49	167,853	100.0	8.5	17.1	14.1	18.0	15.6	10.4	9.2	
labama	65.38	140,650	100.0	11.7	19.4	13.4 14.9	16.5 19.1	14.1	9.5 10.0	$\frac{8.0}{7.0}$	
orth Carolina	64.89	204,435	100.0	10.6	17.0	14.9	18.0	15.8 15.3	9.6	6.6	
eorgia	64.17	159,307	100.0 100.0	12.1 11.9	17.9 19.0	13.8	18.0	15.3	10.8	7.0	1
outh Carolina	63.98 63.50	90,328 178,826	100.0	11.9	20.3	15.1	17.4	13.2	8.8	7.1	1
ennessee	62.42	109,901	100.0	11.8	21.1	15.1	17.8	14.4	8.3	6.4	
rkansas	59.58	898	100.0	11.9	27.6	15.9	14.8	12.1	7.2	6.6	t
irgin Islands	57.71	103,280	100.0	15.0	26.4	14.8	17.3	12.0	6.3	4.5	į.
lississippi uam	54.34	103,230	100.0	13.2	39.6	15.1	9.4	13.2	0	5.7	}
uerto Rico	49.13	73,277	100.0	22.4	39.9	12.9	10.8	6.9	3.3	2.2	į
merican Samoa	48.06	17	100.0	0	70.5	5.9	11.8	5.9	5.9	0	i
broad	78.29	78,094	100.0	2.3	8.1	9.4	18.3	21.4	16.1	15.6	-

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Beneficiary's State of residence, based on the monthly benefit check address.

those in Mississippi. Only 9 percent of Michigan's beneficiaries were receiving less than \$70.00, but for 34 percent of the beneficiaries living in Mississippi the amount of the disability benefit was \$40.00-\$69.90. Almost two-fifths of the old-age beneficiaries in Connecticut but only 8 percent of those living in Mississippi were receiving benefits of \$100.00-\$125.00. Benefits of less than \$70.00 were going to three-tenths of Connecticut's oldage beneficiaries and to almost three-fourths of those in Mississippi.

### Recent Publications\*

#### SOCIAL SECURITY ADMINISTRATION

Epstein, Lenore A. Income Security Standards in Old-Age. Washington: The Administration, Division of Research and Statistics, 1964. 26 pp. (Research Report No. 3). Limited free distribution; apply to the Social Security Administration, Washington, D. C. 20201.

Paper presented at the International Gerontological Research-Seminar, held in Sweden in August 1963.

#### **GENERAL**

BLOOMSTROM, R. L. "Some Competitive Aspects of Fringe Benefits." *Personnel Journal*, vol. 43, Jan. 1964, pp. 11-14. 75 cents.

Some reasons for providing fringe benefits.

DREIER, JOHN C., editor. The Alliance for Progress: Problems and Perspectives. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1962. 146 pp. \$3.95.

Essays by Milton S. Eisenhower, Raul Prebisch, José Figueres, Teodoro Moscoso, and Dean Rusk.

FOX, HARLAND. "The Corporate Social Security System and Workmen's Compensation." Conference Board Record., vol. 1, Feb. 1964, pp. 7-16. \$1.50.

Describes the relationship of employee-benefit plans to work-connected disability and State workmen's compensation programs.

Peters, Herman J., and Shertger, Bruce. Guidance: Program Development and Management. Cincinnati: Charles E. Merrill Books, 1963. 592 pp. \$10.

Practical applications of theories to make guidance programs more effective.

SMELSER, NEIL J., and SMELSER, WILLIAM T., editors. *Personality and Social Systems*. New York: John Wiley and Sons, 1963. 660 pp. \$8.95.

How personality and social systems contribute to the understanding of human behavior.

Social Insurance Funds of Peru. "Social Security in Peru." Bulletin of the International Social Security Association, vol. 16, Aug.-Sept. 1963, pp. 221-265. 50 cents.

History and legislative provisions.

U. S. Advisory Commission on Intergovernmental Relations. *Performance of Urban Functions, Local and Areawide.* Washington: U. S. Govt. Print. Off., Sept. 1963, 281 pp. \$1.50.

U. S. Department of Labor. Bureau of Labor Statistics. Implications of Automation and Other Technological Developments: A Selected Annotated Bibliography. Washington: U. S. Govt. Print. Off., Dec. 1963. 90 pp. (Bulletin No. 1319-1.) 50 cents.

Supplement to BLS Bulletin No. 1319 of February 1962, covering publications issued from 1956 to 1961.

WINGO, LONDEN, JR., editor. Citics and Space: The Future Use of Urban Land. Baltimore: Johns Hopkins Press, 1963. 261 pp. \$5.50.

#### AGE AND RETIREMENT

Andrews, R. B. "Housing for the Elderly: State and City-County Based Market Analysis—an Outline of Method and Administration." *The Gerontologist*, vol. 3, Dec. 1963, pp. 148–151. \$1.25.

The second of two papers.

Belter, E. W. "What Does the Church Expect for Its Aging?" *Professional Nursing Home*, vol. 6, Feb. 1964, pp. 30-34. 50 cents.

Doodson, Norman. "Services for the Aged in Britain." Canadian Welfarc, vol. 40, Jan.-Feb. 1964, pp. 23-28. 50 cents.

Outlines services provided by the local authorities.

ZINBERG, NORMAN E., and KAUFMAN, IRVING, editors. Normal Psychology of the Aging Process. New York: International Universities Press, 1963. 182 pp. \$4.50.

First annual scientific meeting of the Boston Society for Gerontologic Psychiatry, Inc.

#### WELFARE

Anderson, Joseph P. Opportunities in Social Work Careers. New York: Universal Publishing and Distributing Corporation (Vocational Guidance Manuals), 1963. 128 pp. \$1.45, paperback; \$2.65, clothbound.

By the executive director of the National Association of Social Workers.

FOX, RICHARD K., Jr. "Racial Factors in Social Welfare Services." Lutheran Social Welfare Quarterly, vol. 3, Dec. 1963, pp. 53-58. \$5.

Leigh, Amy. "Municipalities and Public Welfare." Canadian Welfare, vol. 40, Jan.-Feb. 1964, pp. 16-22. 50 cents.

Administration of municipal welfare services, with suggestions for their improvement.

#### HEALTH AND MEDICAL CARE

Ball, Robert M. "Medical Care: Its Social and Organizational Aspects: The American Social Security Pro-

(Continued on page 31)

<sup>\*</sup> Prepared in the Library, Department of Health, Education, and Welfare. Orders for items listed should be directed to publishers and booksellers; Federal publications for which prices are listed should be ordered from the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D. C. 20402.