## State Supplementation Under SSI, 1975

This article summarizes State supplementation activities under SSI for 1975 It discusses changes in the State programs that occurred during 1975 and presents some comparisons with data for 1974 —the unital year of the SSI program A slight shift occurred in the distribution of recipients by eligibility category for Federal and State supplementation from 1974 to 1975 During 1975 a few States changed from Federal administration to State administration of their mandatory supplementation programs while a few others initiated or expanded their optional supplementation programs Several States also increased their supplements during the year

AT THE CLOSE of the second full year of providing income support payments to needy aged, blind, and disabled persons under the supplemental security income (SSI) program the size of caseloads and amount of expenditures continued to grow Some significant shifts, however, developed among all three eligibility categories Although the aged continued to be the largest category, the rate of growth slowed down considerably The disabled became the fastestgrowing category, and the caseload for the blind experienced minor reductions

#### **RECIPIENTS OF STATE SUPPLEMENTATION**

In December 1975, about 44 million persons received payments under the supplemental security income program Of this total, approximately 24 million received only a Federal SSI payment, 15 million, both a Federal SSI payment and State supplementation, and less than 05 million, a State supplementary payment only (table 1) Of the nearly 2 million persons with State supplementation, more than 4 of every 5 received such payments through the Social Security Administration payment system on behalf of 32 States and slightly less than 1 of every 5 received pay-

#### by SUE HAWKINS\*

ments through 22 individual State payment systems<sup>1</sup> The number of persons getting State supplements in December 1975 was 4 percent higher than the figure a year ago

A slight shift occurred in the distribution of recipients by eligibility category between December 1975 and December 1974 The aged comprised 55 percent of the total caseload at the end of 1974, and 52 percent at the end of 1975 (table 2). The disabled, on the other hand, represented 43 percent of the overall caseload at the end of 1974 but increased to 46 percent by the close of 1975 The caseload for the blind dropped from 20 percent in 1974 to 18 percent at the end of 1975

In 29 States and the District of Columbia, fewer persons received State supplements in December 1975 than in January 1975; in 19 States, however, more persons received these supplements (table 3) Generally, a large percentage of the total SSI caseload received supplements in States with a comprehensive supplementation program States with limited programs had a small percentage who received supplementary payments (chart 1) These payments were not made by Texas because of a constitutional barrier prohibiting such payments Supplementation data for West Virginia were not reported

#### **Federally Administered Supplementation**

The number of persons receiving federally administered supplements totaled 1,684,000 in December 1975—82,600 or 5 percent above the number a year ago The disabled replaced the aged as the fastest-growing category under federally administered supplementation During 1974, the caseloads for the aged and disabled rose by 11 percent and 6 percent, respectively In 1975, however, the caseload for the disabled increased 10 percent and that for the aged declined 3 percent

<sup>\*</sup>State Statistics Branch, Division of Supplemental Security Studies, Office of Research and Statistics

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>These States include five that had federally administered mandatory and State administered optional supplementation

TABLE 1 — Number and percentage distribution of persons receiving payments under the SSI program, by type of payment and	
State, at end of December 1975	

		Nur	nber			Percentage	distribution	
Btate	Total	Federal SSI payment only	Federal SSI payment and State supplemen- tation	State supple mentary payments only	Total	Federal SSI payment only	Federal SSI payment and State supplemen tation	State supple- mentary payments only
Total _	4 366 040	2 381,686	1,511 520	472 834	100 0	54 6	34 6	10 8
Alabama <sup>1</sup> Alaka <sup>1</sup> Arizona <sup>1</sup> Arkansas California Colorado <sup>1</sup> Connecticut <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup> Delsware District of Columbia Florida <sup>4</sup>	$\begin{array}{c} 151 & 532 \\ 3 & 931 \\ 28 & 459 \\ 86 & 450 \\ 665 & 521 \\ 41 & 895 \\ 27 & 243 \\ 6 & 977 \\ 15 & 743 \\ 158 & 676 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 128,302\\ 603\\ 26908\\ 80637\\ 19462\\ 10767\\ 16493\\ 4,854\\ 13764\\ 1.56200\\ \end{array}$	18 216 2 440 1 277 7,38 415 008 24 658 6 800 1 812 1 826 2,133	$5,014\\888\\214\\42_{2}\\231\051\\6,470\\4\000\\311\\153\\4\343$	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \end{array}$	84 7 15 3 94 8 91 2 2 9 25 7 60 4 69 6 87 4 98 4	12 0 62 1 4 5 8 4 62 4 58 9 24 9 26 0 11 6 1 3	$\begin{array}{c} 3 & 3 \\ 22 & 6 \\ 8 \\ 5 \\ 34 & 7 \\ 15 & 4 \\ 14 & 7 \\ 4 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 2 \end{array}$
Georgia Hawaii Idaho 1 t Illinois 1 Iowa _ Kansas Kentucky 1 Louislana Majne	165 432 9 564 9 091 147,761 44 315 28 814 23 968 99 404 1.51,627 24 754	$\begin{array}{c} 157 & 998 \\ 208 \\ 6 & 107 \\ 102 & 573 \\ 42 & 312 \\ 25,653 \\ 23 & 080 \\ 90,392 \\ 137 & 496 \\ 1 & 236 \end{array}$	5,769 8 457 2 684 1 38 1 387 2 794 797 7 808 12,312 18,180	$\begin{array}{c} 1 & 66_{2} \\ & 899 \\ & 300 \\ 10 & 290 \\ & 616 \\ & 367 \\ & 91 \\ 1 & 204 \\ 1 & 819 \\ & 5,338 \end{array}$	100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0	95 5 2 2 67 2 69 5 89 0 96 3 90 9 90 7 90 7 5 0	35 884 295 236 31 97 331 788 81 734	10 94 33 70 13 4 13 4 12 216
Maryland <sup>1</sup> Massachusetts Minnesota <sup>1</sup> Mississippi Missouri <sup>1</sup> Montana Nebraska <sup>1</sup> Nevada New Hampshire <sup>1</sup> <sup>2</sup>	48, 529 132 278 119 856 40 039 125 068 114 263 8 406 17 168 6 181 7,471	40,912 3 484 7 159 34 179 120 039 61 201 7,854 11 785 2 008 1,164	2 259 75 339 99 202 5 060 4 416 39 627 468 4, 599 2 916 1,407	<ul> <li>5 358</li> <li>53 455</li> <li>13 495</li> <li>800</li> <li>613</li> <li>13 435</li> <li>84</li> <li>787</li> <li>1,257</li> <li>1 900</li> </ul>	100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0	94 8 2 8 6 0 85 4 96 8 53 8 93 4 68 6 93 4 68 2 37 7 57 7	4 6 57 0 82 8 12 6 3 5 34 7 5 6 26 8 47 2 18 8	$\begin{array}{c} 7\\ 40 \ 4\\ 11 \ 8\\ 2 \ 0\\ 5\\ 11 \ 8\\ 1 \ 0\\ 4 \ 0\\ 20 \ 3\\ 25 \ 4\end{array}$
New Jersey New Mexico <sup>1</sup> New York North Carolina <sup>1</sup> North Dakota <sup>1</sup> Ohio Oklahoma <sup>1</sup> Oregon <sup>1</sup> Pennsylvania Rhode Island	81 301 26 611 403 077 101 942 8 011 131,872 84 450 28 4452 147,929 16,190	$\begin{array}{c} 27 & 150 \\ 20 & 594 \\ 21 & 654 \\ 141 & 668 \\ 7 & 7 & 554 \\ 128 & 063 \\ 13 & 835 \\ 8 & 064 \\ 10 & 082 \\ 1 & 463 \end{array}$	46,270 312,675 8 841 2 860 70 273 17 954 136,577 11,716	7,881 17 68 748 1 433 12 949 2 342 2,444 1 270 3 016	100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0	33 4 99 9 5 4 93 2 94 3 97 1 16 0 28 3 6 8 9 0	569 776 58 22 813 631 632 723	97 1 171 9 1 7 27 86 9 186
South Carolina ** South Dakota ** Tennessee	82 379 9 058 138 673 280 029	81 186 8 793 136,948 280 029	1,193 173 1 375	92 350	$\begin{array}{c} 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \\ 100 \ 0 \end{array}$	98 6 97 1 98 8	14 19 10	· · · · 10 2
Texas * Utah ! * Vermont Virginia ! * Washington	9 339 9 249 77 866 52 967	9 090 401 75 751 6 212	249 7,283 1 715 42 432	- 1,565 400 4 323	100 0 100 0 100 0 100 0	97 3 4 3 97 6 11 7	2 7 78 7 2 2 80 1	169 582
West Virginia <sup>1</sup> Wisconsin Wyoming	43,641 65 976 2 547	43,641 8 132 2 489	37,504 48	- 20 340 10	- 100 0 100 0	- 12 3 97 7		30 8 4

State administered programs

State automissions, process Partially estimated

 Federally administered mandatory and State-administered optional supplementation
 Federally administered supplementation only, no persons with State

Of the 26 States<sup>2</sup> with federally administered supplementation programs in 1975, 14 experienced gains in their caseloads totaling 102,000 for the year In the remaining 12 States, the number of persons receiving federally administered supplements declined by 45,400

The majority of the States had larger numbers

administered supplementation only Federally administered supplementation only data not available for State administered supplementation only State constitutional barrier prohibits State supplementation

receiving Federal SSI payments than State supplements In addition, a large percentage of the total SSI caseload in most States received only a Federal SSI payment Substantial proportions of the total caseload received a State supplement in 12 States (table 1). Since the scope of coverage for supplementation programs was broad in these States, some persons who were not eligible for the basic SSI payment because of excess income qualified for only a State supplementary payment

<sup>\*</sup>This group excludes one State that changed to State administration and five States with federally administered mandatory supplementation and State-administered optional supplementation

Nevada, which had the lowest percentage of State supplementation cases among the 12 States. did not provide optional payments to the disabled That State also did not have aid to the permanently and totally disabled (APTD) under the former public assistance programs If the number of disabled who received only an SSI payment are excluded from the total, the percentage receiving State supplements in Nevada increases from 67.5 to 98.3 In Washington, where a relatively large proportion of the caseload received a Federal SSI payment only, payment levels varied according to geographical areas As a result, some persons may have been ineligible for State supplementation because the Federal SSI payment was higher than the State payment level in their area

Wisconsin, too, had a relatively large proportion of its caseload receiving only a Federal SSI payment, in this case reflecting the fact that the State had a higher percentage of its caseload in Medicaid facilities than did the others in this group of 12 States and thus a larger percentage was eligible for the Federal payment (\$25) only

In eight of the 12 States the rate of growth in the number who received federally administered State supplements was greater than that for persons receiving Federal SSI payments Compared with the 1974 rate, however, the overall rate of growth and the growth rate for each category were consistently smaller for all 12 States Wisconsin, for example, had the largest increase (88 percent) in its supplementation caseload in 1974, but experienced a significantly lower rise (7 percent) in 1975 Between 1974 and 1975, similar reductions in the rate of growth occurred among all 12 States

For 19 States, supplementary payments were limited to selected groups of recipients Five of these States—Delaware, District of Columbia. Iowa Montana, and New Jersey—provided supplements to selected groups or categories of recipients In New Jersey a much higher percentage of the total caseload received State supplementation than in the other four States because higher payment levels were established to include the broader living-arrangement classifications, which resulted in a much larger group with eligibility for State supplementation Nine States— Arkansas, Georgia, Indiana, Kansas, Louisiana,

TABLE 2 — Number,	percentage	distribution,	and percent-
age increase of perso reason for eligibility	ns receiving	State supple	mentation, by
December 1975			

	Number		Perce distri	Per cent	
Reason for eligibility	January 1975	Dec- ember 1975	Janu- ary 1975	Dec ember 1975	age in- crease
Total_	1 943 015	1 987,409	100 0	100 0	2 - 8
Federally administered	1,637,201	1 684 018	84 3	84 7	
State administered_	305 814	303 391	15 7	15 3	
Aged	1 061 536	1,028 596	54 6	51 8	$   \begin{array}{c}     -3 \\     -2 \\     -5 \\   \end{array} $
Federally administered	1 866 104	843 917	52 9	50 1	
State-administered	195,432	184 679	63 9	60 9	
Blind	38 413	36 309	20	$     \begin{array}{r}       18 \\       19 \\       16     \end{array} $	5
Federally administered	1 32 552	31 376	20		3 (
State-administered	1 861	4 933	19		15 f
Disabled	843 066	922 229	43 4	40-4	9
Federally administered	1738 54.5	808 725	15 1	48-0	9
State-administered	104 52)	113 504	34 2	37-4	8

Represents quarterly data for January-March

Mississippi, Ohio, Tennessee, and Wyoming provided mandatory supplementation to recipients who transferred from the former public assistance programs Five States—Florida, Maryland, Mmnesota, South Carolina, and South Dakota—had Federal administration of their optional programs during 1975 These States provided supplements to persons with certain living arrangements for which the State had previously established payment levels higher than the Federal payment levels

As expected, in the 19 States, most recipients received either a Federal SSI payment only or a combined Federal SSI payment and a State supplementary payment (table 1) In addition, since optional supplementation was provided only to selected groups of recipients, few who were eligible for a Federal SSI payment were eligible for a State supplement

### State-Administered Supplementation

Persons receiving State-administered supplementary payments totaled 303,400 in December 1975 During 1975, the caseload for State-administered supplementation dropped about 1 percent and 2,400 fewer persons were receiving State supplements in December than in January The caseload for the blind and aged also declined in 1975 by 16 percent and 6 percent, respectively, but the number of disabled persons rose 9 percent TABLE 3 -- Number and percentage increase of persons receiving supplementary and/or Federal SSI payments, by State, January and December 19751

		Supp	olementary paym	ents	Fe	deral SSI paymer	nts		
State	State		Number		Number		Number		Percentage
		January 1975	December 1975	increase	January 1975	December 1975	increase		
Total		1,943 015	1 987,409	2 3	3 701,265	3 900 582	5 4		
Alabama Alaska Arizona Arkansas California Colorado Coonecticut Delaware District of Columbia Florida	-	28 991 3 979 1 601 16 852 596 904 3 32 195 2 869 2 869 2 591 8 335	* 23 230 * 3 328 * 1 491 7 813 646,0.9 * 31,128 * 9 741 2,123 1 979 * 5,972	$ \begin{array}{r} -19 \ 9 \\ -16 \ 4 \\ -6 \ 9 \\ -3 \ 3 \\ -2 \ 4 \\ -26 \ 0 \\ -23 \ 6 \\ -28 \ 4 \end{array} $	$141,745\\3 013\\26,324\\84 893\\419 262\\3.967\\21 226\\6 103\\12 46\\6 103\\15 467\\143 016$	140 518 3 043 28 245 88,025 434 470 35,425 23 293 6 696 15 590 163,767	34 10 73 36 -15 97 92 8 145		
Georgia Hawaii Idaho Illinois Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky Louisiana Maine	• • •	12 246 8 307 3 3,062 3 36 419 3 722 2 078 1 372 1 0 291 24 190 22 076	7 434 9 3.6 2 984 2 4.7 188 2 003 3 161 888 2 9,012 14 131 23 518	$\begin{array}{c} -39 \ 3\\ 12 \ 6\\ -3 \ 2\\ 24 \ 1\\ -46 \ 2\\ 9 \ 0\\ -3 \ 3\\ -12 \ 4\\ -41 \ 6\\ 5\end{array}$	1.54 570 7 805 8 756 133,183 41 863 27,078 23 448 95 819 142 119 19,045	$\begin{array}{c} 165 \ 432 \\ 8 \ 660 \\ 8 \ 791 \\ 137 \ 471 \\ 43 \ 699 \\ 28 \ 447 \\ 23 \ 877 \\ 98 \ 200 \\ 149 \ 808 \\ 19 \ 416 \\ \end{array}$	70 110 432 44 518 25 525 19		
Maryland Massachusetts Michigan Minnesota Mississippi Missouri Montana Nebraska Nevada New Hampshire		<sup>6</sup> 3 400 122,305 104 680 4 8 410 10 496 <sup>7</sup> 61 120 444 <sup>2</sup> 5 435 3 717 <sup>3</sup> 3 210	*2 617 128 794 112 697 * 5 860 > 029 \$ 53,062 \$ 552 * 5,386 4,173 * 3 307	$\begin{array}{c} -23 & 0 \\ & & & 3 \\ & & & 7 & 6 \\ & -30 & 3 \\ & -52 & 1 \\ & -13 & 8 \\ & & -13 & 8 \\ & & & 24 & 3 \\ & & & -2 & 9 \\ & & & 12 & 3 \\ & & & 3 & 0 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 45 & 233 \\ 7_{0} & 0.4 \\ 100, 732 \\ 37 & 970 \\ 121 & 616 \\ 104, 448 \\ 7 & 913 \\ 16 & 307 \\ 4 & 20_{0} \\ 7 & 214 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{r} 48,171\\ 78,823\\ 106,361\\ 39,239\\ 124,455\\ 100,828\\ 8,322\\ 16,881\\ 4,924\\ 5,571\end{array}$	65 56 33 23 -35 52 1 14 6 6 2		
New Jersey New Mexico New York North Carolina North Dakota Ohio Oklahoma Oregon Pennsylvania Rhode Island	-	60 523 2 43 360 979 3 9 440 6 130 6 130 7 11 256 3 19 128 471 14 136	54,151 * 17 381 423 * 10 274 * 457 3 809 * 72 615 * 20 398 137 847 14 732	$ \begin{array}{c} -10 5 \\ 5 7 \\ -25 1 \\ -37 9 \\ 1 9 \\ 5 3 \\ 4 2 \end{array} $	66 738 24 793 323,358 135 678 8 033 124,141 84,741 25 112 136 257 12,522	73 420 26,594 334 329 1.0,509 7 999 130 923 84 108 25,997 146 659 13,179	10 0 8 1 3 4 10 9 - 4 5 5 - 8 3 5 7 6 5 2		
South Carolina South Dakota Ternessee Utah Vermont Virginia West Virginia Wisconsin W yoming	-	4 1 656 * 916 3 084 (*) 8 256 3 166 46 280 * 1 198 54,274 121	4 1 193 * 763 1 725 (*) * 249 8 848 2,115 46 755 (*) 57,844 58	$\begin{array}{c} & -28 & 0 \\ & -16 & 7 \\ & -44 & 1 \\ & -48 & 1 \\ & 7 & 2 \\ & -33 & 2 \\ & 1 & 0 \\ & 6 & 6 \\ & -32 & 1 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 74 \ 187\\ 8 \ 602\\ 130 \ 835\\ 2b1, 427\\ 9 \ 237\\ 7 \ 427\\ 65 \ 912\\ 48 \ 451\\ 39 \ 897\\ 41 \ 999\\ 2, 459\end{array}$	82 379 9 008 138,323 280 029 9 339 7 684 77,466 48 644 43 641 4 <sub>2</sub> ,635 2 537	$ \begin{array}{c} 11 \\ 4 \\ 7 \\ 5 \\ 7 \\ 1 \\ 1 \\ 3 \\ 5 \\ 17 \\ 6 \\ 4 \\ 9 \\ 4 \\ 8 \\ 6 \\ 3 \\ 2 \\ \end{array} $		

<sup>1</sup> For supplementary payments, represents all persons receiving both Federal SSI payments and State supplementation and those with State supplementation only includes 100 cases in January and 120 cases in De-cember not distributed by State For Federal SSI payments, represents all persons with such payments, whether or not in combination with federally administered State supplementation, includes 90 cases in January and 206 cases in December not distributed by State <sup>1</sup> State-administered program

\* Federally administered mandatory and State-administered optional

\* Changed from Federal administration to State administration of man-datory supplementation program in October 1975 for Minnesota, in July 1975 for South Carolina and Utah

\* Not computed on base of less than 50 \* State constitutional barrier prohibits State supplementation 7 Data not available

The overall reduction in the total caseload resulted primarily from the 8-percent cost-of-living increase in Federal SSI payments in July That increase raised the basic Federal SSI payment above the State supplementary payment level, with the result that some persons no longer required supplementation

Among the 17 States that reported for the entire year, 11 States experienced decreases in their supplementation caseload ranging from 33 percent to 1 percent The percentage declines were generally larger for States with limited supplementation programs This difference was to be expected because in these States only a small number of persons who were eligible for a Federal SSI payment were also eligible for an optional State supplement In addition, very few persons who were ineligible for a Federal SSI CHART 1—Percent of total SSI caseload receiving State supplementary payments, by State, December 1975



payment because of excess income were eligible for a State supplementary payment

Among the five States that showed increases in the number of persons who received Stateadministered supplements during the year, nearly all experienced significantly greater growth rates in the number receiving only a State supplement than in the number with both a Federal SSI and a State supplementary payment In these States, a large number of persons who were ineligible for a Federal SSI payment because of their income qualified for a State supplement only

Illinois, which showed the largest gain in the number of persons receiving State supplements, reclassified some of its Medicaid facilities to nonmedical institutions in April As a result of this reclassification, substantial numbers of persons in whose behalf only medical vendor payments were made under Medicaid became eligible for a money payment under the State optional supplementary payment program These payments were provided to cover the cost of care in a nonmedical care facility

State-administered supplementation, like the federally administered, was not provided uniformly Alaska, Colorado, Oklahoma, and Oregon continued their extensive supplementation programs, with the majority of recipients receiving Federal SSI payments also receiving State supplements Eleven States (Alabama, Arizona, Connecticut, Idaho, Kentucky, Missouri, Nebraska, New Hampshire, North Carolina, North Dakota, and Virginia) still limited their optional payments to selected groups or categories of recipients, and a large proportion of recipients in these States therefore received only a Federal SSI payment Illinois continued to use an individual budgeting process to determine the amount of State payment for both basic and special needs, regardless of living arrangements New Mexico and Utah continued to limit State supplements to mandatory payments only

#### SUPPLEMENTATION EXPENDITURES

Expenditures under the SSI program totaled \$5 9 billion in 1975—\$582 1 million above the 1974 amount (table 4) Of the total amount expended in 1975, \$4 3 billion or 73 percent were for Federal SSI payments and \$1 6 billion or 27 percent

TABLE 4 --- Total amount, percentage distribution, and percentage increase of payments under the SSI program, by type of payment, 1974 and 1975

Type of payment	Amou paym (in mil	ents	Percentage distribution		Percent-
	1974	1975	1974	1975	increase
Total	\$J 296	\$5 879	100 0	100 0	11 0
Federal SSI State supplementa	3 872	4,314	73 1	73 4	11 4
tion 1 Federally admin	1 424	1 565	26 9	<b>2</b> 6 6	99
istered <sup>1</sup> State administered _]	$1,276 \\ 148$	1 403 162	89 6 10 4	89 6 10 4	99 3

<sup>1</sup>Includes expenditures that were reimbursed to California, Hawaii Massachusetts, Nevada New York, and Wisconsin under the "hold harm less' provision of the SSI legislation-\$80 5 million in fiscal year 1974 and \$210 6 million in fiscal year 1975

represented State supplementary payments <sup>a</sup> Federally administered supplementation amounted to \$1.4 billion and accounted for 90 percent of the total expenditures for State supplementation in 1975, State-administered supplementation amounted to \$162.2 million or 10 percent

Although the national caseload for State supplementation experienced a 2-percent increase during 1975, the monthly amount expended for State supplements rose \$9.8 million or 8 percent Total expenditures were \$123 1 million in January and \$132.9 million in December (table 5)

Trends were difficult to establish because of somewhat erratic variations in monthly expenditure data (table 6) These variations were due in part to the inclusion of retroactive payment amounts, State changes in payment levels, increases in social security benefits, and rises in Federal SSI payment levels Slight reductions in expenditures for supplementary payments in February and in May resulted from decreases in the number of persons receiving such payments The June reduction in supplementation expenditures was attributable to the revalidation of SSI payment records that generated some adjustments in federally administered supplementary payments In July, the 8-percent cost-of-living increase in Federal SSI and social security benefits resulted in still another monthly reduction in

Reason for eligibility	Amount o (in tho	Percent	
and type of payment	January 1975	December 1975	age increase
Total	\$123 093	\$132 879	<sup>3</sup> 80
Federally administered	111 345	119,076	69
State-administered	11 748	13,803	175
Aged	61 710	63,242	25
Federally administered	54 720	56,017	24
State-administered	6,990	7 225	34
Blind	3 156	3 261	33
	2,835	2 959	44
	321	302	59
Disabled	58,228	67 073	15 2
Federally administered	53 791	60 100	11 7
State-administered	4,437	6 273	41 4

TABLE 5—Amount and percentage increase of State sup-plementation, by reason for eligibility and type of payment, January and December 1975

Includes \$3,000 not distributed by reason for eligibility

expenditures for supplementary payments Expenditures dropped again in September They had been substantially higher in August because of retroactive payments in California that resulted from an increase in the payment standard back to July Still another reduction in expenditures for supplementary payments occurred in December Expenditures for November had included a large amount of retroactive payments

TABLE 6 — Monthly expenditures for State supplementation, January-December 1975

Month	Total	Federal	State
	М	onthly amou	Int
Total 1 (in thousands)	\$1,564 686	\$1 402 534	\$162,152
January Jennary Jennary March April March June June July June Jennary July Jennary July Jennary Jennar	123 093 123 001 130,932 133 730 133 275 128 491 122 566 135 053 133 321 135 966 137 813 132 879	111 345 111 283 118 613 119 151 118 618 114 118 618 108 782 121,103 119 591 122 178 124 023 119 076	11 748 11 718 12 319 14 579 14,657 14,333 13 784 13 900 13,788 13 790 13,803
	Per from	centage incre preceding m	ease conth
February March April May June July July July September October December	$ \begin{array}{r} -01\\ 65\\ 21\\ -36\\ -46\\ 102\\ -13\\ 20\\ 14\\ -36\end{array} $	$ \begin{array}{r} -01\\ & & & \\ & & \\ & & \\ & -38\\ & -47\\ & & \\ & -138\\ & -138\\ & & \\ & &$	$ \begin{array}{c} -03\\51\\184\\-25\\-38\\-12\\-38\\-12\\4\\(3)\\1\end{array} $

\* Includes adjustments for overpayments, refunds, returned checks, and special disbursements for underpayments \* Less than 0 1 percent

<sup>\*</sup> These data are somewhat inflated because of the inclusion of some expenditures for which Federal reimbursement is made under the "hold harmless" provision Under this provision, some States with federally administered supplementation programs could receive Federal reimbursement for some money payment expenditures above the State's share of public assistance expenditures for calendar year 1972

for Michigan, which also raised its payment standards retroactively to July

For the Nation, the average monthly State supplementary payment rose slightly during the year-from \$63 35 in January to \$66 87 in December At the beginning of the year, monthly payments ranged from a low of \$14 to a high of \$114, compared with \$4 and \$128 as the year ended

#### **Federally Administered Payments**

In 1975, federally administered payments amounted to \$1.4 billion-about \$127 million or 10 percent above the total for 1974 This net annual rise resulted from increases in expenditures in 13 States that were partially offset by the declines in 14 States-including 10 that provided only mandatory supplements

At the beginning of the year, federally administered payments totaled \$1113 million and averaged \$68 per recipient (table 7) By year end, total payments (\$1191 million) were up 7 percent and averaged \$71 per recipient Only four States-California, Michigan, Montana, and Nevada-had larger expenditures for State supplementary payments in December than in January California alone, where monthly expenditures for State supplements were \$10.2 million higher at the end of 1975 than at the beginning more than accounted for the overall \$9.8 million increase during the year,<sup>4</sup> in addition to the significant rise in the number of persons receiving supplementary payments, standards in that State were raised during the year

During 1975, all three eligibility categories experienced increases in expenditures for supplementary payments The greatest growth occurred in supplements to disabled persons, which showed a use of \$6.3 million or 12 percent In December, supplementation expenditures for the aged and blind were up 4 percent and 2 percent, respectively, from the January 1975 amount

#### State-Administered Payments

A total of \$162.2 million was expended for State-administered supplements in 1975 This figure represented an increase of \$13.8 million above the 1974 amount In January 1975, expenditures for supplementary payments totaled \$117 million By December, total expenditures had increased 18 percent and amounted to \$13.8 million

Of the 17 States reporting for the entire year, States-Alaska, Colorado, Illinois, New SIX

TABLE 7 Total and average amount of State supplemen
tation under federally administered and State-administered
programs, by State, January and December 1975

	Jani 19	ary 75	December 1975		
State	Total amount (in thou sands)	A verage pay- ment	Total amount (in thous sands)	A verage pay- ment	
Total I	\$123 093	<b>\$63 3</b> 5	\$132 879	\$66-87	
Federally administered programs	111 345	68-02	119 076	70 71	
State-administered programs	11 748	38 42	13,803	45 49	
Alabama <sup>2</sup> Alaska <sup>3</sup> Arizona <sup>2</sup> Arkansas California Colorado <sup>3</sup> Connecticut <sup>2</sup> Delaware District of Columbia Florida <sup>3</sup>	1 127 178 139 234 56 352 1 220 724 102 77 359	38 86 44 78 86 81 14 14 95 01 37 88 72 55 33 71 29 55 44 38	835 261 113 105 66,505 1,352 685 82 50 186	35 96 78 47 76 06 13 50 102 94 43 44 70 31 38 65 25 28 31 00	
Georgia Hawaii Idaho <sup>2</sup> Illinois <sup>2</sup> Indiana Iowa Kansas Kentucky <sup>2</sup> Louisiana Maine	332 451 84 1 465 130 231 84 621 572 578	26 88 54 24 27 10 38 97 33 88 78 98 55 69 60 38 22 86 26 67	199 388 143 3 042 64 195 43 822 853 533	26 79 41 51 48 02 67 32 31 87 61 73 48 87 91 18 25 00 22 74	
Maryland ** Massachusetts Michigan	184 13 296 4 255 * 413 179 2 369 32 241 163 132	54 29 110 12 40 87 *51 62 16 30 38 51 66 97 44 29 44 69 40 97	$109 \\ 12 \ 050 \\ 4,958 \\ 367 \\ 69 \\ 1,875 \\ 44 \\ 232 \\ 241 \\ 166$	41 63 93 56 43 99 62 66 13 65 35 33 80 59 43 14 57 85 50 12	
New Jersey New Mexico <sup>2</sup> New York North Carolina <sup>3</sup> North Dakota <sup>3</sup> Ohio Oklahoma <sup>3</sup> Oregon <sup>4</sup> Pennsylvania Rhode Island	2 102 (°) 22 125 1 083 15 298 1 4:0 533 3 092 588	38 01 ( <sup>6</sup> ) 62 03 114 76 24 68 46 24 20 35 27 51 24 51 41 32	1 713 ( <sup>6</sup> ) 21 750 1,313 11 174 1,875 434 3 104 506	31 63 (*) 57 02 127 85 23 82 45 77 25 82 21 27 22 52 34 37	
South Carolina <sup>5</sup> South Dakota <sup>7</sup> Tennessee	*88 42 98	* 44 00 42 58 31 54	75 37 58	62 60 48 49 33 43	
Texas <sup>8</sup> Utah <sup>1</sup> Vermont Virginia <sup>3</sup> Washington West Virginia <sup>3</sup> Wisconsin W yoming	$\begin{array}{c} 10\\ 384\\ 112\\ 1\ 267\\ 32\\ 3,426\\ 6\end{array}$	19 37 46 34 35 40 27 16 26 94 89 07 48 71	1 380 92 1,446 (*) 3 793 2	<sup>2</sup> 4 03 42 97 43 52 30 92 (9) 65 57 26 67	

Includes \$22,000 in January and \$43,000 in December not distributed by State

\* State-administered program \* Federally administered mandatory and State administered optional Federally administered mandatory and State administered of supplementation
 Excludes data for optional program
 Changed from Federal administration to State administration
 Not computed on base of less than \$500
 Initiated State-administered optional program in February 1975

State constitutional barrier prohibits supplementation

Data not available

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>+</sup>See footnote 3, page 17

04-4-		Month of change in-		July SSI increase	
State	Type of administration	Scope of coverage	Level of State payment	disregarded	
Alaska California Idaho	· · · · · ·	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	August, all persons August, cost-of living July, all persons August, persons in shelter homes	Fully	
Illinois		April, reclassification of former Medicaid recipients			
Iowa Kentucky			March, persons in domiciliary- care facilities	Limited, blind only	
Maine				Limited, excludes per- sons in boarding homes	
Maryland.				Limited, mandatory	
Michigan Minnesota	October, changed to State-admin- istered mandatory supple- mentation	March, reclassification of former Medicaid recipients	November, all persons		
Nebraska New York North Carolina	• • • •		July, all persons September, cost-of living	]	
Blind _ Public welfare	······································	· · · · · ·	July, blind persons in rest homes January and July, aged and dis abled in domiciliary-care and personal-care facilities		
Oregon		•		Limited, 50 percent of SSI increase	
Oklahoma	-	· · · · · ·	March, all persons except those in nursing homes		
Pennsy Ivania Rhode Island		······································		Fully Limited, 50 percent of SSL increase	
South Carolina	July changed to State adminis tered mandatory supplemen-		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
South Dakota	tation	February, initiated State admin istered optional program		Limited, mandatory only	
Utah	July, changed to State-adminis tered mandatory supplemen tation		-		
Vermont Washington Wisconsin		· · · · · · · ·	July, all persons March, all persons	Fully	

Hampshire, North Carolina, and Oklahoma-expended more for supplementary payments in December than January Alaska, North Carolina, and Oklahoma raised their State payment levels during the year North Carolina and Oklahoma also experienced increases in the number of persons receiving supplementation from January to December, as did New Hampshire and Illinois

During 1975, supplementation expenditures for the disabled and aged rose 41 percent and 3 percent, respectively, and those for the blind declined 6 percent The significant rise in expenditures for the disabled was due in part to an overall 9-percent increase in the caseload for that category Illinois, which experienced a substantial rise in its disabled caseload during the year, also recorded an increase in supplementary payment expenditures for disabled persons that more than doubled from January to December

#### SUMMARY

Minnesota, South Carolina, and Utah changed from Federal administration to State administration of their mandatory supplementation programs during the year (table 8) The change in administration occurred in July for South Carolina and Utah, in October for Minnesota

A few States also initiated or expanded their optional supplementation programs during the year Illinois and Minnesota expanded their programs by reclassifying some Medicaid facilities to nonmedical institutions in April and March, respectively This expansion resulted in larger numbers of persons becoming eligible for State supplements under the optional supplementation programs In February, South Dakota initiated an optional program for aged and disabled persons residing in adult foster-care facilities and supervised personal-care facilities

Some States elected to raise the incomes of persons receiving payments under the SSI program during 1975 A total of nine States disregarded some or all of the increase in SSI payments Three of these States—Missouri, Pennsylvania, and Vermont—provided a full "passalong" of the July 1975 SSI increase The other six States—Iowa, Maine, Maryland, Rhode Island, Oregon, and South Dakota-elected to adopt a limited pass-along of the July increase

Eleven States elected to increase recipients' incomes by raising the State payment levels Two of these States raised their payment levels twice during the year Idaho in January for all persons and in August for persons in shelter homes; North Carolina in January and July for aged and disabled persons in domiciliary-care and personalcare facilities and in July for blind persons in 1

.

. 1

13

¥.

# Notes and Brief Reports

### Legislation in 1976\*

A number of bills signed into law by the President in 1976 either affect or are of specific interest to the Social Security Administration. A review of some of the year's legislation follows<sup>1</sup>

1 The Tax Reform Act of 1976 (P.L. 94-455) -This law provides that any State (or political subdivision) now may use the social security number in administering any tax, general public assistance, driver's license, or motor vehicle registration law within its jurisdiction in order to establish the identity of an individual affected by such law The individual may be requested to furnish the number ł

The law makes it a misdemeanor to willfully, knowingly, and deceitfully use a social security number for any purpose Until the enactment of P.L 94-455 the misdemeanor applied only in cases involving obtaining or increasing benefit amounts under a social security or other federally funded program

In another provision of this law, services performed by an individual on a boat used in fishing are excluded as "employment" for social security coverage if (a) the individual, by arrangement with the owner or operator, receives part of the catch or proceeds from the sale of that catch as the sole remuneration for his services and (b) the operating crew is normally fewer than 10 persons

rest homes For the remaining nine States, increases were as follows (1) In March-Kentucky, for persons in domiciliary-care and personal-care facilities, Oklahoma, for all persons except those residing in nursing homes, and Wisconsin, for all persons, (2) in July-Nebraska and Washington, for all persons, (3) in August-Alaska and California, for all persons, (4) in September-New York, for all persons, and (5) in November (retroactive to July)-Michigan, for all persons

, , , , ,

The remuneration will be treated as net earnings from self-employment for taxable years ending after December 31, 1971 Remuneration for such services that was reported as wages in the past will be considered to have been reported correctly

Social security coverage of self-employed individuals' hving outside the United States has been modified by this legislation For a selfemployed US citizen who is a bona fide resident of a foreign country for a full taxable year, or who is physically present in a foreign country for 510 days in a consecutive 18-month period and not residing in the United States for a full taxable year, the first \$15,000 earned outside the United States will be excluded from gross income for social security purposes for taxable years after December 31, 1975 An individual may elect not to have the exclusion apply to him in any year in which it would otherwise apply and all subsequent years Consent of the Secretary of the Treasury is needed to revoke such election

Under this law, the earned-income credit provision has been extended through 1977 This provision permits a low-income worker with a child who can be claimed as a dependent for Federal income tax purposes to receive a tax credit equal to 10 percent of the first \$4,000 of earned income, reduced by 10 percent of the adjusted gross income (or, if greater, the earned income) in excess of \$4,000

Public Law 94-563-Under this law, for individuals employed by nonprofit organizations that have failed to file a certificate electing coverage but paid the social security contributions, the organization will be deemed to have filed such certificate if no refund or credit of social security taxes was made before September 9, 1976

<sup>\*</sup> Prepared in the Office of Research and Statistics Includes material adapted from Legislative Report No 11, Office of Program Evaluation and Planning

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>See also Social Security Bulletin, October 1976, page 1